Yeast RAD2, a homolog of human XPG, plays a key role in the regulation of the cell cycle and actin dynamics
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Summary
Mutations in the human XPG gene cause Cockayne syndrome (CS) and xeroderma pigmentosum (XP). Transcription defects have been suggested as the fundamental cause of CS; however, defining CS as a transcription syndrome is inconclusive. In particular, the function of XPG in transcription has not been clearly demonstrated. Here, we provide evidence for the involvement of RAD2, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae counterpart of XPG, in cell cycle regulation and efficient actin assembly following ultraviolet irradiation. RAD2 C-terminal deletion, which resembles the XPG mutation found in XPG/CS cells, caused cell growth arrest, the cell cycle stalling, a defective α-factor response, shortened lifespan, cell polarity defect, and misregulated actin-dynamics after DNA damage. Overexpression of the C-terminal 65 amino acids of Rad2p was sufficient to induce hyper-cell polarization. In addition, RAD2 genetically interacts with TPM1 during cell polarization. These results provide insights into the role of RAD2 in post-UV irradiation cell cycle regulation and actin assembly, which may be an underlying cause of XPG/CS.
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Introduction
DNA is subject to constant damage by various factors including ultraviolet (UV) light. Bulky nucleotide adducts in UV-damaged DNA are mainly repaired by the nucleotide excision repair (NER) system. UV damage in the transcribed strand is removed faster than damage in the non-transcribed strand through a sub-pathway of NER called transcription-coupled NER (TC-NER). Repair of non-transcribed DNA region is called global genomic NER (GG-NER) (Friedberg et al., 2006). The difference between these two NER sub-pathways is the initial damage recognition step. DNA damage is recognized by RNA polymerase during transcription in the TC-NER whereas DNA damage recognition proteins detect damages in GG-NER. Regardless of the different repair rates, both pathways require common components to complete the repair process. Once damaged DNA lesions are recognized, an essential RNA polymerase transcription factor II (TFIIH) that contains two DNA helicases, XPB and XPD, unwinds the DNA helix. Then, the lesion-containing nucleotides are removed via incision on both sides of the lesions by two NER-specific endonucleases, XPF-ERCC1 and XPG, and the gaps are resynthesized by replicative DNA synthesis (Hoeijmakers, 2001; Nouspikel, 2009).

Cells with a compromised DNA repair system are confronted with irreversible mutations that can result in deleterious events such as cell death, aging, and cancer (Bartek and Lukas, 2007; Rouse and Jackson, 2002). Mutations in 8 NER-related genes cause xeroderma pigmentosum (XP), a genetic disorder characterized by extreme sensitivity to sunlight, abnormal pigmentation, and a striking increase in skin cancer incidence (Kraemer and DiGiovanna, 2003 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1397)). NER defects cause another human hereditary disorder Cockayne syndrome (CS) that is characterized by growth and mental retardation, impaired neurological development and premature aging without increased cancer predisposition. Although both TC-NER and GG-NER require NER components, mutations only in 3 NER genes, XPB, XPD and XPG, cause CS (Hanawalt, 2000). XPB and XPD encode components of TFIIH that function in NER and transcription (Egly and Coin, 2011). Mutations in two other genes, CSA and CSB, also cause CS. CSB is a DNA-dependent ATPase that participates in transcription by stimulating transcription elongation (Selby and Sancar, 1997) and transcription initiation of some genes after UV-damage (Priott-Dé-Santis et al., 2006). CSA is required for recruitment of...
transcription elongation factor S in cooperation with CSB (Fousteri et al., 2006). Compared to the clear transcription functions of these four CS-related genes, the role of XPG was unknown before a report on RAD2, a yeast homolog of human XPG, showed that it functions in transcription elongation. Both XPG and Rad2p are structure-specific endonucleases that cleave 3’ to the DNA lesion (Habranken et al., 1995; O’Donovan et al., 1994), and possess highly conserved N and I domain in the N terminus and in the internal region, respectively. An additional function of Rad2p was determined in a study using a C-terminal truncation mutant of RAD2 (rad2C209A) that is analogous to the C-terminal truncation in the XPG of XPG/CS patient (XPCS1RO). The rad2C209A mutation impaired transcription elongation in the absence of extrinsic DNA damage. The highly conserved structure of human XPG and Rad2p suggested a similar function for XPG in transcription elongation (Lee et al., 2002a). Accordingly, CS is considered a disorder due to defects in transcription (Egly and Coin, 2011; Schärer, 2008a).

Nevertheless, it is still unconvincing to define CS as a transcription syndrome for several reasons. First, some XPD C-terminal mutations alter transcription but are not correlated with XP/CS phenotypes (Dubaele et al., 2003; Schärer, 2008a). Second, although the roles of XPG in transcription have been suggested by a yeast study (Lee et al., 2002a), they have not been clearly demonstrated in higher eukaryotes. In addition, the function of Rad2p in transcription elongation was demonstrated in the absence of extrinsic DNA damage. Because photosensitivity is a fundamental characteristic of CS (Laugel, 2013) and XPG/CS is abruptly aggravated after UV irradiation (Jaeken et al., 1989; Lindenbaum et al., 2001), the function of Rad2p after UV irradiation needs to be verified. Third, although mutations in two TFIIH subunits, p44 and p52, destabilize TFIIH (Schärer, 2008a), they do not cause any known human disease. However, mutations in XPB and XPD, essential TFIIH components, cause CS. Fourth, XP patients with XPC or XPE mutations are TC-NER deficient, but do not display CS symptoms (Nouspikel, 2009). It is particularly difficult to explain how the common features of CS can arise from transcriptional deficiencies in various genes in different CS patients who might be exposed to a variety of environments and develop CS at different ages (Schärer, 2008a). These observations imply that transcription defects are not sufficient to explain the cause of CS and why CS symptoms are not exclusively associated with NER. These observations also suggest that XPG/Rad2p has a yet unidentified function.

While mutations in the I-domain of XPG cause XP, C-terminal deletion mutations cause XPG/CS (Clarkson, 2003). The C-terminal region of XPG has been shown to participate in multiple cellular mechanisms including stable XPG-TFIIH complex formation (Ito et al., 2007), cellular localization (Park et al., 1996), and interaction with multiple partners (Trego et al., 2011). XPG interacts with TFIIH components such as XPB, XPD, p66, and p44 through amino acids 747–928 (Iyer et al., 1996). However, the smallest XPG C-terminal deletion found in XPG/CS cell is 258 amino acids (926–1186) found in the XPCS1RO patient (Nouspikel et al., 1997) in which XPG and TFIIH are dissociated (Ito et al., 2007). In summary, there are discrepancies between the known TFIIH binding sites of XPG and the mutations in XPG/CS cells that cause XPG-TFIIH dissociation. Therefore, more investigation is needed to clarify the reason for such disagreement. A study of mouse XPG deletion mutants indicated that the absence of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen-binding domain (PCNA-BD) is not responsible for CS phenotypes (Shiomi et al., 2004). Therefore, if the XPG/Rad2p C-terminal region has a yet unknown function, the C-terminal amino acids, between 926 and N-terminal to the PCNA-BD of XPG, are likely to be responsible for it (supplementary material Fig. S1B).

Some data indicate that CS is caused by a factor related to cellular functions other than transcription. Because TFIIH is a multifunctional complex involved in basal transcription, cell cycle regulation, and NER, CS-inducing mutations in XPB and XPD have the potential to induce defects in other cellular processes. Indeed, recent studies have shown that XPB and XPD function in cell cycle regulation (Ito et al., 2010; Fuss and Tainer, 2011). XPG has also been suggested to have unknown S phase-specific functions in concert with other unknown protein partners (Trego et al., 2011). Moreover, the cell growth arrest and mitotic catastrophe induced by overexpression of yeast RAD2 alone suggest a role for RAD2 in cell cycle regulation (Kang et al., 2010).

Cells exposed to DNA damaging agents express many genes to arrest the cell cycle progression and deal with DNA damage (Enserrink et al., 2006; Weinert, 1998). Cell cycle arrest is commonly accompanied by cell polarity arrest, which is required for morphogenesis and development in higher eukaryotes (Formigli et al., 2007). Therefore, unattainable re-initiation of the cell cycle from damage-induced cell cycle arrest is not possible growth and viability. Interestingly, CS symptoms such as growth retardation and premature aging, can arise when cell cycle progression is hampered. Taken together, mechanisms other than transcription, especially cell cycle regulation, might be responsible for CS.

In an effort to settle the controversial role of the XPG/RAD2 C-terminal region in the pathogenesis of CS, we attempted to investigate the unknown functions of yeast RAD2. We analyzed multiple rad2 C-terminal deletion (rad2CΔ) mutants to define the sequences responsible for the CS-like phenotypes and examine the roles of those sequences in various cellular mechanisms. In the absence of UV irradiation, rad2CΔ mutations did not cause any notable changes. However, UV irradiation of these mutants arrested cell growth and the cell cycle. Further analysis revealed that the Rad2p C-terminal region is not only essential for cell cycle regulation but also modulation of cell polarization through actin dynamics after UV irradiation. We discuss the relevance of our results in XPG/CS pathogenesis through cell cycle defects and improper control of actin dynamics after DNA damage.

**Results**

rad2CΔ mutations cause cell growth arrest after UV irradiation

The abrupt onset of CS symptoms after UV exposure in some CS patients who were normal at birth (Jaeken et al., 1989; Lindenbaum et al., 2001) suggests that CS is aggravated by UV exposure. To examine the effects of UV irradiation on the development of CS phenotypes, we first compared cell growth before and after UV irradiation in 7 different rad2 deletion mutants, including those that mimic XPG mutations found in XPG and XPG/CS cells (supplementary material Fig. S1A; Table S1), to wild type (WT). Rad2p and human XPG are highly conserved and C-terminal truncation of XPG causes CS; therefore we expected to observe cellular CS effects, including cell cycle arrest and/or cell growth arrest, in yeast rad2CΔ.
mutants, especially after UV irradiation. All mutants grew comparably well to the WT in the absence of UV irradiation (Fig. 1A), whereas the growth of most rad2CD and rad2Δ mutants was drastically delayed following UV irradiation, (Fig. 1B). Conversely, deletion of the last 38 amino acids (rad2C38Δ) did not affect cell growth, even after UV irradiation, indicating that the last 38 amino acids do not contribute to the cell growth arrest of rad2Δ mutants after UV irradiation.

Patients with XPG I domain mutations that abolish endonuclease activity suffer from XP, but do not develop CS (Schärer, 2008b). The rad2E794A mutant (rad2E794A), which mimics the XPG I domain mutation, grew normally in the absence of UV irradiation (supplementary material Fig. S2). After UV irradiation, the rad2E794A mutant initially grew slowly, but it reached levels similar to those of the WT after 16 hours (Fig. 1C). The 54-amino acid deletion mutant of Rad2p had a greatly decreased, but somewhat better growth rate than the mutant with a 65-amino acid deletion in the C-terminus (rad2C65Δ); the rad2C65Δ mutant still suffered from severe growth inhibition after 16 hours (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that the loss of endonuclease activity of Rad2p is not responsible for UV-induced growth retardation and that the C-terminal, 38–65 amino acids of Rad2p are crucial to growth regulation after UV irradiation.

We also examined the growth rate of yeast with mutations in other NER genes (RAD1, RAD4, and RAD14, which are the yeast homologs of XPF, XPC, and XPA, respectively) that cause XP but not CS (Kraemer et al., 1987; Kraemer and DiGiovanna, 2003 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1397]). The rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants grew normally in the absence of UV irradiation (Fig. 1D). After 20 J/m² of UV irradiation, the cell growth of all rad2CA mutants, except rad2C38Δ, was impaired. (C) After of UV irradiation, growth of rad2E794A was initially somewhat impaired; however, at 16 hours, its growth was comparable to that of WT. rad2E794AC65Δ refers the mutant with both the rad2E794A and rad2C65Δ mutation. (D) Cell growth of rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants irradiated with equivalent toxic UV doses of 6, 7, and 8 J/m² of UV, respectively, was elevated to levels significantly higher than that of rad2C65Δ mutant irradiated with 20 J/m². (E) UV sensitivity of rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants. The dotted line indicates 70% viability. (F) The survival rate of the rad2E794A mutant showed a linear decrease with increasing UV radiation. The survival rate of the rad2C65Δ mutant clearly decreased in response to 20 J/m² UV radiation, but the dose-dependence of this decrease was significantly reduced at UV doses higher than 20 J/m². Each curve represents an average of 3 or more experiments.

Fig. 1. Growth of WT and mutant strains. (A) In the absence of UV irradiation, cell growth of all rad2 mutants was comparable to that of WT. (B) After 20 J/m² of UV irradiation, the cell growth of all rad2CA mutants, except rad2C38Δ, was impaired. (C) After of UV irradiation, growth of rad2E794A was initially somewhat impaired; however, at 16 hours, its growth was comparable to that of WT. rad2E794AC65Δ refers the mutant with both the rad2E794A and rad2C65Δ mutation. (D) Cell growth of rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants irradiated with equivalent toxic UV doses of 6, 7, and 8 J/m² of UV, respectively, was elevated to levels significantly higher than that of rad2C65Δ mutant irradiated with 20 J/m². (E) UV sensitivity of rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants. The dotted line indicates 70% viability. (F) The survival rate of the rad2E794A mutant showed a linear decrease with increasing UV radiation. The survival rate of the rad2C65Δ mutant clearly decreased in response to 20 J/m² UV radiation, but the dose-dependence of this decrease was significantly reduced at UV doses higher than 20 J/m². Each curve represents an average of 3 or more experiments.
UV irradiation (supplementary material Fig. S3A). However, after 20 J/m² of UV irradiation, the growth rate of these mutants was almost identical to that of the rad2C65Δ mutant (supplementary material Fig. S3B). Because deletions in these 3 genes cause extreme UV sensitivity, we determined the dose for each mutant that induced a toxicity equivalent to 20 J/m² of UV radiation in the rad2C65Δ mutant (Fig. 1E). When rad1Δ, rad4Δ, and rad14Δ mutants were irradiated with equivalent toxic UV doses of 6, 7, and 8 J/m² of UV radiation, their growth rates were elevated to levels significantly higher than that of the rad2C65Δ mutant irradiated with 20 J/m² (Fig. 1D). These findings indicate that the cell growth retardation of the rad2C65Δ mutant after UV irradiation is a unique characteristic of RAD2.

The rad2Δ, rad2E794A, and rad2C65Δ mutants were all very sensitive to UV radiation, but the dose-dependent patterns of sensitivity differed greatly. The rad2Δ mutant was highly sensitive to low dose UV radiation, and the survival of rad2E794A mutant decreased linearly with increasing UV radiation doses. In contrast, survival of the rad2C65Δ mutant clearly decreased in response to 20 J/m² UV radiation; however, the dose-dependence of this decrease was significantly reduced at UV doses higher than 20 J/m² (Fig. 1F) (Lee et al., 2002a). These findings indicate that the Rad2p C-terminal region has an unknown function in growth regulation following UV irradiation, and that the UV sensitivity and UV-induced cell growth retardation of the rad2C65Δ mutant are not simply the result of an NER defect.

Cell growth retardation of rad2CΔ mutants after UV irradiation is linked to cell cycle arrest

Overexpression of RAD2 induces mitotic catastrophe, suggesting a role in cell cycle regulation (Kang et al., 2010). Therefore, rad2CΔ could cause cell cycle arrest and subsequent growth retardation after UV exposure. To verify this hypothesis, rad2CΔ, rad2E794A, and rad2E794AC65Δ mutants were subjected to cell cycle analysis. In the absence of UV irradiation, the cell cycle patterns of all mutants were identical to that of the WT (supplementary material Fig. S4). Following 20 J/m² UV irradiation, the cell cycle of the rad2CΔ mutants, other than rad2C38Δ, was arrested at G1/S (Fig. 2), suggesting that the cell growth inhibition of the rad2CΔ mutants in Fig. 1 was a result of cell cycle arrest. Cell cycle progression of the rad2E794A mutant appeared to be temporarily stalled at S phase 2 h after UV irradiation, but then was released shortly thereafter to progress to G2 phase at 4 h. However, the cell cycle of the rad2E794AC65Δ mutant was arrested in a fashion similar to that of the rad2C65Δ mutant. These results suggest that the C-terminal region of Rad2p is required for release from UV-induced cell cycle arrest.

The rad2CΔ mutant produces large un budded cells and disorganized actin patches after UV irradiation

Yeast cells arrested at a specific point in the cell cycle have characteristic morphologies (Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000a); therefore, the morphological changes in the WT, rad2C38Δ, and rad2C65Δ mutants were examined. Because the rad2C65Δ rad2C85Δ, rad2C105Δ, and rad2C209Δ mutants had identical phenotypes in the cell growth and cell cycle analysis, only 2 rad2CΔ mutants, rad2C65Δ and rad2C38Δ, were used for the rest of the experiments in this study. In the absence of UV exposure, the cell size and cell morphology of the 2 mutants were similar to WT (Fig. 3A,B). However, after UV irradiation, the rad2C65Δ mutant had a significantly increased number of cells with a single un budded morphology characteristic of G1 cells, whereas the WT and rad2C38Δ mutant had no significant change in their size and morphology (Fig. 3A,B). After UV irradiation, the number of single enlarged un budded cells also increased and comprised approximately 22% of the rad2C65Δ mutant cells.

The single enlarged un budded cell shape of the rad2C65Δ mutant is similar to the morphology of cells deficient in bud formation due to a defect in Cdc42p, which is essential for yeast actin cytoskeleton polarization (Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000a). Therefore, these findings suggest the involvement of RAD2 in the regulation of actin cytoskeleton polarity. To examine this possibility, the actin cytoskeleton localization was observed in WT, rad2C38Δ, and rad2C65Δ mutants. In the absence of UV

---

**Fig. 2.** DNA content analysis of WT and rad2 mutants after UV damage. Strains with a C-terminal of Rad2p, except 38 amino acids deletion, had severely retarded cell cycle progression at G1/S phase in the presence of 20 J/m² UV irradiation, similar to rad2Δ mutant. The cells were synchronized by grown to stationary phase before UV irradiation.
irradiation, actin patches and actin cables were properly distributed according to the cell cycle stages in all 3 strains (Fig. 3B). After UV irradiation, the WT and \(\text{rad}2\text{C}38\Delta\) mutant showed no significant change in actin polarization. However, in the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant, actin cables were undetectable and actin patches were dispersed in a disorganized pattern throughout the entire cytoplasm (Fig. 3B) similar to those observed in the large unbudded \(\text{cdc}42\) mutant cells (Adams et al., 1990). These results strongly suggest that Rad2p plays a role in actin cytoskeleton polarization after UV irradiation.

The \(\text{rad}2\text{C}\) mutation causes sterility and reduces lifespan after UV irradiation
When actin cytoskeleton dynamics are defective, yeast cells are commonly sterile and do not respond to mating factors. Therefore, if the morphological changes observed in the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant are connected to actin cytoskeleton polarization after UV exposure, the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant should not respond well to mating factors after UV irradiation. We analyzed the response of WT, \(\text{rad}2\text{C}38\Delta\), and \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutants to \(\alpha\)-factor before and after UV irradiation. All yeast strains used

---

**Fig. 3. Morphological alterations in the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant.** (A) Morphogenesis of WT and 2 \(\text{rad}2\text{C}\) mutants, \(\text{rad}2\text{C}38\Delta\) and \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\), in response to \(\alpha\)-factor before and after UV irradiation. Following 20 J/m\(^2\) UV exposure, the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant ceased bud formation and became larger in size than WT. UV irradiation eliminated the \(\alpha\)-factor response of \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant. Bars, 5 \(\mu\)m. (B,C) Rhodamine-phalloidin staining of WT and 2 \(\text{rad}2\text{C}\) deletion mutants, \(\text{rad}2\text{C}38\Delta\) and \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\). After UV irradiation, the actin in the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant was randomly distributed with or without \(\alpha\)-factor treatment. Bars, 5 \(\mu\)m. (D) UV irradiation (4 J/m\(^2\)) drastically decreased the replicative lifespan of the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}65\Delta\) mutant, whereas it did not affect the replicative lifespan of WT and the \(\text{rad}2\text{C}38\Delta\) mutant.
in this study were a-type cells, therefore, α-factor treatment would cause G1 arrest and mating projection (shmoo) formation. In the absence of UV irradiation, the rad2C65Δ and rad2C38Δ mutants responded well to 5 μM α-factor, and, similar to WT, approximately 90% of cells formed a shmoo (Fig. 3A). UV irradiation alone did not induce shmooing in any of the strains. When α-factor was applied after UV irradiation, approximately 85% of WT and 80% of the rad2C38Δ mutant cells formed a shmoo; however, less than 2% of the rad2C65Δ mutants responded to α-factor suggesting that UV irradiation sterilized the rad2C65Δ mutant (Fig. 3A).

Shmooing in response to α-factor depends on actin dynamics (Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000a; Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000b); therefore, the sterility of the rad2C65Δ mutant after UV irradiation might result from a defect in actin dynamics. To test this hypothesis, actin distribution was analyzed after UV irradiation and α-factor treatment. In the absence of UV irradiation, all 3 strains showed good actin polarization after α-factor treatment. However, after treatment with UV irradiation, actin filaments were randomly distributed in the rad2C65Δ mutant following incubation with α-factor (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that the UV-induced sterility of the rad2C65Δ mutant is indeed the result of defective actin dynamics due to the deletion of 65 amino acids in the Rad2p C-terminal region.

After UV irradiation, the aging of CS patients is accelerated (Lindenbaum et al., 2001). Therefore, the replicative lifespan of the WT, rad2C38Δ, and rad2C65Δ mutants was examined before and after UV irradiation. In the absence of UV irradiation, all 3 strains had similar replicative lifespans. However, following UV irradiation at 4 J/m², the lifespan of the rad2C65Δ mutant decreased drastically whereas that of the WT and rad2C38Δ strains did not (Fig. 3D). Because of these observations pertaining to the UV-induced sterility and decreased lifespan of rad2C65Δ, it is possible that the pathogenesis of CS after DNA damage in patients with C-terminal truncations of XPG also involves actin cytoskeleton dynamics.

Rad2p overexpression causes excessive cell polarity
The defective actin dynamics and cell polarization observed in rad2C65Δ mutant cells after UV irradiation suggest that Rad2p plays a role in cell polarity regulation. If so, Rad2p overexpression is expected to increase cell polarity. To test this hypothesis, the effects of RAD1, RAD2, RAD14, and rad2C65Δ expression were analyzed. Rad1p, Rad2p, and Rad14p were expressed in WT. However, Rad2C65Ap was expressed in rad2Δ mutant in order to avoid any possible phenotypic interference from the genomic Rad2p in WT background. We used rad2Δ mutant for all Rad2C65p overexpression related experiments through this study. However, we confirmed that overexpression of Rad2p or Rad2C65p in both WT and rad2Δ mutant brought about the same results in all the criteria we examined (supplementary material Fig. S5). This is probably due to low level of Rad2p from intact genomic RAD2 during normal growth condition (846 molecules per cell) (Ghaemmaghami et al., 2003; Nicolet and Friedberg, 1987). The induced protein expression of these genes was first confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 4A). Overexpression of the vector control, Rad1p, Rad14p and Rad2C65p did not cause acute growth defects or any morphological changes (Fig. 4B,C). In contrast, Rad2p overexpression induced early growth arrest (Fig. 4B) and distinct morphological changes, including single elongated cells and elongated budded cells (Fig. 4D, left panel). Actin in Rad2p overexpressed cells is polarized at the region of growth, and long stretches of actin cable are visible in the elongated region of the cells (Fig. 4D, right panel). Cell cycle progression was delayed in Rad2p-overexpressing cells, whereas cells overexpressing other genes showed a normal cell cycle pattern (Fig. 4E). To further verify the role of the 65 amino acids in the C-terminus of Rad2p, the RAD2 sequences encoding either the last 65 or 38 amino acids were overexpressed (Fig. 4G). Expression of the C-terminal 65 amino acids of Rad2p alone caused distinct morphological changes that were similar to those of cells overexpressing normal Rad2p. However, these changes were not observed in cells overexpressing the C-terminal 38 amino acids of Rad2p (Fig. 4F). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the 65 amino acids in the C-terminus of Rad2p function in cell polarity and cell cycle regulation.

Rad2p-induced hyper-polarized cell growth is suppressed by tpm1Δ
Given the effect of Rad2p function on cell polarization, we hypothesized that Rad2p overexpression would either enhance or suppress the phenotypes observed in cell polarization and cell cycle checkpoint mutants depending on the role of Rad2p in cell polarization. Rad2p was overexpressed in yeast harboring mutations in cell cycle checkpoint and cell polarization genes (Fig. 5A) and the percentage of elongated cells was assessed. The Rad2p-induced morphological change was not dependent on the 3 cell cycle checkpoint genes tested, RAD9, DUN1 and CHKI. Conversely, the swe1Δ mutation led to a slight decrease in the Rad2p-induced elongated cell morphology. The most drastic morphological alteration was observed in the tpm1Δ mutant. Tpm1p is a tropomyosin that stabilizes actin and directs polarized cell growth through interactions with actin cables and filaments (Drees et al., 1995). The tpm1Δ mutation alone led to globular-shaped cells and a clear decrease in the number of elongated cells. Interestingly, the tpm1Δ mutation completely eliminated the elongated cell morphology of Rad2p overexpressing cells (Fig. 5B,C). The aip1Δ mutation is known to rescue the temperature sensitive growth and actin assembly defects of tpm1Δ mutant (Okada et al., 2006); however, it did not alter Rad2p overexpression-induced cell polarization (Fig. 5C), indicating that the function of RAD2 in cell polarization is similar to that of TPM1, but it is not antagonized by AIP1. These observations support the critical role of RAD2 in cell polarization.

The rad2C65Δ mutation enhances the tpm1Δ phenotype
The tpm1Δ mutant is characterized by slow growth at 30°C and temperature sensitivity at 37°C (Okada et al., 2006). Therefore, if TPM1 genetically interacts with RAD2, the slow growth and temperature sensitivity of the tpm1Δ mutant would be aggravated by rad2C65Δ. Therefore, we examined the growth of single and double deletion mutants of rad2C65Δ and tpm1Δ. At 30°C, growth of the rad2C65Δ mutant was similar to that of WT, and the rad2C65Δ mutation did not exacerbate the slow growth of the tpm1Δ mutant (Fig. 6A, left panel). At 37°C, the growth of the rad2C65Δ and tpm1Δ single mutants was slow, and the growth of the tpm1Δ mutant was further delayed by the addition of a rad2C65Δ mutation (Fig. 6A, right panel). These results suggest that the function of Rad2p in cell polarization is insignificant under normal growth conditions but become important when growth conditions are stressed by environmental changes.
To further verify the function of Rad2p in actin polarization under DNA-damaging conditions, we examined the morphological changes in WT, rad2C65Δ, tpm1Δ, and rad2C65Δtpm1Δ mutants before and after UV irradiation. In the absence of UV irradiation, the morphology of all 3 mutants was identical to that of WT (Fig. 6B). However, after UV
irradiation, approximately 22% and 9% of the rad2ΔC65Δ and tpm1Δ single mutants (Fig. 6D). After UV irradiation, as in the rad2ΔC65Δ (Fig. 3B,C) and tpm1Δ (Fig. 6C) mutants, actin cables were undetected in the rad2ΔC65Δtpm1Δ mutant and actin patches were randomly distributed throughout the cell (Fig. 6D). These enhanced growth defects at 37˚C and increased numbers of UV-induced enlarged cells of the rad2ΔC65Δtpm1Δ mutant indicate that RAD2 has a function similar to that of TPM1 in actin polarization.

Rad2p promotes actin assembly
The tpm1Δ mutation decreases visible actin cables (Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000b); therefore, we tested the effect of Rad2p on in vitro actin polymerization rates using fluorescent tagged-actin monomers. After UV irradiation, Rad2p expression levels in rad2ΔC65Δ and tpm1Δ cells were similar to that in WT cells (Fig. 7A). However, the actin polymerization rate of UV irradiated rad2ΔC65Δ and tpm1Δ cell extracts was lower than that of WT extract (Fig. 7B). As expected from the results shown in Fig. 6B, the cell extract from the tpm1Δ mutant had only a slightly better actin assembly rate than that of the rad2ΔC65Δ mutant (Fig. 7B). These results support a role for Rad2p in actin assembly.

Discussion
A role for the C-terminal 65 amino acids of Rad2p in the cell cycle and cell polarization
The drastically impaired growth of the rad2Δ and rad2ΔC mutants after UV irradiation suggests that the C-terminal region of Rad2p (last 38~65 amino acids) is important for normal cell growth after UV exposure. The replicative lifespan of the rad2ΔC65Δ mutant was drastically decreased after UV exposure, which is reminiscent of the crucial symptoms of XPG/CS patients such as growth retardation and premature aging that are aggravated after UV exposure. The cell cycle arrest observed in the rad2ΔC mutants after UV exposure suggests that the C-terminal region of Rad2p is essential for cell cycle regulation. In addition, a characteristic of the rad2ΔC65Δ mutant observed after UV exposure was that an appreciable portion of the cell population exhibited an altered morphology with a single, rounded, and enlarged cell. Yeast mutants with polarization defects exhibit highly elongated or spherical buds due to excessive apical or isotropic growth, respectively. These abnormal morphologies are hallmarks of yeast growth polarization defects (Gourlay and Ayscough, 2005; Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000a; Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000b). The rad2ΔC65Δ mutant also had a random distribution of actin patches accompanied by reduced visible actin cables and a complete loss of the normal response to z-factor after UV exposure. In contrast, overexpression of Rad2p induced hyper-polarization, a growth polarization defect with highly-elongated bud formation, whereas such a phenotype was not observed in Rad2C65Δp overexpressing cells. Furthermore, overexpression of the C-terminal 65 amino acids of Rad2p in the absence of DNA damage is sufficient to evoke actin cell polarization. These results further demonstrated the importance of the Rad2p C-terminal region in cell polarization. Conversely, overexpression of 2 other NER proteins, Rad1p and Rad14p, did not confer this hyper-polarization phenotype suggesting that the regulation of cell polarization is a unique function of Rad2p, and is independent of its function in NER.
Cell polarity is determined by the structure of the actin cytoskeleton in most eukaryotes, including yeast. Yeast actin is present in two types of F-actin structures, cortical patches and actin cables, where it appears as small dots and bundles of long actin filaments, respectively. Both actin structures are localized to the cell cortex and are implicated in the regulation of cell polarity and shape. During vegetative growth, these structures are polarized in a cell cycle-dependent manner. Maintaining actin cytoskeleton dynamics is crucial to cell survival, as mutations in genes involved in actin polarization decrease cell viability.

Genetic analysis using deletion mutants with cell cycle checkpoint or cell polarization defects revealed that hyper-polarization caused by Rad2p overexpression is independent of RAD9, DUN1, and CHK1 suggesting that Rad2p-induced cell polarization is not regulated by the RAD9-dependent cell cycle checkpoint pathway. In contrast, Rad2p-induced cell polarization is partially dependent on SWI1 and is completely abolished by Tpm1p. Swel1p functions at morphogenesis checkpoints by monitoring actin cytoskeleton perturbation (McMillan et al., 1998). Moreover, the lack of Rad2p-induced cell polarization in tpm1Δ mutant indicates that the actin stabilization function of Tpm1p is required for this polarization. In addition, the rad2C65Δ and tpm1Δ mutants exhibit decreased cell growth at 37°C, and augmented rounded enlarged cell morphology after UV exposure in a synergistic manner. Actin assembly was also decreased in both the rad2C65Δ and tpm1Δ mutants, suggesting that Rad2p is involved in actin structure regulation. Therefore, our results suggest that the C-terminal region of Rad2p has a unique function in morphogenesis checkpoints through cell polarization regulation. Because the effects of Rad2p on cell polarization are RAD9-dependent, it is not clear whether Rad2p-induced cell polarization is related to or independent of
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A link between XPG/CS and actin cytoskeleton dynamics
Besides its classical function in cell polarity, the actin
cytoskeleton has been recognized as a crucial factor for many
other cellular processes including cell cycle regulation,
transcription, cell growth, survival, differentiation, skeletal
muscle-specific gene expression, checkpoints and morphogenesis (Formigli et al., 2007; Hsu et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2009). A recent study revealed that actin polymerization is important for proper cell cycle checkpoint control in human cells (Hsu et al., 2010). Moreover, abnormal cytoskeletal regulation is associated with human inherited neurodegenerative disorders (Goellner and Aberle, 2012; Nakamura et al., 2012). Given the fact that one of the prominent characteristics of CS is neurodegeneration, the defects in cytoskeleton dynamics observed in the yeast rad2C65Δ mutant is appealing way to explain the cause of CS.
Cell cycle arrest is commonly accompanied by cell polarity
arrest, which is indispensable for morphogenesis and
development in higher eukaryotes including humans. Cell
polarity is determined by the structure of the actin cytoskeleton
in most eukaryotes (Gourlay and Ayscough, 2005; Moseley and
Goode, 2006; Pollard and Cooper, 2009; Pruyne and Bretscher,
2000a; Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000b). The reason why certain
tissues such as neuronal cells and muscle cells are more
influenced by CS has long been unknown. Recent studies have
demonstrated that actin dynamics are critical for the induction of
skeletal muscle-specific genes and the development of neuronal
cell such as dendritic spines and Schwann cell myelination
(Formigli et al., 2007; Hotulainen and Hoogenraad, 2010; Penzes
and Rafalovich, 2012), which are preferentially deteriorated in
CS patients. Indeed, a mouse CS model showed neurological
symptoms due to defective myelination (Revet et al., 2012).
Nonetheless, the connection between actin dynamics and CS
symptoms had not been previously investigated due to the lack of
evidence indicating a role for XPG in the regulation of actin
dynamics. Our observation of misregulated actin dynamics in the
rad2C65Δ mutant after UV exposure provides evidence for this
connection. In this study, morphological and cytoskeletal
alterations of the rad2C65Δ mutant were observed only after
UV irradiation, suggesting that XPG/Rad2p-associated
cytoskeletal changes take place exclusively under DNA
damage. Indeed, XPG expression is transiently increased by
DNA damage in human cells (Tomicic et al., 2011). As the
increased XPG expression is transient, the effect of XPG on actin
dynamics is expected to be short-lived. Therefore, it might be
difficult to observe the cellular effect of XPG on actin dynamics
in vivo. However, in the case of an XPG C-terminal truncation
mutation, XPG-mediated actin dynamics regulation would be
delayed and actin regulation-related cellular mechanisms would
consequently deteriorate.
Rad2p C-terminal truncation as a cause of G1 cell cycle arrest
In addition to its well-known NER endonuclease activity, XPG
recruits PCNA and is required for the DNA resynthesis step of
NER (Staresinic et al., 2009). Rad2p also contains a potential
PCNA-BD in the C-terminal region. The C-terminal truncation of
Rad2p leads to failure of Rad2p-PCNA interaction, and the
observation suggests a role of Rad2p PCNA-BD in cell cycle
regulation (Kang et al., 2010). However, the PCNA-BD does not
appear to be important for the cell cycle regulation function of
CS-like phenotypes in yeast because rad2C38Δ did not confer a
growth defect, lifespan decrease, or any morphological changes
after UV damage. A study of a mouse XPG C-terminal truncation
also showed that the last 183 amino acids (988–1170), including
the PCNA-BD, did not induce XPG/CS phenotypes (Shiomi et
al., 2004), indicating that the PCNA-BD itself is irrelevant to
XPG/CS.
Contrary to the above-mentioned 183 amino acid deletion
mutant, mice with a deletion of the last 360 amino acids (811–
1170) of XPG exhibited XPG/CS phenotypes (Shiomi et al.,
2004). The 183 amino acids deletion of the mouse XPG protein is
similar to a 199 amino acids (988–1186) deletion of the human
XPG protein (supplementary material Fig. S1B). This implies that
the C-terminal region of the human XPG protein between
aminos acids 926 and 987 has cellular functions that are crucial for
XPG/CS phenotypes because the minimal XPG C-terminal
truncation found in an XPG/CS patient (XPCS1RO) is at amino
acid 926. Recently, Werner syndrome protein (WRN) and XPG
protein were shown to interact via their C-terminal regions.
Although the direct interaction between XPG and WRN has been
suggested to explain the severe developmental defect of XPG/CS

Fig. 7. In vitro actin assembly assay. (A) Verification of Rad2p expression
after UV irradiation. (B) The rad2C65Δ and tpm1Δ mutants exhibited
considerably decreased actin assembly when compared to WT.
patients, unidentified XPG functions were implied based on the greater severity of XPG/CS than Werner syndrome, a premature aging disorder onsets in adulthood. Indeed, WRN was shown to bind the very end of the XPG C-terminal region including the PCNA-BD (Trego et al., 2011), and the deletion of this region has been shown to not result in CS phenotypes in mouse (Shiomi et al., 2004). These observations indicate that the XPG C-terminal region upstream of PCNA-BD is responsible for XPG/CS. Likewise deletion of the region C-terminal to the PCNA-BD of Rad2p did not induce cell cycle arrest after UV exposure. This dispensability of these regions in XPG and Rad2p-PCNA-BD for CS-like phenotype indicates that an XPG region N-terminal to the PCNA-BD functions in cell cycle and cell polarization.

The defective association of XPG and TFIIH has attracted attention as a possible cause of CS. XPG is recruited to sites of DNA damage through the formation of stable complexes with TFIIH (Zotter et al., 2006). XPG C-terminal truncation mutations associated with XPG/CS are known to affect holo-TFIIH assembly, transcription, damage-induced RNA polymerase II degradation and transactivation of nuclear receptors. Therefore, the XPG C-terminal region is required for recruitment of XPG to core-TFIIH on DNA lesions and its deletion causes disassembly of holo-TFIIH by inhibiting CDK-activating kinase (CAK) recruitment (Arab et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2007). However, not all XPG C-terminal truncations in XPG/CS cells cause disassembly of TFIIH and CAK (Table 1). Instead, defective associations between XPG and TFIIH are uniform in XP/CS cells, indicating that defects in XPG-TFIIH interactions could be a radical cause of XPG/CS cells as mentioned above and/or CS caused by defects in other CS-related genes. If so, it is important to determine if the defective TFIIH-XPG interaction is the underlying cause of CS due to mutations in CSA, CSB, XPB and XPD. Core-TFIIH and CAK are well associated in CSA, CSB, and XPB/CS cells, and the failure of each mutant gene to interact with the core-TFIIH complex might not cause CS (Ito et al., 2007). However, CSB and XPB interact with the C-terminal region of XPG (Iyer et al., 1996; Sarker et al., 2005); therefore mutations in genes affecting XPG-CSB or XPG-XPB interactions might cause defects in TFIIH complex formation. In XPD/CS cells, XPG and the CAK complex are disassociated from TFIIH due to defects in the XPD protein (Ito et al., 2007). These results indicate that the dissociation of XPG from holo-TFIIH might be a radical cause of CS via a common factor that induces defects in cell cycle progression and actin cytoskeleton dynamics after DNA damage rather than a transcription defect and that XPG could be at the center of all known XP/CS.

Mutations in 2 TFIIH components, p44 and p52, also induce TFIIH destabilization but do not induce CS (Schärer, 2008a). This observation suggests that CS is not simply induced by TFIIH destabilization and that XPG dissociation is a possible cause of CS. Therefore, while the failure of XPG-CSB and XPG-XPB interactions likely cause defects in the stability of the holo-TFIIH complex, it is still possible that other mechanism(s) are involved in CSB and XPB/CS. A recent study revealed that XPD functions in cell cycle regulation via formation of CAK-XPD or MMS19-MIP18-XPD (MMXD) complex that does not contain any TFIIH components other than XPD (Ito et al., 2010). Therefore, the abrupt onset of CS and the exacerbation of CS symptoms after UV exposure (Lindenbaum et al., 2001) might be due to defects in cell cycle progression and actin cytoskeleton dynamics after DNA damage as observed in yeast. In other words, CS could be the result of a defect in cell cycle re-initiation after damage-induced cell cycle arrest and impaired regulation of actin dynamics.

### Table 1. The association states of XPG-TFIIH and core-TFIIH-CAK in cells from XPG/CS patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XPG/CS patients</th>
<th>XPG and TFIIH association</th>
<th>coreTFIIH and CAK association</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XP3BR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ito et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP2BI</td>
<td>uk</td>
<td>uk</td>
<td>Arab et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPCS1BD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Thorel et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPCS1LV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ito et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPCS2LV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Arab et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPCS1RO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Arab et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPCS2RO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Thorel et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(−) dissociated, (+) associated, (uk) unknown

No increase in cancer incidence in CS

The UV sensitivity of XPG/CS patients might be the result of an NER defect. However, this UV sensitivity may occur in response to a defect in the recruitment of XPG to DNA lesions due to its failure to interact with TFIIH and/or form a stable repair complex rather than the endonuclease dysfunction of XPG (Arab et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2007; Zotter et al., 2006). Notwithstanding the UV sensitivity of XP and XP/CS, the characteristic phenotypes of these 2 disorders are very different. Although XP and XP/CS arise from mutations in the same genes, the characteristic symptoms are distinctly different. Most notably, sunlight-induced skin cancer incidence is 1,000 times higher in XP patients, whereas CS is not associated with an increased skin cancer incidence (Kraemer and DiGiovanna, 2003 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1397)). Elucidation of the functions of Rad2p in cell cycle regulation and actin polarization might help clarify the basis for the absence of increased cancer incidence in CS patients. The arrested cell division and decreased lifespan of rad2C65Δ mutant cells after UV exposure suggest that the C-terminally truncated XPG protein in XPG/CS cells causes cell cycle arrest and decreased cell longevity, and this resulted in the absence of increased cancer incidence in the presence of DNA damage in CS patients.
Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and plasmids
Saccharomyces cerevisiae WT strain EMY73 (MATa his3-1 leu2-3,112, ura3-52, trp1Δ) and its isogenic derivatives strains used in this study are described in supplementary material Table S1. To generate the rad2Δ, chk1Δ, swi5Δ, dnp1Δ, tpm1Δ, and api1Δ mutants, most open reading frames (ORF) were replaced with the URA3 gene. Genomic deletion of these genes was confirmed by PCR using a pair of oligonucleotides flanking each ORF. For expression plasmid generation, ORFs of RAD1, RAD2, RAD14, rad2C65a.a.p., rad2C65 amino acid coding region, and the rad2C38 amino acid coding region were cloned into pBluescript to yield GST-RAD1, GST-RAD2, GST-RAD14, GST-rad2C65a.a.p., and GST-Rad2C38a.a.p., respectively (Yu et al., 2011). The gene sequences and protein expression of each plasmid were confirmed by DNA sequencing and Western blot analysis, respectively.

Determination of growth
Single yeast colonies grown on a synthetic complete medium lacking uracil were cultured overnight in the same fresh liquid medium. Cells were then diluted to OD600 = 0.05 in fresh medium, after which they were incubated at 30°C and the cell density was determined at the indicated time points using a spectrophotometer (DU650; Beckman Coulter, Inc, Brea, CA, USA). Protein overexpressing cells were then grown in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% yeast extract).

UV sensitivity
UV sensitivity was analyzed as previously described (Kang et al., 2013).

α-factor challenge
After overnight culture, cells were diluted in fresh YPD medium (pH 4.0) supplemented with 10 µg/ml α-factor and then incubated for 6 h at 30°C. For UV exposure, cells were UV irradiated immediately after dilution in fresh medium and incubated for 6 h at 30°C. For UV irradiation and α-factor treatment, cells were UV-irradiated, then treated with α-factor and incubated for 6 h at 30°C. Morphological changes were observed at room temperature using a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss AxioPlan2; Zeiss, Germany) with 40× objective and 100× oil immersion objective lens. The images were captured using a SPOT FLEX digital capture system (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA).

Actin cable and patch staining
The actin cytoskeleton was stained with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin as previously described (Amberg, 1998) and then observed using a fluorescence microscope as described above.

Cell cycle analysis
The cells were synchronized by grown to stationary phase. Then, the cells were diluted to OD600=0.05 in fresh medium. For DNA content analysis after UV damage, synchronized cells were diluted to OD600=0.05 in fresh medium and then UV irradiated. Aliquots were taken at the indicated time points and the cell cycle was analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) as previously described (Yu et al., 2011).

Replicative lifespan analysis
The replicative lifespan was analyzed as previously described (Kennedy et al., 1994) using a micromanipulator (Singer M, Singer Instrument, UK). For samples subjected to UV irradiation, all procedures were the same except that the virgin mother cells were UV irradiated and incubated for 1.5 h in the dark before counting the buds. In each replicative lifespan assay, 60 cells were studied and daughter cells produced from a mother cell were counted.

Western blot analysis
Whole cell extracts were prepared and Western blot analysis was performed as previously described (Yu et al., 2011). Expression of GST-tagged proteins was detected by using an anti-GST antibody (Calbiochem, Germany). Rad2p was detected using an anti-Rad2p antibody raised against a polypeptide corresponding to the N-terminus. Actin and α-tubulin were detected as internal controls using anti-actin (Abcam, UK) and anti-α-tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) antibodies, respectively. The positive signal in the mock-transferred cells (vector) represents GST protein alone.

In vitro actin assembly assay
In vitro actin assembly assay was evaluated as previously described (Soudan et al., 2002) except that the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 10 min, placed on a slide glass and periodically examined using a fluorescence microscope as described above.
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**Fig. S1. Schematic representation of mutations in XPG and Rad2 proteins.** (A) Arrowheads in XPG indicate the location of mutations in XPG where the protein terminates in cells isolated from 7 XPG/CS cases. The EAEQC sequence is identical in the XPG and Rad2 proteins and the E residue in this sequence corresponds to the E791 residue in XPG and the E794 residue in Rad2p. In the rad2CA mutations, the positions of deleted amino acids in the Rad2 protein are marked with small arrowheads. fs: frame shift mutation, pt: protein termination, red box: N-terminal domain, greenish blue box: internal domain. (B) Mouse XPG protein is 16 amino acids shorter than human XPG. Purple box: PCNA-BD, arrow head: C-terminal truncation points in human and mouse XPG protein and in Rad2p described in discussion section.

**Fig. S2. Growth of WT and rad2 mutant strains in the absence of UV exposure.** Growth of all mutant strains was almost identical to WT.
Fig. S3. Growth of WT and NER mutant strains. (A) Growth of all mutant strains was almost identical to WT in the absence of UV irradiation. (B) Growth of all mutant strains was drastically decreased compared to WT following 20 J/m² UV irradiation.

Fig. S4. DNA content analysis of WT and rad2 mutants in the absence of UV exposure. After overnight culture, cell cycle progression was analyzed by flow cytometry. In the absence of UV irradiation, the cell cycle progression of all mutant strains was almost identical to that of WT.
Fig. S5. Comparison of the effects of Rad2p and Rad2C65Δp overexpression in WT and the rad2Δ mutant. (A) Western blot analysis of Rad2p and Rad2C65Δp expression in WT and rad2Δ cells. Both Rad2p and Rad2C65Δp expression levels were similar in either WT or rad2Δ cells. Asterisk mark indicates the location of genomic DNA-originated Rad2p that was not detectable in cells grown under normal condition. (B) Overexpression of Rad2C65Δp did not evoke acute growth defects in both WT and rad2Δ cells. Rad2p overexpression significantly inhibited cell growth in WT and rad2Δ cells. Each curve represents an average of 3 or more experiments. (C) Cell morphology was altered by Rad2p overexpression in WT and rad2Δ cells. On the other hand, overexpression of Rad2C65Δp did not cause any morphological changes in WT and rad2Δ cells. Bars, 5 μm.

Fig. S6. The original autorads that were used for generating Fig. 4A.
## Table S1. Yeast strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMY73</td>
<td>MATα his3Δleu2-3,-112 ura3-52, trp1::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMY74.7</td>
<td>MATα his3Δ leu2-3,-112, ura3-52, trp1Δ</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMY75</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLP9-1</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C209Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL207</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C105Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL255</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C85Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL254</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C65Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSK1455</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C54Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL262</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2C38Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSK1410</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2E794Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YR1.62</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad1Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YR4.1</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad4Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YR14.42</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad14Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL873</td>
<td>EMY74.7 tpm1Δ::URA3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL874</td>
<td>YSL254 tpm1Δ::HIS3</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL111</td>
<td>EMY74.7 transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL886</td>
<td>EMY74.7 transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL996</td>
<td>EMY74.7 transformed with pKR2.78</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL805</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKS111</td>
<td>EMY74.7 transformed with pSKSL221</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKS102</td>
<td>EMY74.7 transformed with pSKSL607</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL111</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2Δ transformed with pSKSL313</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL151</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad2Δ transformed with pSKSL315</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL834</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad9Δ transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL841</td>
<td>EMY74.7 rad9Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL835</td>
<td>EMY74.7 swe1Δ transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL831</td>
<td>EMY74.7 swe1Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL836</td>
<td>EMY74.7 dun1Δ transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL842</td>
<td>EMY74.7 dun1Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL837</td>
<td>EMY74.7 chk1Δ transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL838</td>
<td>EMY74.7 chk1Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL839</td>
<td>EMY74.7 tpm1Δ transformed with pBJ842</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSL844</td>
<td>EMY74.7 tpm1Δ transformed with pR2.63</td>
<td>Prakash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBJ842</td>
<td>GAL-GST 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pR2.63</td>
<td>GAL-GST-RAD2 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKR2.78</td>
<td>GAL-GST-rad2C65A 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSKSL221</td>
<td>GAL-GST-RAD1 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSKSL607</td>
<td>GAL-GST-RAD14 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSKSL313</td>
<td>GAL-GST-rad2C65a. 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSKSL315</td>
<td>GAL-GST-rad2C38a. 2μ LEU2d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>