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**ABSTRACT**

The *myc* protooncogene encodes the Myc transcription factor which is the essential part of the Myc–Max network controlling fundamental cellular processes. Deregulation of *myc* leads to tumorigenesis and is a hallmark of many human cancers. We have recently identified homologs of *myc* (myc1, myc2) and *max* in the early diploblastic cnidarian *Hydra* and have characterized *myc1* in detail. Here we show that *myc2* is transcriptionally activated in the interstitial stem cell system. Furthermore, in contrast to *myc1*, *myc2* expression is also detectable in proliferating epithelial stem cells throughout the gastric region. *myc2* but not *myc1* is activated in cycling precursor cells during early oogenesis and spermatogenesis, suggesting that the *Hydra* Myc2 protein has a possible non-redundant function in cell cycle progression. The Myc2 protein displays the principal design and properties of vertebrate Myc proteins. In complex with Max, Myc2 binds to DNA with similar affinity as Myc1–Max heterodimers. Immunoprecipitation of *Hydra* chromatin revealed that both Myc1 and Myc2 bind to the enhancer region of *CAD*, a classical Myc target gene in mammals. Luciferase reporter gene assays showed that Myc1 but not Myc2 transcriptionally activates the *CAD* promoter. Myc2 has oncogenic potential when tested in primary avian fibroblasts but to a lower degree as compared to Myc1. The identification of an additional *myc* gene in Cnidaria, a phylum that diverged prior to bilaterians, with characteristic expression patterns in tissue homeostasis and developmental processes suggests that principle functions of *myc* genes have arisen very early in metazoan evolution.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The *myc* gene was originally identified as oncogenic determinant (v-*myc*) in the genome of avian acute leukemia virus MC29 (Duesberg et al., 1977; Bister and Jansen, 1986; Vogt, 2012; Conacci-Sorrell et al., 2014). The v-*myc* oncogene is derived from the cellular c-*myc* protooncogene encoding a master regulator (Myc) of fundamental cellular processes like growth, proliferation, differentiation, metabolism, and apoptosis (Eilers and Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012; Conacci-Sorrell et al., 2014). Other members of the vertebrate *myc* gene family are B-*myc*, L-*myc*, N-*myc*, and s-*myc* (Eisenman, 2001; Eilers and Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012). Myc represents a transcription regulator controlling the expression of numerous target genes which are involved in multiple cellular processes such as ribosomal biogenesis, protein biosynthesis, cell cycle control, or energy metabolism (Eisenman, 2001; Eilers and Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012). Deregulation of human *myc* genes caused by transcriptional activation, gene amplification, or chromosomal translocation results in elevated Myc protein levels which contribute to tumorigenesis (Dalla-Favera et al., 1982; Nesbit et al., 1999; Dang, 2012) presumably by aberrant Myc target gene regulation (Eisenman, 2001; Eilers and Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012). Therefore, deregulated *myc* can be regarded as a hallmark of many human cancers (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Myc is a bHLH-Zip (basic region, helix–loop–helix, leucine zipper) protein which dimerizes with bHLH-Zip partner proteins like Max upon specific binding to DNA sequence elements termed E-boxes (Eilers and Eisenman, 2008). Besides extensive characterization of Myc and Max protein family members in vertebrates, orthologs have also been identified in invertebrates like the fruit fly *Drosophila* (Gallant, 2006). Like in vertebrates, *Drosophila* Myc controls the expression of many genes that are involved in ribosome biogenesis, protein synthesis, and metabolism (Bellosta and Gallant, 2010), suggesting that the principal functions of the Myc transcription factor network have been conserved through evolution (Conacci-Sorrell et al., 2014). Recent investigations using even simpler invertebrate systems led to the identification and isolation of ancestral *myc* and *max* genes from diploblastic cnidarians like *Hydra* (Hartl et al., 2010; Hobmayer et al., 2012) or *Hydractinia* (Millane et al., 2011), and from the choanoflagellate *Monosiga brevicollis* (Young et al., 2011), which represent the most early diverged species employed so far for the structural, functional, and evolutionary analysis of Myc. The *Hydra myc1* gene was found to be specifically activated in all interstitial stem cells and nematoblast nests, which represent the rapidly proliferating cell types of the interstitial stem cell system, and in proliferating gland cells. Inhibition of *myc1* impairs the balance between stem cell self-renewal and differentiation (Ambrosone et al., 2012). In terminally differentiated nerve cells, nematocytes, or epithelial cells, *myc1* expression is not detectable. By analyzing the *Hydra* genome, we have also obtained evidence for the presence of a second functional *Hydra myc* gene termed *myc2* being located immediately adjacent to the *Hydra CAD* gene in...
head-to-head orientation (Hartl et al., 2010). **PCR** has been described for first strand cDNA synthesis and subsequent PCR, respectively. Cloning CC-3 (Reiter et al., 2007) using the primers 5'-G GCCCTTTTCGTTCACAG-3'/5'-GTGCTTCCTGTCCTGTAAT- AAGCCTGTTACGA-3' (HM2a), 5'-CCTAAAAATCGGTTTTATGGAACAAGAGGCGAACGC-3'/5'-ATGGAACATCCGCAAC-3' (VMb), 5'-GGCCCTTTTCGTTCAAGGTAAT- AAGCCTGTTACGA-3'/5'-AGTGAAGATCTAATATGATCGTCTCTGTAAT-3' (VMa), 5'-TTAACTCGAGGGAACACGTATTTAGAGGAGAATGCCCCAGCAACA-3' (HM2b). In two subsequent PCRs, diluted pools from pairs of the first PCRs were employed as templates (HM1a + VMa and VMa + HM2b) using the primer pairs 5'-GGCCCTTTTCGTTCACAG-3'/5'- ATGGAACATCCGCAAC-3'. The resulting PCR products were digested with XbaI (HM2-VM) or SalI (VM-HM2), and inserted into pSCAL12NCO or pA-v-myc which had been opened with XbaI or SalI, respectively. The inserts of pA-hymyc2, pA-HM2-VM, and pA-VM-HM2 were released with Clal and inserted into the retroviral RCAS-BP vector, or into the Bluescript pBS SK+ vector for in vitro translation as described (Hartl et al., 2010).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

*Hydra vulgaris* strains Basel, AEP, PA-2 and *Hydra magnipapillata* strains 105 and A10 were used in this study in compliance with animal welfare laws and policies. Mass cultures were kept at 18°C and fed five times per week with freshly hatched *Artemia nauplii* as described (Hombauer et al., 1997). Experimental animals were collected 24 h after the last feeding. To induce the formation of eggs in the AEP strain and of testes in the PA-2 strain, a starvation stimulus was used as described (Hombauer et al., 2001). To obtain interstitial cell free polyps, *Hydra* of the mutant A10 strain were treated as follows: approximately 150 animals were collected, fed and washed two hours after feeding. Shortly after that, a heat-shock was started by placing the animals into an incubator adjusted to 26°C, where they stayed for 48 hours. During and after the heat-shock, daily feeding was continued. Eight days after beginning of the heat-shock, the animals were collected for RNA isolation. At that time point, total loss of proliferating interstitial cells (Marcum et al., 1980) was determined in maceration preparations (David, 1973).

**DNA cloning and nucleic acid analysis**

Molecular cloning, DNA sequencing, and Northern analysis have been described (Hartl et al., 2009; Hartl et al., 2010). RNA isolation from whole *Hydra* animals, poly(A)⁺-RNA selection, whole mount in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes, and double in situ hybridization using digoxigenin- or fluorescein-labeled RNA probes were done as described (Philipp et al., 2005; Hartl et al., 2010). Bioinformatic search of the *Hydra* database using mammalian CAD protein sequences as templates lead to the identification of sequence contigs (NW_002159242, NW_002118084, NW_002173794, NW_002105431, NW_002159544) related to vertebrate CAD genes. One contig (accession no. NW_002159242) contains the presumed translation start site of a putative *Hydra* CAD protein. With this information, the full-length coding region of CAD was cloned by using poly(A)⁺-selected RNAs isolated from whole *Hydra magnipapillata* animals as a template for cDNA synthesis, followed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and the primer pairs 5'-ATGGAAAAACGCCAGCAAC-3' /5'-CGCCCTTGACCTGGGGAA-3' for the full-length cDNA synthesis and subsequent PCR, respectively. Cloning of the *Hydra* myc1, myc2, and max coding regions by cDNA synthesis and PCR has been described (Hartl et al., 2010).

**Cells and retroviruses**

Cultivation of quail embryo fibroblasts (QEF) and of the methylcholanthrene-transformed cell line QT6, calcium phosphate-mediated DNA transfection, and cell transformation assays were performed as described (Reiter et al., 2007; Hartl et al., 2009; Hartl et al., 2010). The constructs pBS-hymyc1, pBS-hy1/v-myc, pBSv-hy1-myc, pBSv-myc, pRCAS-hy1/v-myc, pRCAS-v-hy1-myc, and pRCAS-v-myc have been described (Hartl et al., 2010). To construct the plasmids pA-HM2-VM and pA-VM-HM2 encoding hybrid proteins of *Hydra* Myc2 (HM2) and v-Myc (VM), the corresponding myc2 and v-myc specific segments containing overlapping sequences were amplified in four different PCRs using the adaptor plasmids pA-hymyc2 and pA-v-myc (Hartl et al., 2010) as templates and the primer pairs 5'-GGCCCTTTTCGTTCACAG-3'/5'-GTGCTTCCTGTCCTGTAAT- AAGCCTGTTACGA-3' (HM2a), 5'-CCTAAAAATCGGTTTTATGGAACAAGAGGCGAACGC-3'/5'-ATGGAACATCCGCAAC-3' (VMb), 5'-GGCCCTTTTCGTTCAAGGTAAT- AAGCCTGTTACGA-3'/5'-AGTGAAGATCTAATATGATCGTCTCTGTAAT-3' (VMa), 5'-TTAACTCGAGGGAACACGTATTTAGAGGAGAATGCCCCAGCAACA-3' (HM2b). In two subsequent PCRs, diluted pools from pairs of the first PCRs were employed as templates (HM1a + VMa and VMa + HM2b) using the primer pairs 5'-GGCCCTTTTCGTTCACAG-3'/5'- ATGGAACATCCGCAAC-3'. The resulting PCR products were digested with XbaI (HM2-VM) or SalI (VM-HM2), and inserted into pSCAL12NCO or pA-v-myc which had been opened with XbaI or SalI, respectively. The inserts of pA-hymyc2, pA-HM2-VM, and pA-VM-HM2 were released with Clal and inserted into the retroviral RCAS-BP vector, or into the Bluescript pBS SK+ vector for in vitro translation as described (Hartl et al., 2010).

**Recombinant protein expression, purification, and mass spectrometry**

Expression and purification of recombinant *Hydra* Max and Myc1 p16 proteins has been described (Hartl et al., 2010). To generate the prokaryotic expression vectors pET11d-hymyc2 and pET11d-p15hymyc2, *Hydra* myc2-specific coding regions were amplified from cDNAs. The PCR segments encompassing the full-length *Hydra* myc2 coding sequence (hymyc2), or the region encoding amino acids 223-327 (p15hymyc2) were inserted into the pET11d vector (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) as described (Hartl et al., 2010).

For the expression of full-length *Hydra* Myc2 protein (327 amino acids; Mₐ=36,961; pl=5.99), the plasmid pET11d-hymyc2 was transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) CodonPlus-RIL (Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA). An overnight culture in LB broth supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin was diluted 1:100 to yield a 400-ml culture. Bacteria were grown at 37°C to an optical density of 0.6 to 0.8, recombinant protein synthesis was induced by the addition of isopropl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 1 mM, and incubation was continued for 4 h. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 20 ml ice-cold buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF) and lysed at 1,300 psi using a French Press. To lower the viscosity, the cell extract was supplemented with 1.7 μg/ml DNase I and 0.3 mM MgCl₂ and incubated for 30 min on ice. The sample was then centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 80 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT), supplemented with 0.05% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, and sonicated for 15 sec. The inclusion bodies containing the recombinant protein were pelleted at 18,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. Inclusion bodies were washed with buffer A supplemented with 0.05% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate and 1% (v/v) NP-40, and then with buffer A. Inclusion bodies were suspended in buffer A supplemented with 7 M urea and separated on a Mono Q 5/50 GL anion exchange column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) in the presence of 7 M urea as described (Hartl et al., 2010) using an ÄKTA Purifier (GE Healthcare). Proteins were eluted using a linear gradient from 0 to 5 M NaCl at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. High salt elution fractions containing the purified recombinant *Hydra* Myc2 protein were pooled and dialyzed against 1 l of PBS (140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄) containing 1 mM DTT for 12 h at 4°C, and then stored in liquid nitrogen. The final yield of purified and soluble *Hydra* Myc2 protein was 0.4 mg.

For the expression of *Hydra* Myc2 p15 (106 amino acids; Mₐ=12,646; pl=10.50), the plasmid pET11d-p15hymyc2 was used. Transformation
into bacteria, cultivation, protein induction, and harvesting of bacteria were done as described above for the full-length Hydra Myc2 protein. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 20 ml of buffer E (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.2, 80 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and lysed as above. Inclusion bodies were prepared as above using buffer E and then suspended in buffer E supplemented with 7 M urea and separated on a Mono S 5/50 GL cation exchange column (GE Healthcare) in the presence of 7 M urea. Proteins were eluted using a linear gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Fractions containing the purified recombinant Hydra Myc2 p15 protein were pooled and dialyzed against 1 l of buffer E containing 5% (v/v) glycerol for 12 h at 4°C, and concentrated by using an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter device (MWCO 3,000) (Millipore). The protein was then purified first on a Superdex-75 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare), and then on a Mono S 5/50 GL cation column. Hydra Myc2 p15 containing fractions were pooled, concentrated as above and stored in liquid nitrogen. The final yield of purified and soluble Hydra Myc2 p15 was 0.1 mg/l. For mass spectrometry (MS), Hydra Myc2 p15 recombinant protein was desalted using Vivaspin 500 PES centrifugal concentrators (MWCO 3,000) (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Concentration (from 500 μl to 100 μl) and addition (400 μl) of 100 mM ammonium acetate in H₂O (18 MΩ·cm) to the supernatant was repeated 6 times, followed by 6 cycles of concentration and dilution with H₂O. The final protein concentration of the electrospray ionization (ESI) solution (H₂O:CH₃OH 1:1, 1% vol/vol acetic acid) was ~5 μM. ESI MS using a 7 Tesla Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) instrument (Bruker, Billerica, MA) gave a protein mass value of 12,514.051 ± 0.004 Da (calculated from the most abundant isotopic peaks of (M + nH)ⁿ ions with n = 11–21; theoretical mass without initiating methionine: 12,514.055 Da) with internal calibration (error <0.5 ppm) using polyethylene glycol 1,000 as calibrant. The ESI mass spectrum of Hydra Myc2 p15 without internal calibrant showed high protein purity (supplementary material Fig. S5A). For sequencing, top-down MS experiments were performed using collisionally activated dissociation (CAD) and electron capture dissociation (ECD) giving b, c, y, and z-type fragment ions from cleavage at 103 out of 104 interresidue sites (supplementary material Fig. S5B).

Protein analysis
The purified recombinant full-length 327-amino acid Hydra Myc2 protein was used to generate the rabbit polyclonal antiserum α-hy Myc2 according to described procedures (Hartl et al., 2009; Hartl et al., 2010). The antiserum α-hy Myc1, α-hy Max, α-MyC, and α-MyC-N have been described (Hartl et al., 2010). In vitro translation, metabolic labeling of Hydra proteins, immunoprecipitation of L-[³⁵S]methionine-labeled proteins, SDS/PAGE, and immunoblotting were done as described (Hartl et al., 2009; Hartl et al., 2010). Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) analysis and signal quantification were performed as described (Hartl et al., 2010).

Promoter analysis
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was carried out as described (Reiter et al., 2007; Hartl et al., 2009; Valovka et al., 2013) by using sheared extracts derived from ~300 Hydra animals treated with formaldehyde for 30 min. Immunoprecipitations were performed with specific antibodies followed by PCR amplification of a 281-bp fragment from the Hydra CAD regulatory region by using the primer pair 5'-CAGCATAGAAGATGCGGGAC-3'/5'-TTTACCTTTCCGCTGTTTC-3'. Transcriptional transactivation analysis using the luciferase reporter system was carried out as described (Hartl et al., 2009; Valovka et al., 2013). To generate the reporter construct pGL3-HyCAD, a 243-bp segment encompassing nucleotides −97 to +146 of the Hydra CAD promoter region was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA using the primer pair 5'-AAAAAGCCAATGCTGATTTG-3'/5'-TAGGGAATATATTTGACA-3'. This fragment was inserted into the KpnI and HindIII sites of the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI). The expression vector pRc-MyC has been described (Hartl et al., 2009). To create pRc-hyCAD2, pRc-hyCAD1, and pRc-hyMAX, the inserts from pA-hyCAD2 (see above), or pBS-hymax were released with XbaI/SalI, SalI or XhoI, respectively, and inserted into the eukaryotic pRC/RSV expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) which had been opened with HindIII/XhoI.

RESULTS
Characterization of Hydra myc2 and CAD
We have recently determined structure and genomic localization of Hydra myc1 and myc2 and found that these genes are located on two different loci (Hartl et al., 2010). Interestingly, the myc2 gene is positioned directly downstream of the putative CAD gene (Hartl et al., 2010), encoding a multifunctional enzyme required for pyrimidine synthesis, and representing a well-known transcriptional target of vertebrate Myc proteins (Dang, 1999). Mapping of the myc2 transcription start site showed that myc2 mRNA synthesis initiates only 252 nucleotides downstream of the Hydra CAD stop codon. The entire coding region of CAD was identified by searching the Hydra genome database (Chapman et al., 2010) using mammalian CAD protein sequences as templates. Using the sequence information of codons corresponding to the presumed first and last amino acid residues of the Hydra CAD protein, the full-length coding region was cloned by using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The predicted 2213-amino acid Hydra CAD protein (accession no. ADN87330) displays the principal topography of vertebrate CAD proteins and shows extensive similarity at the amino acid sequence level.

Expression of Hydra myc2 and CAD is not restricted to interstitial stem cells
To compare the expression patterns of Hydra myc2 and CAD with Hydra myc1, in situ hybridizations were performed. Similar to myc1 (Hartl et al., 2010), the myc2 and CAD genes were also strongly transcriptionally activated throughout the gastric region, which represents the area of major cell proliferation in intact polyps (Fig. 1A). myc2 and CAD were not expressed in the differentiated head and foot regions (Fig. 1A). During bud formation, the asexual mode of polyp development, myc2 and CAD started to disappear from the evacuating tip, which continues to form the polyp’s head, at stage 4 and from the newly differentiating foot at stage 9 (supplementary material Fig. S1). Observation at higher magnification demonstrated that myc2 and CAD are expressed at a high level in interstitial cells and in nests of proliferating nematocyte precursors (Fig. 1A), as previously shown for myc1 (Hartl et al., 2010). Quantitative analysis of nest sizes showed a very similar distribution for myc1 and myc2 indicating that these two genes might be expressed in the same interstitial cells (Fig. 1B). Double in situ hybridizations with myc2 and myc1 probes confirmed that most of the myc1-positive proliferating interstitial cells and nematocyte precursor (nematoblast) nests co-express myc2 (supplementary material Fig. S2). CAD expression was slightly shifted towards larger nest sizes (Fig. 1B) being consistent with its possible role as a potential Hydra Myc target gene. Northern analysis using poly(A)⁺-RNAs from whole polyps showed single transcripts of myc2 (1.4 kb) and CAD (7.0 kb), which were more abundantly expressed as compared with the myc1 transcript (1.2 kb) (Fig. 1C).
In contrast to Hydra myc1, whose transcriptional activation is restricted to the interstitial cell lineage, whole mount preparations suggested that myc2 and CAD were also expressed uniformly and at a lower level in epithelial cells of the gastric region (Fig. 1A). To further prove that myc2 and CAD expression is not restricted to the interstitial stem cell system, RT-PCR analysis using RNA from interstitial cell-free animals was performed. Incubation of polyps of the temperature-sensitive mutant strain A10 at elevated temperature leads to specific elimination of the interstitial stem cell system. Whereas under interstitial cell-free conditions no myc1 expression was observed, myc2 and CAD mRNAs were still abundant (Fig. 1D). Finally, cross sections through the gastric region of in situ hybridized polyps demonstrated that myc2 and CAD are expressed at similar levels in ectodermal and endodermal epithelial cells equivalent to ectodermal and endodermal epithelial expression of Hydra max (supplementary material Fig. S3).

**Hydra myc2 and CAD are transcriptionally activated during gametogenesis**

In addition to asexual reproduction and steady-state tissue replacement, Hydra can undergo sexual reproduction. Eggs and sperm cells develop from a pool of interstitial stem cells located in the gastric region. Interstitial cells undergo several rounds of...
proliferation forming large patches of egg and sperm precursors in the initial phase of gametogenesis, before differentiation into the mature egg and sperm cells occurs (Littlefield et al., 1985; Munck and David, 1985; Littlefield, 1991). Expression of myc2, CAD and myc1 during egg formation was analyzed using sexually induced polyps of the female Hydra vulgaris AEP strain. In situ hybridizations revealed that myc2 is strongly expressed throughout all stages of oogenesis (Fig. 2). In stages 0 to 1, myc2 expression was up-regulated in the large clusters of egg precursor cells, which proliferate and aggregate to form the egg field (Fig. 2A). Egg fields of stages 2 to 4 exhibited an intense myc2 signal in all regions (Fig. 2B,C), and myc2 expression levels were also high in the developing stage 6 oocyte (Fig. 2D). At this stage, the oocyte forms finger-like extensions to take up cytoplasm from the surrounding precursors that have been determined to become nurse cells (Honegger et al., 1989; Miller et al., 2000; Alexandrova et al., 2005). The intense staining of the oocyte indicates that myc2 is used as a maternally deposited factor in the unfertilized egg.

CAD is also expressed in clusters of proliferating precursor cells giving rise to the egg field (Fig. 2E). However, further stages of oogenesis show that CAD expression is restricted to cells at the periphery of the egg field surrounding the oocyte (Fig. 2F–H). From oogenesis stage 2 on, cells of the egg field stop cycling and start to differentiate into the oocyte or nurse cells (Miller et al., 2000). Since differentiation starts in the center of the egg field and expands towards the periphery, the observed pattern of CAD transcription suggests that it is selectively expressed in proliferating oocyte progenitors (Fig. 2F–H). In contrast to myc2 and CAD, there was no expression of myc1 throughout oogenesis (Fig. 2I–L). Taken together, these findings suggest that myc2 and CAD play distinct roles in female germ cell development, whereas myc1 does not contribute to egg formation.

Expression analysis of myc2, CAD, and myc1 in spermatogenesis was performed in polyps of the male Hydra vulgaris PA-2 strain (Fig. 3). Sperm development again starts with intensive proliferation of a pool of interstitial cells in the upper body column resulting in large clusters of sperm cell progenitors (Littlefield et al., 1985; Munck and David, 1985). Thereafter, terminal differentiation of sperm cells takes place in testes formed by ectodermal epithelial cells. Within the testes, differentiating sperm cells are arranged in distinct layers with proliferating spermatogonia and spermatocyte I cells in the proximal third and differentiating spermatocyte II cells, spermatids and mature sperm cells in the more distal parts (Tannreuther, 1990; Brien and Reniers-Decoen, 1950). We found early clusters of interstitial precursor cells showing strong myc2 and CAD expression (Fig. 3A,C). Mature testes showed up-regulation of myc2 and CAD expression in proximal layers directly adjacent to the mesoglea representing the area, where sperm precursor cells undergo their final rounds of proliferation before they differentiate into mature sperm cells (Fig. 3B,D). As during oogenesis, myc1 was not expressed throughout spermatogenesis. Furthermore, it was clearly absent in mature testes, whereas somatic myc1-positive interstitial cells were detectable outside of these male sex organs (Fig. 3E,F). In summary, our expression data support the view that transcriptional activation of myc2 and CAD is associated with proliferation of interstitial and epithelial cells in the Hydra polyp during asexual tissue turnover as well as in female and male gamete formation. It was therefore of particular interest to study functional properties of the Myc2 protein in more detail.

**Biochemical properties of the Hydra Myc2 protein**

To detect the endogenous Myc2 protein encoded by the myc2 mRNA in Hydra, we generated an antisera specific for the Myc2 protein. For this reason, the coding sequence of myc2 was inserted into a pET vector, and a recombinant Myc2 protein was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified. Polyclonal antibodies generated against the full-length recombinant Myc2 protein were used for immunoprecipitation using lysates from metabolically labelled Hydra (supplementary material Fig. S4). For size comparison, in vitro translated Myc2 (M, 36,961) was included in the analysis. Both in vitro translated and endogenous Myc2 proteins display an apparent Mr of 41,000.

We have shown previously that the principal biochemical functions of Myc already emerged in early metazoans by testing the Hydra Myc1 and Max proteins for their potential of specific DNA binding (Hartl et al., 2010). To test Myc2 for DNA binding, the coding sequence of the carboxyl-terminal region of Myc2 containing the dimerization and DNA binding domain (bHLH-Zip) (amino acid residues 223–337) was inserted into a pET vector (Fig. 4A). The proteins Myc2 p15 (M, 12,646), Myc1 p16 (M, 13,745), and Max p22 (M, 20,234) were efficiently expressed, and the soluble fractions purified to homogeneity (Fig. 4B). The identity of recombinant Myc2 p15 was verified by immunoblot analysis, mass spectrometry, and fragment ion mapping (Fig. 4B; supplementary material Fig. S5). This Myc2 p15 protein was then tested together with the purified Myc1 p16 (amino acid residues 201-314) and the purified full-length Hydra Max protein (Fig. 4B) (Hartl et al., 2010) using electrophoretic mobility shift analyses (EMSA) (Fig. 4C). The highly conserved basic...
DNA contact surfaces of Myc2 and Myc1 are very similar in their primary structures (Fig. 4D), and the analysis showed that Myc2 p15 binds to double-stranded DNA containing a consensus Myc binding site almost as efficiently as Myc1 p16 (Fig. 4C), whereas Max p22 displayed lower binding affinity as reported previously (Hartl et al., 2010). The apparent sizes of the Myc2 p15 and Myc1 p16 DNA complexes are higher than expected possibly due to binding of higher order Myc oligomers as proposed previously (Hartl et al., 2010). In agreement with results obtained with Myc1 p16 and Max (Hartl et al., 2010), the DNA affinity is enhanced when an equimolar mixture of Myc2 p15 and Max is used, allowing the formation of heterodimeric protein complexes. To verify the identity of the bound recombinant proteins, the antibodies (α) used for immunoblotting (Fig. 4B) were added to the binding reactions (Fig. 4C). Antibodies can either inhibit or form a ternary protein–DNA complex thereby inducing a supershift. A third possibility is stabilization of a protein–DNA interaction resulting in a shifted band which is more abundant (Carey et al., 2012). As reported previously, α-Myc1 inhibited DNA binding and α-Max induced a supershift (Hartl et al., 2010) proving the identities of the applied recombinant proteins. Addition of the α-Myc2 antibody to the Myc2 binding reaction lead to an increase of bound DNA and induced a slight shift, suggesting stabilization of the Myc2–DNA complex instead of binding inhibition or supershift induction. Presumably, due to binding saturation of the Myc2–Max heterodimer, the stabilizing α-Myc2 effect was not apparent anymore. To quantify the Hydra Myc2/Max DNA binding affinity, increasing amounts of proteins were added to constant amounts of DNA in EMSA analyses, the ratios of bound to total DNA were determined, and the dissociation constants (K_d) for the protein–DNA complex was calculated (Fig. 4E). The K_d values for protein–DNA complexes formed by Myc2 p15/Max p22 was determined to 1.74×10^{-8} M which is almost identical to the value previously obtained with the Hydra Myc1 p16/Max p22 complex (Hartl et al., 2010).

**Hydra Myc interaction with a potential Myc target gene promoter**

Co-expression of myc1, myc2, and CAD in interstitial stem cells (cf. Fig. 1) suggests the possibility that Hydra CAD is regulated by Myc proteins like in vertebrates (Dang, 1999). To test if the CAD promoter is bound by Myc1 or Myc2, the Hydra CAD transcription start site was first determined by 5′-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′RACE) using cDNAs prepared from whole Hydra (Fig. 5A). The sequence from the RACE product was aligned with the Hydra genome, revealing that there are two introns with sizes of 14,340 bp and 332 bp between the transcription and translation start sites (Fig. 5B). To prove that the 5′-RACE product is derived from the authentic CAD mRNA, a Northern analysis was performed using this DNA fragment as a probe (Fig. 5B). Intriguingly, two Myc consensus binding sites (E-boxes) were identified immediately upstream of the transcription start site (Fig. 5C), which is similar to the promoter structures of CAD genes in other vertebrate and invertebrate organisms (Fig. 5B). To test if the CAD promoter is bound by Hydra Myc proteins in vivo, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was performed using cross-linked chromatin from whole Hydra animals followed by PCR amplification of a specific DNA region encompassing the two potential Myc binding sites (Fig. 5D). Hydra Myc1, Myc2, and Max proteins were clearly detectable on the CAD promoter, whereas no signal was obtained with control reactions using normal rabbit serum or no antibody. This result shows that the putative Hydra Myc target CAD is bound by Myc/Max complexes. To test if Hydra Myc proteins are involved in transcriptional regulation of the CAD gene, luciferase reporter assays were performed (supplementary material Fig. S6) using chemically transformed quail cells (QT6) as a cell system. Cells were transiently co-transfected with reporter plasmids containing the Hydra CAD promoter inserted into a luciferase reporter vector (pGL3-hyCAD) and expression vectors (pRc) encoding Hydra Myc1, Myc2, or Max. To provide the Hydra Myc proteins with their authentic dimerization partner, combinations of Myc1, Myc2 and Max expression vectors were also tested (supplementary material Fig. S6A). As positive control, the reporter construct containing the promoter of the v-Myc target WSS5 (pG3L-WSS5) (Reiter et al., 2007; Hartl et al., 2009) was included in the analysis (supplementary material Fig. S6B). Ectopic protein expression was verified by immunoblotting. Whereas v-Myc and the Hydra Myc1 or Max proteins were efficiently expressed, the Myc2 level was low compared to that of Myc1, although the coding regions of both Myc proteins were inserted into the same vector, and the α-Myc1 and α-Myc2 antisera had a comparable titer (cf. Fig. 4B). No significant transcriptional transactivation of the CAD promoter was observed by overexpressing the individual Hydra Myc proteins, but co-expression of Myc1 and Max induced moderate transactivation (supplementary material Fig. S6A). In contrast, co-expression of Myc2 and Max did not lead to transactivation possibly due to a lower amount of Myc2 in the transfected cells. Ectopic expression of v-Myc lead to efficient transactivation of the WSS5 promoter as reported previously (supplementary material Fig. S6B) (Reiter et al., 2007; Valovka et al., 2013).

**Transforming potential of Hydra Myc2**

To explore if similar to Hydra Myc1 (Hartl et al., 2010) the Hydra Myc2 protein displays some of the principal biological functions of vertebrate Myc, the myc2 coding region, and hybrids between myc2 and viral myc (v-myc) were inserted into the
Fig. 4. *Hydra* Myc proteins and their biochemical activities. (A) Schematic depiction of the human (h) c-Myc, Max, and the *Hydra* (hy) Myc2, Myc1, and Max protein products (GenBank accession nos.: h c-Myc, NP_002458; h Max, NP_002373; hy Myc2, ADA57607; hy Myc1, ACX32068; hy Max, ACX32069). The positions of conserved basic (b) and helix-loop-helix-zipper (HLH-Zip) domains and of the Myc boxes I–IV (MB) are indicated. The carboxyl-terminal segments of *Hydra* Myc2 (p15) and Myc1 (p16), and the full-length *Hydra* Max (p22) encompassing dimerization and DNA binding domains (b-HLH-Zip) (framed in yellow) were expressed in *Escherichia coli*. (B) SDS-PAGE (5.0–17.5% gradient, wt/vol) of 2-mg (Coomassie brilliant blue staining) or 50-ng (immunoblotting) aliquots of purified recombinant *Hydra* Myc1 p16 (amino acids 201–314), Myc2 p15 (amino acids 223–327), and *Hydra* Max p22. (C) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using the recombinant proteins shown in panel A and 0.3-ng (25,000 cpm) aliquots of a 32P-labeled double-stranded 18-mer oligodeoxynucleotide containing the canonical Myc/Max-binding motif 5′-CACGTG-3′ in the context of an upstream stimulatory factor binding site (E-box USF). Final protein concentrations are indicated below. Left panel: DNA binding of Max, Myc1 p16 and Myc2 p15 homodimers, or Max/Myc1 p16 and Max/Myc2 p15 heterodimers. Right panel: effects of specific antibodies (α) directed against *Hydra* Max, Myc1 p16, or Myc2 p15 to the binding reactions. (D) Amino acid sequence alignment of human (hu) c-Myc and the *Hydra* (hy) Myc2 and Myc1 basic regions. Positively and negatively charged residues are shaded in red or blue, respectively. (E) Determination of the dissociation constant (Kd) of protein–DNA complexes by EMSA analysis. Titration of a double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide containing the canonical Myc/Max-binding motif 5′-CACGTG-3′ in the context of an upstream stimulatory factor binding site (E-box USF). Final protein concentrations are indicated below. Left panel: DNA binding of Max, Myc1 p16 and Myc2 p15 homodimers, or Max/Myc1 p16 and Max/Myc2 p15 heterodimers. Right panel: effects of specific antibodies (α) directed against *Hydra* Max, Myc1 p16, or Myc2 p15 to the binding reactions. (D) Amino acid sequence alignment of human (hu) c-Myc and the *Hydra* (hy) Myc2 and Myc1 basic regions. Positively and negatively charged residues are shaded in red or blue, respectively. (E) Determination of the dissociation constant (Kd) of protein–DNA complexes by EMSA analysis. Titration of a double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide as in panel C with increasing amounts of *Hydra* Myc2 p15 and *Hydra* Max. Total protein concentrations are indicated at the top (left panel). The ratios of bound DNA to total DNA were determined by phosphorimaging and plotted versus protein concentrations (right panel). Because the experimental conditions led to partial DNA strand separation, only double-stranded DNA was considered for the quantification of unbound DNA. The sigmoidal fit function f(x) = 1/[1+exp((a−x)/b)] was used to generate the binding curve. The calculated Kd value for the protein–DNA binding reaction is indicated below.
replication-competent retroviral RCAS vector and compared with analogous myc1/v-myc hybrids for their capacity to induce cell transformation in avian fibroblasts. In these hybrid constructs, the myc coding sequences for amino-terminal transcriptional regulation and carboxyl-terminal DNA binding domains had been mutually exchanged (hy2/v-myc, v/hy2-myc, hy1/v-myc, v/hy1-myc). The empty RCAS vector and the RCAS-v-myc construct encoding the 416-amino acid viral Myc (v-Myc) protein were used as controls (Fig. 6A). The identities of the DNA inserts were verified by sequencing and by in vitro translation of the protein products (Fig. 6B). In vitro translated Myc1 (M, 36,024) and Myc2 (M, 36,961), and the hybrid proteins hy1/v-Myc (M, 34,910), hy1/v-Myc (M, 47,210), hy2/vMyc (M, 36,598), v/hy2-Myc (M, 46,432), and the v-Myc protein (M, 46,095) display an apparent Mr of 39,000, 41,000, 37,000, 53,000, 40,000, 53,000, and 52,000, respectively (Fig. 6B). In vitro translated Myc2 protein displayed lower chemical stability as compared to Myc1, which could account for the lower ectopic Myc2 levels in transiently transfected cells (cf. supplementary material Fig. S6A). The retroviral constructs were transfected into primary quail embryo fibroblasts (QEF), and cells were passaged several times. Cells transfected with RCAS-v-hy2-myc displayed a lower proliferation rate than the corresponding RCAS-v/hy1-myc cells. Cells transfected with constructs encoding hybrids with amino-terminal Hydra Myc portions (hy2/v-myc, hy1/v-myc) still grew slower than RCAS-v/hy1-myc cells but faster than the RCAS control cells (Fig. 6C). Expression of ectopic viral and hybrid Myc proteins and of the endogenous c-Myc protein co-migrating with the v-Myc protein was monitored by immunoprecipitation analysis (Fig. 6D). Ectopic expression of full-length Myc2 did not cause any significant cellular alterations, and Myc1 induced only a marginal increase in cell proliferation as reported previously (Hartl et al., 2010). In contrast, expression of all hybrid proteins led to cell transformation manifested by focus formation, enhanced proliferation, and anchorage-independent growth (Fig. 6E). Hybrid proteins between Hydra Myc1 and v-Myc displayed a higher transforming potential compared to analogous hybrids between Hydra Myc2 and v-Myc. In particular, the carboxyl-terminus of Myc1 (v/hy Myc1) conferred higher cell proliferation rate, focus and agar colony formation as compared to the corresponding Myc2 hybrid (v/hy Myc2), although protein levels of the ectopic proteins were comparable (Fig. 6D). In agreement with our previous observations (Hartl et al., 2010), transformation by all hybrid proteins was less efficient than that induced by the authentic v-Myc oncoprotein. These results show that both the amino-terminal and the carboxyl-terminal domains of Hydra Myc2 are principally capable to substitute for the corresponding regions in the highly oncogenic retroviral v-Myc protein, although the overall transforming potential of Myc2 is lower than that of Myc1.

**DISCUSSION**

Although substantial progress has been made over the last 30 years to deeper understand the pleiotropic functions of the Myc protein in cellular proliferation, growth, energy metabolism, differentiation, and apoptosis (Eilers and Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012; Conacci-Sorrell et al., 2014), many open questions remain regarding the underlying molecular mechanisms. Furthermore, c-Myc has been identified as one of four gene regulators which are sufficient for reprogramming differentiated adult cells back to a pluripotent stage (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Because Myc controls multiple transcriptional programs involving the regulation of thousands of genes (Eisenman, 2001; Eilers and...
Eisenman, 2008; Dang, 2012), the identification of those targets mediating crucial processes in cell proliferation, differentiation, or carcinogenesis has remained difficult.

A possibility to dissect the multiple Myc functions is the usage of genetically established invertebrate model organisms in which myc genes have been identified and characterized, like in the freshwater polyp *Hydra*. *Hydra* polyps are among the earliest diverged animal groups used to study the Myc oncoprotein so far. Two bona fide *c-myc* orthologs are present in the *Hydra* genome, myc1 and myc2, encoding proteins with structural conservation in their carboxyl-terminal dimerization and DNA binding regions, and in the amino-terminal transcriptional activation domain.

**Fig. 6.** *Hydra* (hy) and viral Myc hybrid proteins display cell transforming activity. (A) Schematic depiction of the v-myc (blue), and of hy/v-myc and v/hy-myc hybrids’ coding regions (*Hydra* myc1 and myc2 sequences shown in yellow and orange, respectively). The coding regions were inserted into the unique Clal site of the Bluescript (pBS) vector for *in vitro* transcription/translation, or into the replication-competent retroviral pRCAS vector used for DNA transfection into primary quail embryo fibroblasts (QEF). (B) *In vitro* translation of [*35S]*methionine-labeled proteins encoded by the pBS constructs shown in panel A. (C) Growth of QEFs transfected with the pRCAS constructs shown in panel A. After several passages, equal numbers (Y0 = 7.5x10⁵) of cells were seeded onto 60-mm dishes and cell numbers (Y) were determined after 3 and 6 days. Standard deviations are indicated by vertical lines. (D) Immunoprecipitation of endogenous c-Myc and ectopic Myc proteins using 1.0x10⁶ cpm aliquots of lysates from [*35S]*methionine-labeled QEFs transfected with the pRCAS constructs shown in panel A, and antibodies directed against amino-terminal [N] or carboxyl-terminal [C] segments of v-Myc, or normal rabbit serum (NRS). Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (10%, wt/vol). (E) Top: QEFs on 60-mm dishes were transfected with 4-μg aliquots of DNA from the pRCAS constructs shown in panel A, kept under agar overlay for 2 weeks, and then stained with eosin methylene blue. Numbers of foci per dish are indicated. Middle: QEFs were transfected with the pRCAS constructs shown in panel A and passaged several times. The doubling times (Td) of the cell populations, which are indicated below the phase-contrast micrographs were determined from the average proliferations rates (k) obtained in panel C according to Y = Y0e(kT) and Td = ln2/k. Bottom: equal numbers (1.0x10⁶) of transfected and passaged cells were seeded into soft agar and incubated for 2 weeks. Numbers of colonies per 1,000 cells seeded are shown below the bright-field micrographs. Standard deviations are indicated by ± signs. Scale bars: 1 mm (E, top), 50 μm (E, middle), 200 μm (E, bottom).
Myc1 and Myc2 are expressed in proliferating germ cell progenitors, myc2 is also up-regulated in differentiated, non-proliferating cells involving in oogenesis including the developing oocyte. Hence the Hydra Myc2 protein could represent a candidate maternal factor in the oocyte like in Xenopus, where myc has been detected as stable maternal mRNA (Godeau et al., 1986; Taylor et al., 1986), and the accumulation of myc2 mRNA may support cell division in the early Hydra embryo.

In mammals, CAD represents a bona fide Myc target gene (Dang, 1999) and therefore it was of interest to test if the CAD promoter region is also recognized by Hydra Myc or Max proteins. Immunoprecipitation analysis using cross-linked chromatin from whole Hydra animals showed binding of Myc1, Myc2, and Max to a DNA segment immediately upstream of the CAD transcription start site containing two putative Myc binding sites (cf. Fig. 5C). Reporter gene assays showed transactivation by Myc1/Max but not by Myc2/Max complexes (cf. supplementary material Fig. S6A), suggesting that Myc1 possibly activates transcription of CAD in interstitial stem cells (cf. Fig. 1). Accordingly, expression of CAD in nematoblast nests is temporally delayed when compared with that of myc1 (cf. Fig. 1A,B). Myc2 apparently does not transactivate the CAD gene, but it cannot be excluded that the obtained negative result is due to insufficient protein levels (cf. supplementary material Fig. S6A). Another possibility is that besides Myc other transcription factors are involved in CAD gene regulation, as shown previously (Khan et al., 2003), which would explain why we see CAD also expressed in distinct myc1-negative cells.

Altogether, our data suggest that the Hydra Myc2 functions are distinct from Myc1 by possibly promoting the proliferation of various cell types in the interstitial system, and of epithelial stem cells. Presumably, Hydra Myc2 may have also a distinct function as a master regulator in gametogenesis, suggesting that Myc2 is important for distinct differentiation processes. This may explain why the overall potential of Myc2 to promote cell proliferation is lower than that of Myc1 (cf. Fig. 6). In particular, the oncogenic potential of the carboxyl-terminal dimerization and DNA binding domain of Myc2 is substantially lower than that of Myc1 suggesting that both Myc paralogs regulate different sets of target genes, although the amino acid sequences of the basic regions and their DNA binding affinities are very similar (cf. Fig. 4D).

Powerful tools like interfering RNAs or generation of transgenic animals for functional gene analysis in Hydra have been established meanwhile (Wittlieb et al., 2006; Chera et al., 2006; Boehm et al., 2012; Ambrosone et al., 2012). Therefore, the metazoan Hydra would represent a useful model system to investigate conserved functions of Myc proteins, and of their transcriptional targets in cell proliferation and differentiation.
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