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Fission yeast mtr1p regulates interphase microtubule cortical dwell-time

Frédérique Carlier-Grynkorn1,2, Liang Ji1,2, Vincent Fraisier1,2, Berangère Lombard1, Florent Dingli1, Damarys Loew1, Anne Paoletti1,2, Xavier Ronot3 and Phong T. Tran1,2,4,*

ABSTRACT

The microtubule cytoskeleton plays important roles in cell polarity, motility and division. Microtubules inherently undergo dynamic instability, stochastically switching between phases of growth and shrinkage. In cells, some microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) and molecular motors can further modulate microtubule dynamics. We present here the fission yeast mtr1+, a new regulator of microtubule dynamics that appears to be not a MAP or a motor. mtr1-deletion (mtr1Δ) primarily results in longer microtubule dwell-time at the cell tip cortex, suggesting that mtr1p acts directly or indirectly as a destabilizer of microtubules. mtr1p is antagonistic to mal3p, the ortholog of mammalian EB1, which stabilizes microtubules. mal3Δ results in shorter microtubules, but can be partially rescued by mtr1Δ, as the double mutant mal3Δ mtr1Δ exhibits longer microtubules than mal3Δ single mutant. By sequence homology, mtr1p is predicted to be a component of the ribosomal quality control complex. Intriguingly, deletion of a predicted ribosomal gene, rps1801, also resulted in longer microtubule dwell-time similar to mtr1Δ. The double-mutant mal3Δ rps1801Δ also exhibits longer microtubules than mal3Δ single mutant alone. Our study suggests a possible involvement of mtr1p and the ribosome complex in modulating microtubule dynamics.
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INTRODUCTION

The microtubule cytoskeleton plays essential roles in many cellular processes such as cell polarity, motility and division (Mimori-Kiyosue, 2011). Microtubules are polar polymers composed of αβ-tubulin heterodimers and are inherently dynamic, frequently switching between phases of growth and shrinkage (Mitchison and Kirschner, 1984). In the cell, microtubule dynamics are further regulated by various microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) and molecular motors (Mimori-Kiyosue, 2011). In general, microtubule dynamics can be regulated at the minus ends, the microtubule lattice, or the plus ends. At the minus ends, the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) and the gamma-tubulin complex (γ-TuRC) control the nucleation of microtubules (Kollman et al., 2011; Teixidó-Travesa et al., 2012), which dictates the number of microtubules throughout the cell cycle (Sawin and Tran, 2006). At the microtubule lattice, neuronal MAPs such as Tau and MAP2 can modulate microtubule dynamics (Matenia and Mandelkow, 2009), although their major roles may be to fortify the microtubule lattice stiffness (Felgner et al., 1997). At the plus ends, a class of MAPs termed the plus end tracking proteins (+TIPs) (Galjart, 2010) constitute the key players of the microtubule dynamics characterized by four parameters – velocities of growth and shrinkage, and frequencies of catastrophe and rescue, Vg, Vs, Fcat, Fres, respectively (Walker et al., 1988).

Of the +TIP proteins, EB1 (mal3p in fission yeast) appears to have major roles in controlling microtubule dynamics. EB1 itself stabilizes microtubules by suppressing catastrophe (Busch and Brunner, 2004) or suppressing shrinkage (Katsuki et al., 2009). Further, EB1 also recruits many other +TIP proteins, which can themselves regulate microtubule dynamics (Busch and Brunner, 2004). A major determinant for EB1-dependent recruitment to the microtubule plus end is characterized by the existence of a disordered region containing the motif SxIP and flanking basic amino acids (Honnapa et al., 2009). Indeed, this motif has been highly successful in predicting novel +TIP proteins in mammalian cells (Jiang et al., 2012).

Here, we used the SxIP motif to identify new fission yeast proteins able to regulate microtubule dynamics. The fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe has been a good model system to analyze microtubules and their diverse functions in cells (Martin, 2009; Piel and Tran, 2009). Our screen reveals mtr1+ as a new fission yeast regulator of interphase microtubule dynamics. mtr1p appears to increase the frequency of catastrophe, a role antagonistic to mal3p. Surprisingly, mtr1p does not localize to the microtubule plus end, as mal3p does. Intriguingly, mtr1p, as the ortholog of S. cerevisiae TAE2, is predicted to be a component of the ribosome quality control complex. We show that a predicted component of the ribosome, the S18 complex protein rps1801p, which interacts genetically with mtr1p ortholog in budding yeast, acts similar to mtr1p in destabilizing microtubules.

It has been reported that ribosomes can localize to microtubules (Hamill et al., 1994; Suprenant et al., 1989), presumably to facilitate the transport of certain mRNAs to specific cellular compartments (Beach et al., 1999; Bertrand et al., 1998). Our results suggest a possible new function for ribosomes, that of regulating microtubule dynamics in a direct or indirect manner.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

S. pombe strains and plasmids construction

Standard yeast media and genetic methods were used to create yeast strains, as previously described (Forsburg and Rhind, 2006; Moreno et al., 1991). Strains of deletion and GFP/mCherry tagging were carried
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out by the PCR-based method previously described (Bähler et al., 1998). All strains used in this study are listed in supplementary material Table S1.

**Bioinformatic screen for mtr1**

We utilized the *S. pombe* haploid deletion collection (Kim et al., 2010) (http://www.bioneer.com) to identify novel genes whose deletion lead to microtubule-based defects. Uncharacterized genes containing the SxIP motif, a predictor of mal3p/EB1 binding (Honnappa et al., 2009), were examined. The novel gene *SPCC132.01c* was found to have interphase microtubule defects. We thus named this gene *mtr1* (microtubule regulator 1).

**Microscopy**

Live cell imaging was carried out at room temperature 25°C. We use a spinning-disc confocal microscope equipped with a Nikon PlanApo 100×/1.40 NA objective and the Photometrics CoolSNAP HQ2 CCD camera, as previously described (Tran et al., 2004). MetaMorph 7.5 (http://www.moleculardevices.com) was used to acquire and process all images.

For high temporal resolution, images were acquired at 300–500 ms exposure for GFP/mCherry, 5-sec intervals, 10 min total time for two optical sections of 0.3 μm spacing. For longer time scale, images were acquired at 300–500 ms exposures for GFP/mCherry, 30-sec intervals, with each stack comprising 11 optical sections of 0.5 μm spacing.

We note that in our hands, tubulin tagged with GFP resulted in slightly different microtubule dynamics than tubulin tagged with mCherry. For example, wild-type microtubule dwell-time was higher when measured with GFP-atb2 compared to mCherry-atb2. For this reason, comparisons of microtubule dynamic parameters between wild-type and mutant strains were strictly performed on strains expressing the same tagged tubulin.

**Data analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. Statistical analysis on means were performed using the Student t-test and statistical analysis on frequencies were performed using the Chi-squared test, in Microsoft Office Excel 2010. All plots were created using Kaleidagraph 4.0 (http://www.synergy.com). Box plots show all individual data points, and the plots enclose 50% of the data in the box with the median value displayed as a line. The lines extending from the top and bottom of each box mark the minimum and maximum values within the data set that fall within an acceptable range. Outliers are displayed as individual points.

**RESULTS**

In a bioinformatic screen for new fission yeast proteins containing the SxIP motif predicted to bind to EB1/mal3p (Honnappa et al., 2009), we identified the previously uncharacterized gene *SPCC132.01c*. Deletion of this gene resulted in interphase microtubule phenotypes (see below). Thus we named this gene *mtr1* (microtubule regulator 1).

**mtr1p decreases interphase microtubule dwell-time and increases the frequency of catastrophe**

We deleted *mtr1*, and then compared microtubule dynamics in wild-type and mtr1Δ cells expressing fluorescent GFP-atb2p (tubulin). In general, microtubule defects in mtr1Δ cells were very subtle, with no measurable defects in spindle formation or elongation (data not shown). We did observe subtle defects in interphase microtubule dynamics in mtr1Δ cells. While the typical 3–4 bundles of interphase microtubules were the same for both wild-type and mtr1Δ cells (data not shown), parameters of individual microtubule dynamics differed. The most consistently noticeable behavior of the interphase microtubules is their dwell-time at the cell tips (Fig. 1A). Dwell-time is defined as the contact time between the growing microtubule and the cell cortex (Tran et al., 2001). Wild-type cells showed a dwell-time of 0.9±0.24 min (n=256). In contrast, mtr1Δ cells showed a dwell-time of 1.1±0.6 min (n=252), an ~20% prolongation of dwell-time (p<10−5) (Fig. 2B). This result immediately suggests that the protein mtr1p functions to decrease microtubule dwell-time. In other words, mtr1p decreases microtubule stability.

Microtubule stability is defined by the four parameters of microtubule dynamics – velocities of growth (Vg) and shrinkage (Vs), and frequencies of catastrophe (Fcat) and rescue (Fres). Vg for mtr1Δ cells expressing GFP-atb2p (tubulin) was 3.5±1.2 μm/min (n=21), Vs was 12.2±5.6 μm/min (n=23), Fcat was 0.79±0.38 per min (n=19), and Fres was 1.46±0.70 per min (n=20). For mtr1Δ cells, Vg was 2.7±0.9 μm/min (n=27), Vs was 11.6±4.0 μm/min (n=29), Fcat was 0.50±0.20 per min (n=20), and Fres was 1.16±0.59 per min (n=23). Effectively, Vg for mtr1Δ was ~23% less than wild type (p<0.02), Vs for mtr1Δ was similar to wild type (p=0.65), Fcat for mtr1Δ was ~37% less than wild type (p<0.01), and Fres for mtr1Δ was similar to wild type (p=0.14). Scale bar: 5 μm.
indicates that ectopic expression of mtr1-YFP in mtr1 cells over-expressing mtr1-YFP (thiamine-repressible mnt1 promoter) all cells also express mCherry-atb2. Induced over-expression for 16 hrs indicates an approximately 3-fold signal intensity increase. mtr1p signal is uniformly in the cytoplasm and is not observed in the nucleus and the vacuoles. (B) Box plot comparison of interphase microtubule dwell-time of wild-type mtr1-GFP, mtr1Δ, and mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP (over-expressed) cells. Cells also expressed mCherry-atb2. Whereas the wild type showed a dwell-time of 0.7 ± 0.4 min (n = 52), and mtr1Δ showed an ~30% increase (p < 0.01) to 1.0 ± 0.4 min (n = 42), the over-expressed mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP cells showed a similar dwell-time (p = 0.86) to wild type at 0.7 ± 0.5 min (n = 104). This indicates that ectopic expression of mtr1-YFP in mtr1Δ cells rescued the dwell-time defects, and presumably other microtubule dynamic defects, of mtr1Δ. Scale bar: 5 μm.

Fig. 2. mtr1p is cytoplasmic. (A) Maximum-projection images of wild-type cells expressing endogenous level of mtr1-GFP (mtr1 promoter) compared with mtr1Δ cells over-expressing mtr1-YFP (thiamine-repressible mnt1 promoter). All cells also express mCherry-atb2. Induced over-expression for 16 hrs indicates an approximately 3-fold signal intensity increase. mtr1p signal is uniformly in the cytoplasm and is not observed in the nucleus and the vacuoles. (B) Box plot comparison of interphase microtubule dwell-time of wild-type mtr1-GFP, mtr1Δ, and mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP (over-expressed) cells. Cells also expressed mCherry-atb2. Whereas the wild type showed a dwell-time of 0.7 ± 0.4 min (n = 52), and mtr1Δ showed an ~30% increase (p < 0.01) to 1.0 ± 0.4 min (n = 42), the over-expressed mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP cells showed a similar dwell-time (p = 0.86) to wild type at 0.7 ± 0.5 min (n = 104). This indicates that ectopic expression of mtr1-YFP in mtr1Δ cells rescued the dwell-time defects, and presumably other microtubule dynamic defects, of mtr1Δ.

mtr1p fluorescent signal is strictly cytoplasmic

The fission yeast +TIP protein mal3p (mammalian EB1) localizes to the growing interphase microtubule plus ends (Beinhauer et al., 1997; Busch and Brunner, 2004). As mtr1p contains the SxIP motif predicted to bind to mal3p (Honnappa et al., 2009), and as mtr1p functions in modulating microtubule dynamics (Fig. 1), we reasoned that mtr1p may be a +TIP protein. We thus tagged GFP at the C-terminus of the mtr1+ locus, and observed mtr1-GFP localization with respect to microtubules (mCherry-atb2). Surprisingly, endogenous-level expression of mtr1-GFP showed that mtr1p is cytoplasmic, and excluded from the nucleus and vacuoles (Fig. 2A). No co-localization of mtr1p with microtubules was observed with our current imaging setup (Fig. 2A). We next over-expressed mtr1-YFP, using the thiamine-repressible mnt1 promoter ectopically expressed at the leu1+ locus (Maundrell, 1993). Again, at very high mtr1-YFP expression level, as judged by the comparatively high fluorescent signal of 3-fold increase, we only observed mtr1p uniformly in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A).

To confirm that the over-expressed mtr1-YFP was functional, we tested if the over-expressed mtr1p can rescue the microtubule defects present in mtr1Δ cells. Specifically, we compared the interphase microtubule dwell-time of wild-type, mtr1Δ, and mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP(oe) cells expressing mCherry-atb2. Ectopic over-expression of mtr1-YFP indeed rescued the prolonged dwell-time of mtr1Δ (Fig. 2B). In these experiments, whereas the wild type showed a dwell-time of 0.7 ± 0.4 min (n = 52), and mtr1Δ showed an ~30% increase to 1.0 ± 0.4 min (n = 42), p < 0.01, the over-expressed mtr1Δ mtr1-YFP(oe) cells showed a similar dwell-time to wild type at 0.7 ± 0.5 min (n = 104, p = 0.86). Thus, ectopically expressing mtr1-YFP can rescue the mtr1Δ deletion, suggesting that mtr1-YFP tag was functional and behaved like wild-type mtr1p. Interestingly, over-expression of mtr1-YFP did not enhance its function, meaning over-expression did not decrease the microtubule dwell-time compared to wild type. This suggested that mtr1p function is not concentration-dependent.

There may be the possibility that weak and/or transient putative interaction of mtr1p with the microtubule plus end prevented its detection with our current imaging setup. Nevertheless, the endogenous and over-expression results suggest that mtr1p is not a +TIP protein, but does somehow modulate microtubule dynamics.

mtr1p is antagonistic to mal3p

The results thus far suggest that mtr1p destabilized interphase microtubules in fission yeast. The fission yeast mal3p has been shown to stabilize microtubules (Beinhauer et al., 1997; Busch and Brunner, 2004), consistent with its role as the EB1 +TIP protein. This suggests that mtr1p and mal3p may be antagonistic. We tested the hypothesis that by removing this antagonism, for example in the double-deletion strain mal3Δ mtr1Δ, we can rescue the microtubule defects contributed by individual deletion of mal3Δ or mtr1Δ. We compared wild-type, mal3Δ, and mal3Δ mtr1Δ strains expressing GFP-atb2. Qualitatively, wild-type cells showed long bundles of interphase microtubules that can reach the cell tips (Fig. 3A). In contrast, mal3Δ cells showed very short interphase microtubule bundles (Fig. 3A), consistent with previous reports (Beinhauer et al., 1997; Busch and Brunner, 2004). The mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells also showed short interphase microtubule bundles, but which appeared slightly longer than in mal3Δ alone (Fig. 3A).

We measured and compared the length of individual interphase microtubules in mal3Δ and mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells expressing GFP-atb2 (Fig. 3B). As there were many very short microtubules, we limited our analysis to the longest microtubule bundle observed in each cell. This limitation did not take into account the short microtubules, which were difficult to measure with high precision. Based upon this definition, the mal3Δ cells had an average interphase microtubule length of 2.6 ± 0.9 μm (n = 68). In contrast, the mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells had a longer microtubule length of 3.6 ± 0.8 μm (n = 67), an ~40% increase in length (p < 10⁻⁶). This result is consistent with mtr1p having a destabilizing effect.
on microtubules. For additional confirmation, we measured and compared individual interphase microtubule dynamics; focusing on the few longest microtubules of both mal3Δ and mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells expressing GFP-atb2 (Fig. 3C). Qualitatively, both Vg and Vc appeared similar for both mal3Δ and mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells (Fig. 3D). The most prominent difference was that microtubules in mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells grew longer and for longer time duration compared to mal3Δ alone (Fig. 3D). This resulted in a decrease in the frequency of catastrophe for mal3Δ mtr1Δ cells. For mal3Δ, the Fcat was 0.92±0.14 per min (n=3), which was similar to published reports (Busch and Brunner, 2004). In contrast, for mal3Δ mtr1Δ, the Fcat was 0.46±0.06 per min (n=3), an ~50% decrease (p<0.02) (Fig. 3E). Our results are consistent with mtr1p and mal3p playing antagonistic roles in microtubule dynamics regulation.

We did not observe mtr1p at microtubule plus ends (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, given mtr1p antagonistic function to mal3p, we examined mal3p dynamics in wild-type and mtr1Δ cells. Strains simultaneously expressing mal3-GFP and mCherry-atb2 (or the inverse) were not functional, exhibiting abnormally short microtubules (data not shown). We therefore imaged mal3-GFP in wild-type and mtr1Δ cells (Fig. 3F). We did not observe significant differences in mal3-GFP intensities at the microtubule plus ends between wild-type and mtr1Δ cells (data not shown). However, the dwell-time of mal3-GFP signal at the cell tip cortex was different between wild-type and mtr1Δ cells (Fig. 3G).

Whereas wild-type mal3-GFP dwell-time was 0.4±0.2 min (n=97), the mtr1Δ mal3-GFP dwell-time was 0.5±0.2 min (n=96), an ~25% increase (p<0.02). It is reported that microtubule catastrophe and shrinkage follow the unbinding of mal3p from the microtubule plus end (Maurer et al., 2012). Thus, that mtr1Δ showed longer mal3p dwell-time (Fig. 3G) is consistent with the result that mtr1Δ led to longer microtubule dwell-time (Fig. 1B), i.e. longer mal3p dwell-time promotes longer microtubule growth and less microtubule catastrophe. Further, that the double-deletion mal3Δ mtr1Δ showed longer microtubules than mal3Δ alone suggests that mal3p and mtr1p act independently of each other when antagonistically regulating microtubule dynamics.

mtr1p is evolutionarily conserved

BLAST analysis revealed that mtr1p is evolutionarily conserved, with orthologs (33–37% identical amino acid, and E-value of 10^-102–10^-137) from yeast to human (Fig. 4A; supplementary material Fig. S1). The human ortholog SDCCAG1 (NEMF) is implicated in colon and lung cancer (Carbonnelle et al., 1999), the Drosophila ortholog Caliban is reported to be a tumor suppressor gene and mediates protein nuclear export (Bi et al., 2005), and the budding yeast TAE2 (translation-associated element 2) is associated with the ribosome quality control complex and regulates degradation of defective translation products (Brandman et al., 2012; Defenouillé et al., 2013). We currently have no direct evidence that mtr1p is part of an evolutionarily conserved ribosome quality control complex in fission yeast, or that mtr1p can associate with ribosomes. Nevertheless, we tested whether the fission yeast ortholog of another budding yeast gene encoding a component of the ribosomal complex and showing synthetic genetic interaction with TAE2, also exhibited defects in microtubule dynamics (Alamgir et al., 2010). The previously uncharacterized fission yeast gene rps1801+ (SPBC16D10.11c) is currently predicted to encode a ribosome complex protein (http://www.pombase.org).
We compared the microtubule dwell-time among wild-type, \(mtr1\Delta\), and \(rps1801\Delta\) cells expressing GFP-atb2 (Fig. 4B). Wild-type microtubule dwell-time was 0.9 \(\pm\) 0.5 min (\(n = 252\)), \(mtr1\Delta\) was longer at 1.1 \(\pm\) 0.6 min (\(n = 256\)) (\(p < 10^{-5}\)), and \(rps1801\Delta\) was longer at 1.0 \(\pm\) 0.6 min (\(n = 223\)) (\(p < 0.02\)).

We failed to observe enhanced cycloheximide sensitivity, in \(mtr1\Delta\) cells compared to wild-type (data not shown). Therefore, we do not favor, but cannot rule out, the model that \(mtr1\Delta\) may affect global protein translation, directly to the microtubule lattice (Suprenant et al., 1989); and there is old evidence that the ribosome complex proteins can bind out, the model that \(mtr1\Delta\) may affect global protein translation, directly to the microtubule lattice (Suprenant et al., 1989); and there is also evidence that the microtubule-associated protein EMAP can bind to ribosomes (Suprenant et al., 1993). While we currently have no definitive proof that \(mtr1\Delta\) is antagonistic to \(mal3\Delta\), we further tested if \(rps1801\Delta\) in addition to \(mtr1\Delta\), \(rps1801\Delta\) has similar microtubule destabilizing effects. We further tested if \(rps1801\Delta\) was also antagonistic to \(mal3\Delta\). \(mal3\Delta\) cells had an average interphase microtubule length of 3.2 \(\pm\) 0.9 \(\mu\)m (\(n = 138\)). In contrast, the \(mal3\Delta\) \(rps1801\Delta\) cells had longer microtubule length of 3.3 \(\pm\) 0.8 \(\mu\)m (\(n = 61\)), an \(-20\%\) increase in length (\(p < 10^{-6}\)).

\(mtr1\Delta\) was initially found from a bioinformatic screen for potential \(mal3\Delta\)-EB1 binding proteins containing the SxIP motif. Our results suggest that \(mtr1\Delta\) is not localized at the microtubule plus tip, as \(mal3\Delta\) does. Further, we also failed to detect \(mal3\Delta\) in a mass-spectrometry study using \(mtr1\)-GFP and GFP control pull downs (data not shown). Thus, there is no detectable interaction between \(mtr1\Delta\) and \(mal3\Delta\). In this instance, the \(SxIP\) domain was not a good predictor of \(mal3\Delta\) binding. That \(mtr1\Delta\) has a role in microtubule dynamics was serendipitous.

The effect of \(mtr1\)-deletion on microtubule stability is relatively minor, with slight increase in dwell-time and slight decrease in the frequency of catastrophe. We failed to observe down stream consequences of \(mtr1\Delta\), such as cell shape and cell length defects or mitotic defects associated with microtubule defects. Nevertheless, \(mtr1\Delta\) destabilizing effect on microtubules appears bona fide, as \(mtr1\Delta\) is antagonistic to \(mal3\Delta\). At the moment, we are far from understanding how \(mtr1\Delta\) regulates microtubule dynamics. Its cytoplasmic distribution offers no clues to its mechanism of action.

Fission yeast \(mtr1\Delta\) is predicted to be a ribosome complex protein based on its sequence homology to the budding yeast ribosome complex-interacting protein TAE2 (Brandman et al., 2012; Defenouillère et al., 2013). TAE2 is implicated in a ribosomal quality control pathway to degrade aberrant translational products (Brandman et al., 2012; Defenouillère et al., 2013). While we currently have no definitive proof that \(mtr1\Delta\) is a ribosomal protein, mass-spectrometry data of \(mtr1\)-GFP pull-down, compared to data of its control GFP pull-down, appear slightly enriched in ribosomal proteins (data not shown). The \(mtr1\)-GFP pull down showed an enrichment factor of 1.37 \(\times\) (\(p < 10^{-10}\)) in ribosomal proteins compared to the control GFP pull down. In addition, coupled with the fact that one other fission yeast predicted ribosome complex protein, \(rps1801\Delta\), also showed similar microtubule dynamic phenotypes to \(mtr1\Delta\), suggest that the ribosome complex may function in regulating microtubule dynamics. Perhaps, as part of the ribosome quality control complex degrading aberrant translational products, \(mtr1\Delta\) ensures that some microtubule regulatory proteins are properly translated.

We failed to observe enhanced cycloheximide sensitivity, based on colony growth assay, in \(mtr1\Delta\) cells compared to wild type (data not shown). Therefore, we do not favor, but cannot rule out, the model that \(mtr1\Delta\) may affect global protein translation, including translation of microtubule regulatory proteins, which would subsequently modify microtubule dynamics. Intriguingly, there is old evidence that the ribosome complex proteins can bind directly to the microtubule lattice (Suprenant et al., 1989); and there is also evidence that the microtubule-associated protein EMAP can bind to ribosomes (Suprenant et al., 1993). While we show no evidence that \(mtr1\Delta\) binds either microtubules or ribosomes directly, we can imagine scenarios where \(mtr1\Delta\), as
part of the ribosome-microtubule interactor, slightly destabilizes the microtubule lattice by virtue of its binding. Alternatively, as a primarily cytoplasmic protein, mtr1p may transiently interact with the microtubule plus tips to regulate dynamics.

mtr1p is evolutionarily conserved (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the Drosophila and human orthologs Caliban and SDCCAG1, respectively, have been shown to be mediators of nuclear export (Bi et al., 2005). Further, Caliban has been proposed to be a tumor suppressor. Lung carcinomas and colon cancer cells have nonfunctional SDCCAG1 (Carbonnelle et al., 1999; Scanlan et al., 1998). Ectopic expression of Caliban in human lung carcinomas reduced their proliferation and invasiveness (Bi et al., 2005). As cell motility and mitosis are performed by the microtubule cytoskeleton, it is tempting to propose that SDCCAG1, like mtr1p, may regulate microtubule dynamics.
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