Suppressor mutations identify amino acids in PAA-1/PR65 that facilitate regulatory RSA-1/B” subunit targeting of PP2A to centrosomes in C. elegans
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Summary
Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation is a key mechanism for the spatial and temporal regulation of many essential developmental processes and is especially prominent during mitosis. The multi-subunit protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) enzyme plays an important, yet poorly characterized role in dephosphorylating proteins during mitosis. PP2As are heterotrimeric complexes comprising a catalytic, structural, and regulatory subunit. Regulatory subunits are mutually exclusive and determine subcellular localization and substrate specificity of PP2A. At least 3 different classes of regulatory subunits exist (termed B, B’, B”) but there is no obvious similarity in primary sequence between these classes. Therefore, it is not known how these diverse regulatory subunits interact with the same holoenzyme to facilitate specific PP2A functions in vivo. The B’ family of regulatory subunits is the least understood because these proteins lack conserved structural domains. RSA-1 (regulator of spindle assembly) is a regulatory B’ subunit required for mitotic spindle assembly in Caenorhabditis elegans. In order to address how B” subunits interact with the PP2A core enzyme, we focused on a conditional allele, rsa-1(or598ts), and determined that this mutation specifically disrupts the protein interaction between RSA-1 and the PP2A structural subunit, PAA-1. Through genetic screening, we identified a putative interface on the PAA-1 structural subunit that interacts with a defined region of RSA-1/B”. In the context of previously published results, these data propose a mechanism of how different PP2A B-regulatory subunit families can bind the same holoenzyme in a mutually exclusive manner, to perform specific tasks in vivo.
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Introduction
Proteomics studies suggest that changes in protein phosphorylation are particularly evident in mitotic cells (Olsen et al., 2010). Kinases, which are well-established regulators of mitotic events, transfer phosphate groups to target proteins (Alexander et al., 2011). Phosphatases catalyze the dephosphorylation of target proteins and are required for many important events in mitosis (Barr et al., 2011). For example, they regulate mitotic entry (Gharbi-Ayachi et al., 2010), centriole duplication (Brownlee et al., 2011), G2/M transition (Vazquez et al., 1999; Vázquez-Novelle et al., 2010), spindle formation (Zeng et al., 2010), microtubule–kinetochore interaction (Foley et al., 2011; Posch et al., 2010), separation of sister chromatids (Clift et al., 2009), and mitotic exit (Wu et al., 2009). Phosphatases can also regulate oncogenes and tumor suppressors (Zhang and Claret, 2012); inhibition of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) with okadaic acid induces carcinoma formation (Suganuma et al., 1988) and mutations in PP2A subunits have been identified in cancer cell lines (Ito et al., 2003). While some mitotic phosphatases have been characterized, many are still poorly understood.

Ser/Thr phosphatases of the PP2A family are heterotrimeric complexes. A structural subunit and a catalytic subunit form a core holoenzyme that interacts with one regulatory subunit. A variety of regulatory subunits have been identified, distinct families of which are called B, B’, and B” (Janssens and Goris, 2001). The different B-type families do not exhibit sequence similarity and it is unclear how these diverse proteins can interact with a common core holoenzyme. The mutually exclusive regulatory subunits confer substrate specificity and/or subcellular location to the PP2A complex. C. elegans have only one PP2A catalytic and one structural subunit (LET-92 and PAA-1, respectively) but potentially 9 regulatory subunits based on sequence similarity. The C. elegans PP2A holoenzyme has many overlapping functions during the first mitotic division. Loss of either the catalytic or structural subunit results in a severe pleiotropic phenotype with defects in chromosome alignment, progression of the cell cycle, and exit from mitosis (Suganuma et al., 2003). The separate tasks attributable to PP2A are revealed when any one regulatory subunit is depleted. For example, RNAi of the regulatory subunit, SUR-6/B, affects only a subset of PP2A functions and causes centriole duplication to fail (Kitagawa et al., 2011; Song et
al., 2011) while a mutation in the regulatory subunit PPTR-1/B' affects P-granule partitioning (Gallo et al., 2010; Griffin et al., 2011). RNAi of the regulatory subunit, RSA-1/B', results in a collapse of the mitotic spindle and a decrease in the number ofmicrotubules that emanate from centrosomes (Schlaitz et al., 2007).

B' regulatory subunits are not well-understood, in part, because the members of this family lack conserved structural domains. In order to specifically identify the protein regions required for the interaction between the regulatory B' subunit RSA-1 and the PP2A holoenzyme, we performed a genetic screen for interaction suppressors of rsa-1(or598ts) (O'Rourke et al., 2011), an allele that we show disrupts the ability of RSA-1 to recruit the PP2A holoenzyme to the centrosome. Our screen identified residues important for the physical interaction between RSA-1 and PAA-1. Including information from other regulatory B families, the data reveal a unique interface for the binding of B' regulatory subunits with the PP2A structural subunit. This work provides a plausible mechanism for how the different B-subunit types can bind the same holoenzyme in a mutually exclusive manner to perform distinct cellular functions.

Results and Discussion

rsa-1(or598ts) is a temperature-sensitive recessive missense mutation, D319G, in the C-terminus of the RSA-1 protein (O'Rourke et al., 2011). Consistent with published observations (O'Rourke et al., 2011), we found that this allele phenocopies rsa-1(or598ts) (Schlaitz et al., 2007), an allele that we show disrupts the ability of RSA-1 to recruit the PP2A holoenzyme to the centrosome. Our screen identified residues important for the physical interaction between RSA-1 and PAA-1. Including information from other regulatory B families, the data reveal a unique interface for the binding of B' regulatory subunits with the PP2A structural subunit. This work provides a plausible mechanism for how the different B-subunit types can bind the same holoenzyme in a mutually exclusive manner to perform distinct cellular functions. We created yeast-two hybrid constructs of the structural subunit (PAA-1), the catalytic subunit (LET-92), RSA-1(wt) and RSA-1(or598) to determine if the point mutation in rsa-1(or598) disrupts protein interactions within the PP2A complex. Growth of transformed yeast on selective medium indicated that RSA-1(wt) and RSA-1(or598) interacted with the catalytic subunit LET-92, but only RSA-1(wt) interacted with PAA-1 (Fig. 1C; supplementary material Fig. S2). These data indicated that the D319G substitution specifically disrupted the interaction between RSA-1 and PAA-1.

We hypothesized that rsa-1(or598ts) lesion disrupted its physical interaction with the core holoenzyme, then a second mutation in either rsa-1 or paa-1 might restore the interaction to produce a functional heterotrimeric PP2A complex. Because rsa-1(or598ts) is a lethal mutation, a suppressor should restore viability to the rsa-1(or598ts) worms, and thus be readily identified through selection. Since PAA-1 has many functions independent of RSA-1, this method would select for mutations in either rsa-1 or paa-1 that do not interfere with other essential functions of the protein. Using EMS mutagenesis we screened 150,000 haploid genomes and isolated 24 independent suppressors of rsa-1(or598ts) (Table 1). We used genetic linkage analysis to determine which chromosome the suppressor mutations were located on and then sequenced candidate suppressors. We identified 6 suppressor alleles in rsa-1 and 15 in paa-1. Three additional suppressors
Fig. 2. Amino acids affected by interaction suppressors. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of RSA-1 and PP2A B\(^0\) regulatory subunits in *H. sapiens* (*Hs*) G5PR, *D. melanogaster* (*Dm*) Cg4733, *S. cerevisiae* (*Sc*) FRQ1, and *A. thaliana* (*At*) TON2. D319, D323, S335, and L367 intragenic suppressor locations are highlighted with red asterisks. The green box denotes the second of a tandem EF-hand motif that binds Ca\(^{2+}\) in some B\(^0\) subunits. (B) The first four N-terminal HEAT repeats of PAA-1 and human PR65. R23, V106, G151, and S154 suppressor locations are highlighted with red asterisks. Blue and green dots denote amino acids that physically interact (H-bonds or van der Waals) with a B (Strack et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2008) or B\(^9\) (Cho and Xu, 2007; Xu et al., 2006) regulatory subunit respectively. (C) *C. elegans* PAA-1 aligned to the published crystal structure of human PR65 (3dw8A). White arrows indicate suppressor locations. HEAT repeats are red at the N-terminus to purple at the C-terminus. Short loops between HEAT repeats are gray. See Table 1 for amino acid alterations in the suppressor mutations.

Table 1. Genetic behavior of representative suppressors of *rsa-1*(or598ts). Amino acid numbers are based on C25A9.1a (*rsa-1*) and F48E8.5a (*paa-1*). Embryonic viabilities were determined at 26°C. Heterozygote hatching rates were determined by scoring worms homozygous for *rsa-1*(or598ts) and heterozygous for the suppressor. N, number of embryos. s.d., standard deviation. Asterisks indicate reference suppressor alleles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation a.a./(DNA)</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Homozygous</th>
<th>Heterozygous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>s.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intragenic (RSA-1) suppressors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D319G (A1372G)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) I</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G319S (G1371A)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598, abc6) I*</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S335L (C1462T)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598, abc21) I*</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP2A structural subunit (PAA-1) suppressors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G151R (G451A)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc2) III*</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc23) III</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paa-1(abc2) III</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23C (C677T)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc5) III*</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc3) III</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc7) III</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc9) III</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc10) III</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc11) III</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc12) III</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc15) III</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paa-1(abc5) III</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V106I (G316A)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc8) III*</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc28) III</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paa-1(abc8) III</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V106I, S154C (G316A, C461G)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc13) III*</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paa-1(abc13) III</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23H (G68A)</td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc14) III*</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rsa-1(or598) 1, paa-1(abc26) III</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paa-1(abc14) III</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were isolated in this screen that were not identified upon sequencing of rsa-1, paa-1, or let-92; their locations are, as yet, unknown. RSA-1 interacts with the catalytic subunit LET-92 (Fig. 1C), but no interaction suppressors were found in this gene. Because the or598 mutation did not disrupt RSA-1-LET-92 interaction in yeast, the interaction between these two PP2A components is probably not sufficient for robust regulatory B' subunit association in vivo. Furthermore, the lack of suppressors within LET-92 indicates that it may not be possible to alter LET-92 in such a way that facilitates the functional reassociation of RSA-1(or598) with the holoenzyme in vivo.

The intragenic suppressors of rsa-1(or598ts) were all dominant missense mutations predicted to cause amino acid substitutions in the C-terminus of the protein, near the original lesion (Fig. 2A). Suppressor mutations within the rsa-1 gene restored embryonic viability to near wild-type levels (Table 1). None of the mutations reverted the original or598ts mutation (D319G) back to the WT sequence; however, one allele changed this residue to a serine. The relatively confined location of the suppressor mutations in the RSA-1 protein suggests that amino acids in this region specifically contribute to the interaction between RSA-1 and the PP2A structural subunit. We recovered multiple independent alleles in our screen with identical amino acid substitutions, suggesting that we have identified all point mutations capable of restoring wild-type function to the protein. Independently-isolated alleles that exhibited the same amino acid change conferred equivalent levels of suppression (supplementary material Fig. S4), therefore one representative allele from each class was used for further characterization. For each representative intragenic suppressor, both RSA-1 and PAA-1 were observed at the centrosomes (Fig. 3A; supplementary material Fig. S5). Quantification of centrosomal immunofluorescence of PAA-1 and RSA-1 indicated that the suppressor mutants restored PAA-1 to wild-type levels at the centrosome (Fig. 3B). To verify that the intragenic suppressors restore the protein interaction with PAA-1, we performed a yeast two-hybrid assay using a representative allele, abc6. We found that the RSA-1(abc6) protein was able to interact with PAA-1 in yeast (n=3/5 trials) (Fig. 3C; supplementary material Fig. S7). Based on the observation that the rsa-1(or598ts) lesion disrupted an interaction with PAA-1, the amino acids uncovered in this analysis identify key residues in the B' regulatory subunit that modulate this interaction.

RSA-1 is not predicted to bind Ca\(^{2+}\) because of sequence variations in the region corresponding to the EF-hand motif in other B' family members. While it is possible that RSA-1 could bind Ca\(^{2+}\) with a non-canonical EF-hand-like motif, a role for this particular region in protein–protein interaction may not require Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. The two suppressor mutations found outside of the EF-hand region that restore functionality to the RSA-1(or598) protein support this idea. It is also possible that some B' regulatory subunits utilize Ca\(^{2+}\) to regulate the activity of the holoenzyme (Janssens et al., 2009) rather than to modulate protein interactions within the complex.

In our genetic screen for interaction suppressors of rsa-1(or598ts), we identified 15 suppressor mutations within the gene for the PP2A structural subunit, paa-1. These dominant missense mutations were grouped into 5 classes, each of which resulted in a specific amino acid change (Table 1). Within each class, all suppressors exhibited the same level of suppression (supplementary material Fig. S6) so one representative allele from each class was analyzed further. Immunostaining of suppressed rsa-1(or598ts) embryos representative of each of the five paa-1 suppressors showed PAA-1 staining at the centrosomes (Fig. 3A; supplementary material Fig. S5). To verify that the PP2A structural subunit suppressors restore the protein interaction with RSA-1(or598), we performed a yeast two-hybrid assay using a representative allele, abc8. As predicted, we observed that the PAA-1(abc8) protein interacted with RSA-1(or598) in yeast (n=3/4 trials) (Fig. 3C; supplementary material Fig. S7). This indicated that the PAA-1 mutations were able to restore the protein–protein interaction...
perturbed by *rsa-1(or598ts)*, resulting in wild-type centrosomal recruitment of the PP2A complex (Fig. 3B).

In *C. elegans*, the *paa-1* gene encodes the sole PP2A structural subunit; *paa-1(RNAi)* results in 100% embryonic lethality (*n*=986). We tested whether the *paa-1* mutations isolated as suppressors of *rsa-1(or598ts)* conferred a phenotype in a wild-type genetic background. We generated worm strains that contained a wild-type copy of *rsa-1* and were homozygous for one representative allele of each *paa-1* mutation. All of these strains exhibited near wild-type embryonic viability (Table 1). *C. elegans* have 9 putative regulatory subunits of the PP2A complex and PAA-1 is expected to interact with all of them. Therefore, the point mutations in *paa-1* likely do not disrupt the overall structure of the protein or affect any protein interactions required for proper growth and development. We also tested whether a representative *paa-1* suppressor mutation would rescue a putative null allele of *rsa-1*. *rsa-1(dd13); paa-1(abc2)* worms exhibited 0% embryonic viability (*n*=85) indicating that the suppression by *paa-1(abc2)* is allele-specific. This result is consistent with the expected behavior of an interaction suppressor.

The *paa-1* protein contains 15 tandem HEAT repeats (Huntington, elongation factor 3, protein phosphatase 2A, PI3-kinase TOR1), each of which are two anti-parallel α-helices joined by a short flexible linker region. HEAT repeats create very flexible protein structures (Kappel et al., 2010), which likely contributes to the ability of the PP2A holoenzyme to interact with the diverse families of regulatory subunits. Based on crystal structure data, the *paa-1* structural subunit, PR65, is a C-shaped protein composed of two layers of helices and the flexible linker region between the helices forms a ridge on one edge of the structure (Cho and Xu, 2007; Xu et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2008).

The PR55/B regulatory subunit, has previously been shown to interact with the ridge and outer helices of HEATS 1–7 PR65 (Strack et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2008) while the PR56/B’ regulatory subunit has been shown to interact only with the ridge of HEATS 2–8 of the structural subunit (Cho and Xu, 2007; Xu et al., 2006). The interaction suppressor mutations in *paa-1* are predicted to affect HEAT repeats 1, 3, and 4. Amino acid R23 is mutated in two suppressors (R23C, R23H) and is on the ridge of the first HEAT repeat. Interestingly, in PR65 this amino acid has been shown to contribute to van der Waals forces with PR55/B, which are important for stability of the interaction (Xu et al., 2008). While it is not clear how the R23 mutation restores the interaction between the B” subunit RSA-1(or598) and PAA-1, these mutations likely do not disrupt interactions between PAA-1 and the *C. elegans* B subunit SUR-6 since the mutations do not phenocopy depletion of sur-6. V106I is on the inner α-helix of the third HEAT repeat and both G151R and S154C are located on the inner α-helix of the fourth HEAT repeat (Fig. 2B). This suggests that the B” subunit RSA-1 forms a unique interaction with the ridge and inner helices at the N-terminus of the PP2A structural subunit.

The high conservation and wealth of experimentally-derived structural knowledge for PP2A structural subunits allowed a prediction of the three dimensional protein structure (Fig. 2C) using InterScanPro (Altschul et al., 1997; Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001). The interaction suppressors in *paa-1* are not predicted to alter the overall three dimensional structure of the protein (data not shown), which is not surprising since these mutations confer no phenotypes other than suppression of *rsa-1(or598)*. Our data predict an interface on PAA-1 composed of the ridge of HEAT 1 and inner helices of HEATS 3 and 4 for binding RSA-1. The actual interface might be larger than predicted; additional residues of the structural subunit may interact with RSA-1 but would not be identified in this screen if, for example, such a mutation disrupted any essential function of PP2A.

PR55/B regulatory subunits interact with the N-terminal ridge and outer helices of the structural subunit, PR56/B’ only interact with the ridge, and our analyses indicate RSA-1/B’ interacts with the ridge and inner helices of the structural subunit. Taken together these data provide a mechanism for how such diverse families of regulatory subunits can interact with the same protein in a mutually exclusive manner. We propose that the binding of multiple regulatory subunits is sterically inhibited because each family interacts with the N-terminal ridge of the structural subunit. The structural subunit is able to support binding to such diverse B-subunits because each family interacts with a unique, but overlapping face of the structural subunit.

Phosphatases play many essential roles during mitosis, many of which rely on regulated subcellular targeting of enzymatic activity. Insight into the intermolecular relationships for subunits of this important class of enzymes will further our understanding of their behavior during mitosis and provide clues for the molecular basis of many cancers, where PP2A activity is often misregulated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Worm strains and culture conditions**

The Bristol strain N2 was used as the reference wild-type strain (Brenner, 1974). The following strains were used in this study: MAS39 rsa-1(or598ts) I, MAS23 rsa-1(or598ts) dpy-5(e61) I, MAS40 rsa-1(or598ts) bli-2(e768) II; unc-32(e1689) III, MAS24 rsa-1(or598ts) unc-5(e53) IV; dpy-11(e224) V; lon-2(e678) X, and MAS102 rsa-1(or598ts) I; dpy-1(e1) unc-119(ed3) II. The wild-type Hawaiian variant CB4856 was used for single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mapping. Worms were cultured on NGM medium seeded with *Escherichia coli* strain OP50. Strains were maintained at 20°C and shifted to 26°C at LAST stage for analyses.

**Antibodies, immunostaining and microscopy**

Tubulin was immunostained with DM1A anti-tubulin antibodies (Sigma). Affinity-purified rabbit anti-USA1 antibodies and polyclonal rabbit-anti-ZYG-9 were gifts from Toru Takai. The PAA-1 antibody was raised in rabbits against a purified protein consisting of PAA-1(288–590) fused to glutathione S-transferase. The PAA-1-specific antibody was then affinity purified using the same portion of the PAA-1 C-terminus fused to maltose binding protein. Primers used in the generation of the PAA-1 antibody are listed in supplementary material Table S1. Alexa487 goat anti-mouse and Alexa546 goat anti-rabbit or Alexa546 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) were used at 1:100. Immunostaining was performed as previously described (O’Toole et al., 2012). For experiments with both rabbit anti-USA1 and rabbit anti-USA1-antibodies, fixed embryos were first treated with rabbit anti-USA1 that had been converted to goat using 30 µg/mL of DyLight488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit Fab fragments (Jackson Laboratories). DAPI (5 µg/mL) was used to stain chromatin. For supplementary material Fig. S1, MAS37, unc-119(ed3) abcl3 (*pio-1(promoter)-ebp-2::gfp; unc-119(e53); pio-1(e53)*) was used to acquire EB1:GFP streams with 300 ms exposition and 2×2 binning as previously described (Giusowski and Strayko, 2011). EB1:GFP imaging was started 45 seconds after nuclear envelope breakdown and a temperature control was used to maintain 25.7±0.5°C. Confocal microscopy was performed with an Olympus IX81 inverted Yokogawa spinning disc microscope. All images were collected with a 60× objective (NA 1.42) and captured with a Hamamatsu Orca R2 camera using MetaMorph software.

**Yeast two hybrid analysis**

The host strain for selection was L40 (*MATa trp1 leu2 his3 lys2::lexA-HIS3 ura4::lexA-URA3 leu2::LexA::LacZ*). Yeast were grown in synthetic minimal medium consisting of 2% dextrose (w/v), 0.67% nitrogen base without amino acids with the appropriate supplements: amino acids, uracil, and adenine (Sigma). The cDNA for rsa-1(F48E8.5, let-92/F3HI4.9, and rsa-1/C25A1H9) were obtained from Open Biosystems. *rsa-1* was sub-cloned in the pACT2 vector (Addgene), let-92 was
sub-cloned in the plExA vector (Addgene), and rsa-1 was sub-cloned into plExA and pAC22. PCR-based mutagenesis was used to introduce a lesion in the rsa-1 cDNA to generate the rsa-1(or598ts) D319G amino acid substitution, the rsa-1(ab6c) G319S intragenic suppressor lesion, and the paa(-labe8c) V106E amino acid substitution. PCR mutagenesis protocol was as follows: PCR reaction was hot-started with 17 μl PT1.1× Master Mix (500 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl2, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.1% BSA), 1 μl 10 mM NAD, 0.5 μl each phosphorylated primer, 0.5 μl DMSO, 0.3 μl Taq ligase, 10 ng of template. 1 μl Pfu was then added, and PCR conditions were as follows: 95˚C<2 min, (95˚C<1 min, 55˚C<1 min, 65˚C<10 min)x30 cycles, and 65˚C<7 min. DpnI was added and incubated for 4–6 hours prior to transforming DH5α. After sequencing to verify no other mutations were introduced to the cDNA, the constructs were sub-cloned into the yeast expression vectors. Primers used are listed in supplementary material Table S1.

**RNAi by feeding and Western blot**

RNAi plates (nematode growth medium agar, 1.0 mM IPTG, and 25 mg/mL carbenicillin) were seeded with paa-1(RNAi) or let-22(RNAi) cultured overnight at RT to be counted. The number of hatched larvae and dead eggs were counted. For each brood, the number of eggs was divided by the number of hatchlings to obtain a hatch rate. For each strain, the mean of 5–10 broods was calculated.

**Suppressor screen**

Suppressors of rsa-1(or598ts) maternal-effect embryonic lethality were isolated in three independent rounds of mutagenesis as previously described (Kemp et al., 2007) with minor modifications. Following EMS treatment, P0 larvae were kept at 20˚C and when the F2 generation were L4, they were shifted to 25˚C. The F1 progeny were heterozygous. To assign each suppressor to a suppression line with males from the original strain to RSA-1 targeting of PP2A to centrosomes in C. elegans embryos. Dev. Cell 20, 550-562.
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Fig. S1. rsa-1(or598ts) has fewer microtubules emanating from the centrosomes. EB1::GFP decorates the growing ends of microtubules. Panels shown are a maximum projection of 25 images acquired as a GFP live stream (300 ms frame rate over 7.5 seconds) of a wild-type and rsa-1(or598) embryo expressing EB1::GFP. In wild-type embryos there are more EB1 tracks than in rsa-1(or598ts) embryos.

Fig. S2. Yeast-two-hybrid analysis. Control medium is SC−trp−leu to select for the transformed plasmids. Selective medium is SC−trp−leu−his and requires interaction between the activation domain (AD) fusion protein and the binding domain (BD) fusion protein to facilitate growth. The positive control is AD::RAF/BD::RAS and the negative control is AD::Empty/BD::RAS, provided by Addgene. Yeast were plated in a dilution series of 1, 1/10, 1/100, and 1/1000.

Fig. S3. Confirmation of the specificity of the rabbit-anti-PAA-1 antibody. (A) Immunofluorescence staining of paa-1(RNAi) embryos after 28 hours of RNAi feeding. Scale bar represents 10 μm. (B) Western blot of embryo preparations, from Fig. 1, but with extended field to indicate antibody specificity. PAA-1 migration is consistent with a size of ~55 kDa.

RSA-1 targeting of PP2A to centrosomes

RSA-1(S335L) RSA-1(D323N)

Fig. S4. Homozygous embryonic viability at 26°C of intragenic suppressors with multiple alleles. Red bar indicates reference allele for each amino acid substitution. No statistical difference was observed between the alleles of each suppressor, so only one reference allele was used for subsequent analysis. Error bars represent standard deviation. Embryonic viabilities between alleles were considered to be significantly different if the P-value was less than 0.0500 in an unpaired, two-tailed t-test.
**Fig. S5. Interaction suppressors in PP2A/RSA-1 complex restore recruitment of PAA-1 protein to centrosomes.** Representative fixed embryos for rsa-1 and paa-1 suppressors stained with anti-PAA-1 and anti-RSA-1. Scale bar represents 10 μm.
Fig. S6. Homozygous embryonic viability at 26°C of suppressors with multiple alleles in paa-1. Red bar indicates reference allele for each amino acid substitution. No statistical difference between multiple alleles of each suppressor so only one reference allele was used for subsequent analysis. Error bars represent standard deviation. Embryonic viabilities between alleles were considered to be significantly different if the P-value was less than 0.0500 in unpaired, two-tailed t-test.

Fig. S7. Yeast-two-hybrid analysis with representative suppressor mutations. Control medium is SC-trp-leu to select for the transformed plasmids. Selective medium is SC-trp-leu-his and requires interaction between the activation domain (AD) fusion protein and the binding domain (BD) fusion protein to facilitate growth. Yeast were plated in a dilution series of 1, 1/10,
Table S1. PCR primers used in this study. Restriction enzyme sites are in bold. Mutagenic lesions are in red.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers for yeast two hybrid analysis</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>5' TTTCGGGGCGTTGCAGTTTCAGAAAGCC</th>
<th>5' TTTCCGGGGAGGTAAAGCCGAGGTAGTCTCTAGG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>paa-1 into pAct2.2</td>
<td>5' TTTCCGGGGCGTTGCAGTTTCAGAAAGCC</td>
<td>5' TTTCCGGGGAGGTAAAGCCGAGGTAGTCTCTAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-92 into pLexA</td>
<td>5' TTTCCGGGGCGTTGCAGTTTCAGAAAGCC</td>
<td>5' TTTCTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-1 into pLexA/pAct2.2</td>
<td>5' TTTCCGGGGCGTTGCAGTTTCAGAAAGCC</td>
<td>5' TTTCTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-1(or598) PCR mutagenesis</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-1(abc6) PCR mutagenesis</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-1(abc8) PCR mutagenesis</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td>5' TTCGTGCAGGGTGACGGAAAGTGTCAGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers for PAA-1 antibody production</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>5' CCCGGGTTACACGAAACCTTCTCAGG</th>
<th>5' GGGGCTATCGTGACACGAAACCTTCTCAAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>paa-1 C-terminus into pGEX-6P-1</td>
<td>5' CCCGGGTTACACGAAACCTTCTCAGG</td>
<td>5' GGGGCTATCGTGACACGAAACCTTCTCAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paa-1 C-terminus into pMALc5x</td>
<td>5' CCCGGGTTACACGAAACCTTCTCAGG</td>
<td>5' GGGGCTATCGTGACACGAAACCTTCTCAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>