Sex differences in gait utilization and energy metabolism during terrestrial locomotion in two varieties of chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) selected for different body size
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**ABSTRACT**

In leghorn chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) of standard breed (large) and bantam (small) varieties, artificial selection has led to female-biased size dimorphism. Using respirometry, videography and morphological measurements, sex and variety differences in metabolic cost of locomotion, gait utilisation and maximum sustainable speed (*U*\(_{\text{max}}\)) were investigated during treadmill locomotion. Males were capable of greater *U*\(_{\text{max}}\) than females and used a grounded running gait at high speeds, which was only observed in a few bantam females and no standard breed females. Body mass accounted for variation in the incremental increase in metabolic power with speed between the varieties, but not the sexes. For the first time in an avian species, a greater mass-specific incremental cost of locomotion, and minimum measured cost of transport (*CoT*\(_{\text{min}}\)) were found in males than in females. Furthermore, in both varieties, the female *CoT*\(_{\text{min}}\) was lower than predicted from interspecific allometry. Even when compared at equivalent speeds (using Froude number), *CoT* decreased more rapidly in females than in males. These trends were common to both varieties despite a more upright limb in females than in males in the standard breed, and a lack of dimorphism in posture in the bantam variety. Females may possess compensatory adaptations for metabolic efficiency during gravity (e.g. in muscle specialization/posture/kinematics). Furthermore, the elevated power at faster speeds in males may be linked to their muscle properties being suited to inter-male aggressive combat.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Many avian species exhibit sexual dimorphism in morphology, physiology and behaviour, linked to differential specialization of the sexes towards mate competition, reproduction and parental care (Dunn et al., 2001). With the few exceptions of reverse sexual size dimorphism, where females are the larger sex (Reynolds, 1972; Hakkaninen et al., 1996; Pande and Dahanukar, 2012), males are often larger than females and these size differences are more pronounced in cursorial species (Hoglund, 1989). Furthermore, the relative proportions of the skeleton (Baumel, 1953), skeletal muscle and viscera may differ between the sexes (Hammond et al., 2000). Physiological performance traits (e.g. maximum aerobic capacity, maximum speed, endurance and metabolic costs) may also be expected to be sex-specific (Husak and Fox, 2008). Previous studies investigating physiological differences between the sexes in birds have focused on maximum performance and aerobic limits and/or scopes (Chappell et al., 1996, 2011; Hammond et al., 2000). Despite well documented influences of body size and shape on the mechanics and energetics of locomotion (Taylor et al., 1982; Alexander and Jayes, 1983), however, the influence of sexual dimorphism on locomotor performance in birds has been given little attention (Brackenbury and Elsayed, 1985; Lees et al., 2012; Rose et al., 2014).

The metabolic cost of terrestrial locomotion has been investigated across a wide range of avian species. Most studies have focused on interspecific comparisons to understand scaling patterns with respect to body mass (*M*\(_b\)) and deviations from these patterns associated with body form and locomotor specialization. Usually in these studies, only one sex is considered (Nudds et al., 2010); the sex of the experimental animal is not specified (Taylor et al., 1971, 1982; Fedak et al., 1974; Pinshow et al., 1977; Roberts et al., 1998; White et al., 2008), or male and female data are pooled (Bamford and Maloiy, 1980; Bruinzeel et al., 1999; Ellerby et al., 2003; Rubenson et al., 2004; Ellerby and Marsh, 2006; Watson et al., 2011; Tickle et al., 2013).

The potential for sex differences in locomotor performance has been investigated in very few avian species and different studies have produced varying results. For example, male Svalbard rock ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta hyperborea*) were shown to have lower mass-specific metabolic power (*P*\(_{\text{mci}}\); W kg\(^{-1}\)) requirements than females at any given treadmill speed, despite the sexes sharing similar *M*\(_b\) (Lees et al., 2012). Furthermore, males achieved greater maximum sustainable speeds (*U*\(_{\text{max}}\)) by 50% and used aerial running gaits, whereas females did not (Lees et al., 2012). These results are consistent with the life history differences between the sexes, whereby male ptarmigan defend vast territories to secure mates and females, who are less active, provide parental care to chicks (Steen and Unander, 1985; Unander and Steen, 1985). In contrast, in the common eider (*Somateria mollissima*), a diving bird, no sex differences in gait choice, *P*\(_{\text{net}}\) or *U*\(_{\text{max}}\) were found despite males being 16–18% heavier than females (Rose et al., 2014). The similar locomotor performance of the sexes in eiders is consistent with the short amount of time that each sex spends using terrestrial locomotion, which is important for spring breeding and incubation, but not for securing mates (Portugal and Guillemette, 2011). Without knowledge on the morphological sexual dimorphisms of a species, however, it is difficult to understand any underlying mechanisms behind differences in locomotor performance.
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Domestic layer chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus) are a useful species with which to investigate sex constraints on locomotor performance. Not only has artificial selection led to females being permanently gravid but male-biased sexual size dimorphism is common to both wild ancestral and derived chickens due to sexual selection (Remes and Szekely, 2010). The sex-specific behaviours (Guhl et al., 1945; Schutz et al., 2001), morphologies and physiologies (Mitchell et al., 1931; Whitehead, 2004; Remes and Szekely, 2010) of layer breeds are also well documented. For example, males compete with one another for social status, territory and access to females through sustained, aggressive, combats. Furthermore, males participate in courtship activities including feeding, crowing (Chappell et al., 1995; Horn et al., 1995; Wilson et al., 2008), wing dipping and flapping (Chappell et al., 1997). Females, in comparison, invest energy in reproduction (van Kampen, 1976a) and are the sole providers of parental care. To suit these specializations, males possess greater relative anatomical weights of the bones, skeletal muscles, heart and blood, whilst females outweigh males in digestive components, flesh and fat (Mitchell et al., 1931; Hammond et al., 2000).

In a study by Brackenbury and Elsayed (1985), it was hypothesized that the sexes of layer chickens would differ in the metabolic cost of locomotion due to differences in the proportions of total metabolic energy devoted to reproduction (Brackenbury and Elsayed, 1985). Yet, no differences in mass-specific metabolic rates or the incremental cost of locomotion (also known as the minimum cost of transport, CoTmin; J kg$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) were found (Brackenbury and Elsayed, 1985). This lack of a difference is despite the fact that interspecific scaling of the CoTmin with body mass, (Mitchell et al., 1931; Hammond et al., 2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List of abbreviations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>net-Pmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mean (±s.e.m) morphological measurements, maximum sustainable speeds and walk-grounded run transition speeds for the four chicken variety/sex combinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N$^a$</th>
<th>M$\text{b}$ (kg)</th>
<th>h$\text{hip}$ (mm)</th>
<th>$\Sigma$ l$\text{seg}$ (mm)</th>
<th>Posture index$^b$</th>
<th>$U_{\text{max}}$ (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Transition (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male bantam</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.39±0.03</td>
<td>200.44±2.64</td>
<td>253.70±3.11</td>
<td>0.79±0.02</td>
<td>0.99±0.06</td>
<td>&gt;0.69&lt;0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female bantam</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.09±0.04</td>
<td>167.40±9.15 (N=5)</td>
<td>219.47±2.85</td>
<td>0.76±0.03 (N=5)</td>
<td>0.75±0.08</td>
<td>&gt;0.69&lt;0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male standard</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.92±0.13</td>
<td>229.40±5.77</td>
<td>308.37±6.06</td>
<td>0.74±0.01</td>
<td>1.33±0.06</td>
<td>&gt;0.97&lt;1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female standard</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.43±0.02</td>
<td>251.00±10.67</td>
<td>258.10±2.44</td>
<td>0.97±0.04</td>
<td>1.07±0.04</td>
<td>No transition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-way ANOVAs were performed to test for differences between varieties and sexes in M$\text{b}$ (variety×sex, F$1,24$=3.07, P=0.093; variety, F$1,24$=59.40, P<0.001; sex, F$1,24$=45.80, P<0.001), h$\text{hip}$ (variety×sex, F$1,22$=13.17, F=0.001; variety, F$1,22$=53.42, P<0.001; sex, F$1,22$=8.88, P=0.039), $\Sigma$ l$\text{seg}$ (variety×sex, F$1,24$=5.11, P=0.033; variety, F$1,24$=170.76, P<0.001; sex, F$1,24$=137.02, P<0.001) and log posture index (variety×sex, F$1,22$=20.13, P<0.001; variety, F$1,22$=6.39, P=0.019; sex, F$1,22$=8.87, P<0.001). Abbreviated measurements included body mass (M$\text{b}$), hip height (h$\text{hip}$), leg length (sum of hind limb skeletal element lengths, $\Sigma$ l$\text{seg}$) and maximum sustainable speed ($U_{\text{max}}$).

$^a$Sample size unless otherwise stated adjacent to the relevant mean value.

$^b$Posture indices were calculated as h$\text{hip}$/$\Sigma$ l$\text{seg}$.
this study had duty factors below 0.5; therefore, they did not use aerial running gaits. In L₉, the maximum speed (U) at which the horizontal kinetic energy (E₉kh) of the body centre of mass (CoM) was observed to fluctuate out-of-phase with the sum of the vertical kinetic and potential energy (E₉kv+E₉p) of the CoM (walking gait mechanics, Fig. 1A) was 1.11 m s⁻¹ (2 of 5 individuals). From 1.11–1.39 m s⁻¹ the E₉kh and E₉kv+E₉p of their CoM were in-phase (Fig. 1B), indicating that they used grounded running gaits. At the U_max, however, the E₉kh and E₉kv+E₉p of the CoM were out-of-phase indicating that they were still walking. In bantams of either sex, E₉kh and E₉kv+E₉p of the CoM were out-of-phase at speeds up to and including 0.83 m s⁻¹, and in-phase from speeds of 0.83 m s⁻¹ and greater, indicating that the sexes utilized walking and grounded running gait mechanics over similar speed ranges. However, only 3 of 7 females could sustain 0.83 m s⁻¹, at which speed one individual was still walking. The same 3 B♀ could sustain 0.97 m s⁻¹ and were all grounded running at this speed. Therefore, most B♀ and all L♀ were either unwilling or incapable of performing a grounded running gait.

**Resting metabolic rates**

During quiet standing, RMR (Pmet met, W) was positively correlated with Mₖ (Table 2) and the slopes and intercepts of this relationship were similar between sexes and varieties (means were B♀: 10.70±0.50, B♂: 8.54±0.41, L♀: 13.80±0.66 and L♂: 9.25±0.44). Likewise, mass-specific RMR (Pmet met, W kg⁻¹) was similar (Table 2) between sexes and varieties (means were B♀: 7.85±0.27, B♂: 7.13±0.57, L♀: 7.21±0.48 and L♂: 7.24±0.42).

**Walking metabolic power**

Absolute Pmet met (W) was correlated with Mₖ and U during walking (Fig. 2A-B) and increased curvilinearly (Fig. 3A-B) with U in all birds (Table 2). The incremental response to U was steeper in the bantams compared to the standards, but this difference was not significant when accounting for Mₖ (Table 2). Mₖ, however, did not explain the greater incremental response to U in males than in females (Table 2).

Mass-specific Pmet met (W kg⁻¹) was positively correlated with U in all bird groups (Fig. 3C-D) and was best described by power curves. The exponents of these curves were common to both varieties with the incremental increase in mass-specific Pmet met with U greater in males compared to females (Table 2). Mass-specific Pmet met was lower across all U in the males of the larger variety than in males of the bantams, and likewise in females.

Calculating mass-specific net-Pmet met, by subtracting Pmet met during quiet standing from Pmet met, did not account for this sex difference (Table 2), but did reduce the net metabolic rates (intercepts) of the bantam variety relative to the large variety (Table 2). Again, net mass-specific Pmet met increased with U, with higher exponents and intercepts in males than in females, and similar exponents, and intercepts for the males and females of each variety (Table 2). Therefore, the sexes shared similar metabolic rates at low speeds (Table 2); however, with increasing U, metabolic rates increased at a faster rate in males compared to females, indicating that to move at faster speeds is more costly to males than to females.

As has been found previously in exercising domestic chickens (Brackenbury and Elsayed, 1985), respiratory exchange ratios (RERs) were close to 1 across all treadmill speeds (B♀: 1.09 [1.06-1.12], B♂: 1.10 [1.08-1.17], L♀: 1.09 [1.04-1.20] and L♂: 1.14 [1.08-1.21], means and [ranges]). RER increased positively with U, which may suggest a greater anaerobic contribution to metabolism with increasing U. No signs of fatigue (trouble maintaining balance, head or wing droopiness) or post exercise oxygen deficit on the gas traces were found however, to suggest a large amount anaerobic respiration by the muscles. Statistical analyses on mass-specific V̇O₂ with speed produced the same statistical outcomes as mass-specific Pmet met (Table 2).

**Walking cost of transport**

The total metabolic cost of transport (CoTtot, J kg⁻¹ m⁻¹) decreased curvilinearly with U in both varieties and sexes (Fig. 3E & F). The rate of decrease in CoTtot was similar between varieties; however, the intercepts were lower in the larger variety compared to the bantams by ~1.1 kg⁻¹ m⁻¹ (Table 2). The incremental decrease in CoTtot with U was greater in females than in males (Table 2). The change in mass-specific net metabolic cost of transport (CoTnet, J kg⁻¹ m⁻¹) with U (Fig. 4) was almost independent of speed (small positive increase) in males, but decreased curvilinearly in females (Table 2). Consequently, the minimum measured CoTnet in females occurred at their maximum walking speed and was 11.79 and 8.67 J kg⁻¹ m⁻¹ in B♀ and L♀, respectively (Fig. 4A). These values are lower than predictions (B♀=17.09 and L♀=15.40 J kg⁻¹ m⁻¹) based on interspecific allometry [CoTmin=17.80Mₖ0.46 (Rubenson et al., 2007)] of the minimum measured CoTnet for walking gaits (Fig. 4A). The CoTnet of the females was lower than the CoTmin predicted by interspecific allometry across the majority of their speed range, excluding the two slowest speeds (0.28 and 0.42 m s⁻¹) (Fig. 4A). The CoTnet values of the males were scattered either side of the CoTmin prediction, uncorrelated with U and not significantly different between varieties (Fig. 4B).

**Froude corrections**

The sex differences in CoTtot at a given U may exist because the locomotion of the sexes is not dynamically similar. When calculated using weight (N) instead of Mₖ, the CoTtot reduces to a dimensionless parameter (Fish et al., 2000). The dynamic similarity hypothesis poses that geometrically similar animals moving with equal ratios of gravitational and inertial forces acting
on their body CoM (i.e. at equal Fr) will incur a similar CoT (Alexander and Jayes, 1983). CoT
max decreased curvilinearly with Fr at a faster rate in female than in male leghorns (Fig. 5A-B).
The maximum Fr recorded, at which the females were still walking and incurred their CoT
max was greater than that for males. At the Fr equivalent to the Umax of the males, the CoT was already
lower in females than in males. Female leghorns, therefore, carry a unit of their Mmax over a unit of distance with greater economy of
energy use than males.

Grounded running in males
During grounded running gaits in the males, mass-specific Pnet (W kg−1), was ~5.75 W kg−1 greater in the L5, compared to
B2 across all U (Table 3; Fig. 3C-D). Calculating net mass-specific Pnet (W kg−1) increased this difference between varieties to
9.18 W kg−1 (Table 3). Since Pnet during quiet standing was the same between varieties, the reduction in grounded running Pnet in
the standard breed relative to the B2 upon calculating net-Pnet may indicate change in the postural cost of locomotion during a
grounded running gait. CoTtot during grounded running was 7.76 J kg−1 m−1 greater in L5, than in B2. Similarly, CoTnet was
6.27 J kg−1 m−1 greater in the standard variety. Neither Pnet, net mass-specific Pnet, CoTtot nor CoTnet changed with U in either
variety (Table 3). When compared to interspecific allometric predictions of running using CoTmin = 12.91M0.346 (Rubenson
et al., 2007), the measured B2 value is similar (B2 measured, predicted: 9.63 and 10.30 J kg−1 m−1), but the measured L5, value is
greater (large measured predicted: 15.90 and 11.52 J kg−1 m−1). Therefore, during a grounded running gait, L5, have a poorer
economy of energy use than do B2.
**DISCUSSION**

The principal aim of this study was to determine the influence of sex on locomotor performance in standard breed (large) and bantam (small) leghorn chickens. Differences in the incremental increase in walking \( P_{\text{met}} \) with \( U \) between the varieties were negated by mass-correction, but mass-correction did not remove the observed sex differences. In both varieties, \( P_{\text{met}} \) increased more rapidly with walking \( U \) in males than in females, indicating that to walk at faster speed was more costly in males, relative to females. This is the first evidence of a greater \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \) in a male bird when compared to a female. Our study is also the first to compare the \( \text{CoT} \) of the sexes over a similar range of Froude numbers in a species of bird. After negating the effects of body size and speed, the sex differences in \( \text{CoT}_{\text{tot}} \) were shared by the two varieties, despite them exhibiting dissimilar sexual dimorphism in limb posture. While \( L_{q} \) were 23\% more upright than \( L_{c} \), no sex difference in posture was present in the bantam variety.

In both varieties, females were lighter than males and had a lower \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \), which contrasts to the expected negative allometry of \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \) with increasing \( M_{b} \) (see solid line in Fig. 4A,B) across species (Taylor et al., 1982; Rubenson et al., 2007). It is widely accepted, however, that there is no independent effect of \( M_{b} \) on \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \) (Pontzer, 2007). Furthermore, a growing body of evidence supports the hypothesis that the interspecific increase in limb erectness with \( M_{b} \) is linked to the allometry of \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \) (Mcmahon et al., 1987; Griffin et al., 2004; Pontzer, 2007; Reilly et al., 2007; Nudds et al., 2009; Rose et al., 2015). At the intraspecific level, however, limb posture is not expected to change with \( M_{b} \) (Griffin et al., 2004; Day and Jayne, 2007; Rose et al., 2015). Another reason why the measured sex differences in \( \text{CoT}_{\text{min}} \) were unexpected is that females leghorns have lower ratios of skeletal muscle mass: visceral and reproductive mass, relative to males (Mitchell et al., 1931). Since the muscle force required to support body weight is considered the principal contributor to the metabolic cost of terrestrial locomotion (Taylor et al., 1980), above other costs such as swinging the limb (Marsh et al., 2004), and maintaining posture (Weyand et al., 2009), the females might be expected to incur a greater metabolic cost of locomotion per unit \( M_{b} \). Adding loads to the backs of mammals to manipulate \( M_{b} \), for example, leads to an increase in net locomotor metabolic rate, greater in proportion than the proportional increase in mass (McGowan et al., 2006). In the few avian species examined to date, however, an extra gram of back load was carried at a cost equal to (Tickle et al., 2010), or less than (Marsh et al., 2006; McGowan et al., 2006; Tickle et al., 2013) carrying a gram of original \( M_{b} \). If the hens carry each gram of reproductive load at a cost less than carrying each gram of the

**Table 3. Results of the ANCOVAs that tested for differences between varieties in the relationships between metabolic rate parameters and speed during grounded running**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Non-significant interaction terms (removed from final statistical model)</th>
<th>Final ANCOVA</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{met}} ) (W kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( \log U/\text{variety} (F_{1,26}=1.69, P=0.205) )</td>
<td>( \log U (F_{1,27}=4.03, P=0.055), \text{variety} (F_{1,27}=7.32, *P=0.012), r^{2}=0.61 )</td>
<td>( B_0=17.78U^{0.62} )  ( L_0=23.53U^{0.62} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Net } P_{\text{met}} ) (W kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( \log U/\text{variety} (F_{1,26}=2.71, P=0.111) )</td>
<td>( \log U (F_{1,27}=0.21, P=0.646), \text{variety} (F_{1,27}=9.95, *P=0.004), r^{2}=0.49 )</td>
<td>( B_0=10.50U^{0.28} )  ( L_0=19.86U^{0.28} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CoT}_{\text{tot}} ) (J kg(^{-1}) m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( \log U/\text{variety} (F_{1,26}=1.70, P=0.203) )</td>
<td>( \log U (F_{1,27}=1.47, P=0.236), \text{variety} (F_{1,27}=7.34, *P=0.012), r^{2}=0.20 )</td>
<td>( B_0=17.75U^{0.38} )  ( L_0=26.51U^{0.38} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CoT}_{\text{tot}} ) (J kg(^{-1}) m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>( \log U/\text{variety} (F_{1,26}=0.70, P=0.409) )</td>
<td>( \log U (F_{1,27}=0.00, P=0.932), \text{variety} (F_{1,27}=6.82, *P=0.015), r^{2}=0.32 )</td>
<td>( B_0=9.63U^{-0.05} )  ( L_0=15.90U^{-0.05} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All dependent variables and covariates were log transformed which improved the AIC of each model.

The adjusted \( r^{2} \) values from the final models are reported.

*Statistically significant results.*
remaining $M_0$, this could lead to the observed lower than expected CoT after dividing by total $M_0$. Similar, to a previous finding in laying hens (van Kampen, 1976b), the CoT$_{min}$ of the females in this study was lower than that predicted using interspecific allometry. We expect, however, that more than just the exceptional load carrying ability of some birds compared to mammals is responsible for the low female CoT relative to $M_0$ and relative to male CoT.

Sexual dimorphism in physiological performance is often associated with sex-specific adaptations that have resulted from the differential selective pressures on the sexes given their different life histories (Rogowitz and Chappell, 2000; Shillington and Peterson, 2002; Husak and Fox, 2008; Lees et al., 2012). Female chickens invest metabolic energy in gravidity (van Kampen, 1976a; Peterson, 2002; Husak and Fox, 2008; Lees et al., 2012). Female life histories (Rogowitz and Chappell, 2000; Shillington and Peterson, 2002; Husak and Fox, 2008; Lees et al., 2012).

Faster contracting, relatively longer muscle fascicles, and muscles with a greater capacity for force generation might be expected to have elevated power demands. There is precedence for this type of adaptation in birds as sex differences in flight muscle specialization have previously been identified in species where the males partake in fast volant courtship displays and females use high powered locomotion to a lesser degree than the males (Schultz et al., 2001).

As expected, males achieved greater $U_{\text{max}}$ than females in common with many vertebrate species (Bhamibhan and Singh, 1985; Brackenbury and Elsayed, 1985; Shine and Shetty, 2001; Finkler et al., 2003; Lees et al., 2012). Of course, the size difference between the sexes could explain this finding. However, a greater $U_{\text{max}}$ in males compared to females is also common to species lacking sexual size dimorphism, but where the males have higher activity levels than females during the mating season (Lees et al., 2012). The greater $U_{\text{max}}$ in males is likely supported by their specializations for inter-sexual combat, including relatively larger skeletal muscles, hearts and lungs compared to females (Mitchell et al., 1931). At the same time, a reduction in $U_{\text{max}}$ and sprint speed in vertebrate females is often associated with the encumbrance of pregnancy or gravidity (Olsson et al., 2000; Shine, 2003; Knight, 2011). One benefit of a lower $U$ is that it allows a longer stance phase during which sufficient force can be generated to support...
body weight. We suspect that the ability to generate sufficient force may limit female $U_{\text{max}}$, relative to the males, given their lower muscle mass:viscera/reproductive mass ratio.

Females of the two varieties were reluctant or unable to transition to grounded running gait mechanics. It is possible that they avoided higher $U$ and grounded running gaits in order to reduce peak forces on their bones and avoid fracture as their bones may be weakened by the provision of medullary calcium towards eggshell formation (Bloom et al., 1941; Whitehead, 2004). This may be particularly pertinent in white leghorns, which are prone to osteoporosis during eggshell construction (Dacke et al., 1993).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The sexes of both standard breed and bantam varieties of leghorn chicken differed in all measured aspects of terrestrial locomotion. Males attained greater $U_{\text{max}}$ compared to females and used a grounded running gait at faster speeds, while gravid bantam females were reluctant to and standard breed females did not. These findings are consistent with the general consensus that gravidity and lower ratios of skeletal muscle:visceral mass in females, constrain locomotion. Our findings are likely the result of a combination of sex-specific adaptations and associated constraints that have resulted from differential selection pressures on the sexes.

**Fig. 4.** Net cost of transport versus body mass across the range of walking speeds and mean minimum measured costs of transport. Grey and white diamonds represent bantam and standard breed leghorns, respectively. The size of the diamond represents the magnitude of the speed. Solid curves are Rubenson et al.'s (2007) interspecific allometric relationship between walking $\text{CoT}_{\text{net}}$ and $M_b$. Mean $\text{CoT}_{\text{net}}$ is represented by a dotted line for $L_b$, a dashed line for $L_f$, and a dotted and dashed line for all males. (A) Female $\text{CoT}_{\text{net}}$ decreased as a function of speed and the majority of their values were below the predicted $\text{CoT}_{\text{min}}$. (B) Male $\text{CoT}_{\text{net}}$ was independent of speed and both varieties shared the same mean $\text{CoT}_{\text{min}}$ closer to the prediction for the standard breed variety.

**Fig. 5.** Total cost of transport versus Froude number. Bantam leghorn data is shown as circles. (A) and standard breed leghorns data as squares (B). Black and white symbols represent males and females, respectively. Data is represented as mean (±s.e.m).
Furthermore, we suggest that gravid females may possess adaptations for greater metabolic economy of locomotion (e.g. in muscle specialization/posture/kinematics).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

We acquired sexually mature (>16 weeks<1 year old) standard breed (5 male, 1.92±0.13 kg; 7 female, 1.43±0.02 kg, mean±s.e.m.) and bantam (9 male, 1.39±0.03 kg; 7 female, 1.09±0.04 kg, mean±s.e.m.) Leghorn chickens from local suppliers between March and May (breeding season) and housed them in the University of Manchester’s Animal Unit. Hens were egg laying and males exhibited secondary sexual morphological characteristics, crowing and aggressive behaviour. Sexes and varieties were housed separately with ad libitum access to food (Specialist Poultry Breeder, Small Holder Range, Norfolk, UK: oils and fats: 6%; protein: 18%; fibre: 4.5%; Ash: 12.0%; calcium 4%) and water. Light-dark cycles were fixed at 13:11 h and temperatures at 18–22°C. The birds were trained daily for one week to exercise on a treadmill (Tunturi T60, Turku, Finland), within a Perspex® respirometry chamber. None of the birds was fasted prior to respirometry measurements. The male birds in this study were previously used in (Rose et al., 2015). A UK Home Office Project License held by Dr Codd (40/3549) covered all experimental procedures, which were undertaken with the ethical approval of the University of Manchester Ethics Committee.

**Respirometry**

Rates of O2 consumption ($\dot{V}_{O2}$, ml min$^{-1}$) and CO2 production ($\dot{V}_{CO2}$, ml min$^{-1}$) were measured from resting (standing) and exercising birds using a flow-through respirometry system (all equipment Sable Systems International®, Las Vegas, NV, USA). Different sized chambers were built for large (97.5x53.5x48 cm) and bantam leghorns (66x46.5x48 cm) and a Flowkit 500 pulled ambient air through them at flow rates of 150 and 250 litres min$^{-1}$ respectively. The Flowkit directed a sub-sample (0.11 litres min$^{-1}$) from the main flow through the gas analysis system. Water vapour pressure (WVP) was measured by an RH300 before H2O was scrubbed from the sample, using calcium chloride (2 mm granular, Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) before passed to an Oxzilla II O2 analyser for O2 and barometric pressure (BP) measurements. Dry air was scrubbed of CO2 with a column of soda lime (2 mm granular, Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) before passed to an Oxzilla II O2 analyser for CO2 measurements. Dry air was scrubbed of CO2 with a column of soda lime (2–5 mm granular, Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) before passed to an Oxzilla II O2 analyser for O2 and barometric pressure (BP) measurements. A pump (SS-3) sampled ambient air through a second channel at 0.11 litres min$^{-1}$ and the sample was scrubbed of H2O and CO2 (as previously described) before being passed through the Oxzilla. The accuracy of the set up (±5% across all treadmill speeds) was validated using a N2 injection test (Fedak et al., 1981).

Differential O2 concentrations ($\Delta$O2, ambient O2–box O2 concentrations) was used in all calculations. CO2 traces were base-lined in the absence of a bird, which allowed the calculation of differential CO2 ($\Delta$CO2). Primary flow rates ($F$) were converted to corrected flow rates ($F_c$) to account for the H2O removed from the samples using Eqn 8.6 from Lighton (2008):

$$F_c = \frac{F(BP - WVP)}{BP}$$

where WVP is water vapour pressure. $\dot{V}_{O2}$ and $\dot{V}_{CO2}$ were calculated using Eqns 10.1 and 10.8 from Lighton (2008), respectively:

$$\dot{V}_{O2} = \frac{F_c(\Delta O2)}{(1 - 0.2095)}$$

(2)

$$\dot{V}_{CO2} = \frac{(F_c(\Delta CO2)) - (0.0004(\dot{V}_{O2}))}{(1 - 0.0004)}$$

(3)

RERs ($\dot{V}_{CO2} : \dot{V}_{O2}$) and their thermal equivalents (taken from Table 12.1 of Brody, 1945) were used to convert $\dot{V}_{O2}$ into $P_{out}$ (W). To account for potential sex differences in body maintenance and postural metabolic requirements, net-$P_{out}$ (locomotor $P_{out}$ – resting $P_{re}$ during quiet standing) was calculated using values taken from the same trial for each individual bird.

**Trials**

Experimental temperatures ranged from 17.5–22.8°C (19.8±1.5°C, mean±s.e.m.). In a single trial, birds were exercised at a maximum of three randomly selected speeds and were given resting intervals of at least 5 min between each period of exercise to recover. The birds were walked at a minimum speed of 0.28 m s$^{-1}$ and at increments of 0.14 m s$^{-1}$ up to the maximum that they could sustain for steady $\dot{V}_{O2}$ readings (≥3 min). The final 1 min of the plateau was used for data analysis. All resting metabolic rates were taken from the final rest period of a trial and birds were given a day of rest between trials.

**Determining gait**

The gait mechanics of each bird was determined from video recordings (100 frames s$^{-1}$; HDR-XR520VE, SONY, Japan) taken perpendicular to the direction of travel of the birds (from the left) in all trials. Using Tracker software v2.51 (Open Source Physics) a marked site over the left hip (the CoM) was tracked (min 3 strides) in every film frame to determine the mechanical energy fluctuations using temporal and spatial data. A calibration stick was positioned along the line of travel of a bird passing through digit 3 to avoid any error in measured dimensions that might have arisen due to a bird’s displacement from it. The phasing of the CoM fluctuations in horizontal kinetic energy ($E_k$) with the sum of its vertical kinetic and gravitational potential energies ($E_{kg} + E_p$) was used to determine gait. An out of phase relationship is characteristic of walking gaits and an in-phase relationship of running gaits.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed using the car package version 2.0-12 (Fox and Weisberg, 2011) R 2.14.0 GUI 1.42 Leopard build 64-bit (R Development Core Team, 2011). Morphological measurements were tested for the main effects of sex and variety as well as potential interaction effects using two-way ANOVAs. Resting $P_{out}$ and RERs were investigated for sex and variety differences using ANCOVA. $M_b$ was included in the models as a covariate to compensate for the effects of $M_b$ and variety and sex were included as fixed factors. The relationships between exercising metabolic rates and $U$ were investigated for differences (in slopes and intercepts) between varieties and sexes (both factors) using linear models. Speed was included as the main covariate in each model. For non-mass-specific metabolic parameters, $M_b$ was included in the models as an additional covariate. For mass-specific metabolic rates, $M_b$ was not included in the models. All potential interaction terms were considered in the primary models before a step-wise backward deletion of non-significant interaction terms was conducted. For all parameters, the quality of our linear models according to the Akaike’s information criterion was improved by log transforming the data. Shapiro–Wilk tests were performed on the standardised residuals generated by each statistical model to ensure that the data conformed to a normal distribution. In the case of the $U_{max}$ comparison between groups, the residuals did not conform to a normal distribution even after transformation, so a Kruskal–Wallis test with a Dunn post-hoc test was used. The adjusted $r^2$ values of the models are reported and unless otherwise stated the means are reported as ±s.e.m.

The influence of speed on metabolic rate is gait dependent in some avian species (Rubenson et al., 2004, 2007; Nudds et al., 2011). Statistical analyses were, therefore, conducted on metabolic data from walking and grounded running gaits, separately. Sex comparisons were conducted for walking gaits only, since very little grounded running data were collected from the females.
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