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ABSTRACT

Neurofilaments (NFs) are thought to provide stability to the axon. We examined NF dynamics within axonal neurites of NB2a/d1 neuroblastoma by transient transfection with green fluorescent protein-tagged NF-H (GFP-H) under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter. Immunofluorescent and biochemical analyses demonstrated that GFP-H expressed early during neurite outgrowth associated with a population of centrally-situated, highly-phosphorylated crosslinked NFs along the length of axonal neurites (“bundled NFs”). By contrast, GFP-H expressed after considerable neurite outgrowth displayed markedly reduced association with bundled NFs and was instead more evenly distributed throughout the axon. This differential localization was maintained for up to 2 weeks in culture. Once considerable neurite outgrowth had progressed, GFP that had previously associated with the NF bundle during early expression was irreversibly depleted by photobleaching. Cessation of expression allowed monitoring of NF turnover. GFP-H associated bundled NFs underwent slower decay than GFP-H associated with surrounding, less-phosphorylated NFs. Notably, GFP associated with bundled NFs underwent similar decay rates within the core and edges of this bundle. These results are consistent with previous demonstration of a resident NF population within axonal neurites, but suggest that this population is more dynamic than previously considered.
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Summary statement: Neurofilaments establish a resident population within growing axonal neurites.
INTRODUCTION

NFs are heteropolymers composed of proteins, termed NF-light (NF-L), NF-medium (NF-M), and NF-heavy (NF-H) with respect to their molecular masses (Liem et al., 1978) along alpha-internexin and peripherin in central and peripheral neurons, respectively (Benson et al., 1996; Yuan et al., 2006a, 2012). C-terminal tail domains of NF subunits project laterally from the filament core (Hirokawa et al., 1984; Hisanaga & Hirokawa, 1988), and are the substrate for numerous kinases and phosphatases (Lee et al., 2014). NF subunits have C-terminal tails of differing lengths, with NF-H having the largest tail domain. NFs that have undergone extensive C-terminal tail-domain phosphorylation are normally segregated within axons (Pant and Veeranna, 1994). Phosphorylation of the NF-H C-terminal domain protects NFs from proteolysis (Goldstein et al., 1987; Pant, 1988; Greenwood et al., 1993; Rao et al., 2012) and promotes divalent cation-mediated associations between NFs and with other cytoskeletal elements, which generates a cytoskeletal lattice along the length of axons (Gotow & Tanaka, 1994; Gotow et al., 1994; Yabe et al., 2001a; Kushkuley et al., 2009). This “resident” or stationary population is thought to be formed and maintained by continuous exchange with more rapidly transporting NFs/NF subunits, contends that a population of highly-phosphorylated NFs provides stability to axons, while a population of more relentlessly transporting, poorly-phosphorylated NFs repairs and regenerates this stationary cytoskeleton (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986; Rao et al., 2012; Lee and Shea, 2012).
The degree of C-terminal phosphorylation is inversely proportional to the rate of NF transport. The front of the transporting wave of newly-synthesized NFs is enriched in hypophosphorylated NFs and undergoes transport along the length of axons within days, while NFs displaying C-terminal phosphorylation persist along axons for months and these persisting NFs are depleted uniformly (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986; Lewis and Nixon, 1988; Jung and Shea, 1999; Jung et al., 2000a,b, 2004). Notably, depletion of the NF-H C-terminal tail does not increase the transport rate of the fastest-moving NFs but prevents the slowing of NFs that otherwise accompanies C-terminal phosphorylation (Rao et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 2006b). Conversely, knockout of the entire NF-H fosters continued association of NFs with their anterograde transport protein kinesin (Jung et al., 2006). These studies collectively suggest that NF C-terminal phosphorylation does not directly impede axonal transport, but does so indirectly by fostering NF-NF associations that compete with transport and establish a more “resident” population that likely undergoes continuous exchange with more rapidly transporting NFs (Shea and Lee, 2011).

Prior studies using the reductionist approach of expression of NF subunits conjugated to green fluorescent protein (GFP) in cultured neurons and neuroblastoma have allowed monitoring of NF transport and incorporation into the cytoskeleton of axons and axonal neurites (Yabe et al., 1999, 2001a; Yuan et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2000, 2001; Roy et al., 2000). These studies have demonstrated that, while NFs undergo transport at rapid speeds consistent with their known motor proteins kinesin, dynein and myosin (Yabe et al., 1999; Jung et al., 2004; Alami et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2000; Motil et al., 2006; Uchida et al., 2009), only a relatively small percentage of NFs are associated with their motors at any time (Yabe et al., 1999, 2000), and only for relatively short intervals (Brown et al., 2005; Wang et al.,
These studies have further demonstrated that NFs can be harvested separated as two distinct two populations: a centrally-situated population of closely-opposed NFs that display a high degree of C-terminal phosphorylation with a consequential high-degree of divalent cation-mediated phospho-phospho associations, and a “surrounding” population of more widely dispersed, more rapidly transporting NFs that display fewer phospho-epitopes (Yabe et al., 2001a; Kushkuley et al., 2009). Formation of centrally-situated NF “bundles” is temporally and spatially restricted to accumulation of a critical level of NFs within axons/axonal neurites (Yuan et al., 2009; Yabe et al., 2001a; Kushkuley et al., 2009). While there is a likely continuum between these populations, they were nevertheless experimentally separable by differential centrifugation of cultures as well as sciatic nerve. In addition, exchange of subunits between these populations has been observed within cultured neurons/neuroblastoma (Yuan et al., 2009; Yabe et al., 2001a; Trivedi et al., 2007). Following isolation from cultures, spinal cord and sciatic nerve, these populations could be inter-converted (i.e., bundled NFs could be dissociated and individual NFs could undergo phospho-mediated interactions) by manipulation of kinases, phosphatases and calcium levels (Kushkuley et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2014). As in axons in situ, this segregation is regulated by differential association of NFs with motor proteins coupled with phospho-mediated NF-NF associations that foster at least transient withdrawal of NFs from the transporting pool (Shea and Lee, 2011; Lee and Shea, 2012). Bundled NFs have been suggested to correspond to the resident NFs observed following radiolabeling in situ (Yabe et al., 2001a; Yuan et al., 2009, 2012; Shea et al., 2009; Lee and Shea, 2012).
NF dynamics, including formation of NF bundles, have been extensively studied in NB2a/d1 cells using GFP-tagged NF subunits (Ackereley et al., 2003; Boumil et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2002, 2004, 2005; Dubey et al., 2007; Kushkuley e al., 2009; Lee and Shea, 2014a,b; Lee et al., 2011a,b, 2014; Moran et al., 2005; Motil et al., 2006, 2007; Sunil et al., 2012; Vohnoutka et al., 2016; Yabe et al., 1999, 2001a,b). Complete elucidation of the nature and extent of exchange of NF subunits between resident bundled NFs and more labile, rapidly-transporting individual NFs has been hindered by relative quick saturation of the entire cytoskeleton with GFP-tagged subunits (e.g., within 24-36hr) due to their continuous expression (e.g., Yabe et al., 2001a). In efforts to surmount this problem, we transiently expressed GFP-H under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter system, which has previously been shown to facilitate monitoring of NF-H turnover (e.g., Szebenyl et al., 2002). As described herein, expression of GFP-H at different stages of axonal neurite outgrowth allowed us to demonstrate continuous NF subunit exchange between rapidly-transporting and resident populations.
RESULTS

The tetracycline-inducible system produces tight regulation of gene expression

NB2a/d1 cells were transiently transfected with GFP-H 48hr after the initiation of differentiation (Fig. 1A). As in prior studies, GFP-H was associated with filamentous structures along the length of axonal neurites within 24hr, and was retained for many days (Fig. 1A). However, continuous expression for as little as 24hr saturates both the soma and neurites, which leaves only a short window for observation of the dynamics of transport and cytoskeletal incorporation (Yabe et al., 2001a), and precludes most analyses of NF turnover following this brief interval. To provide control over expression levels, differentiating NB2a/d1 cells were transiently transfected with GFP-H under the control of a tet-inducible promoter, after which expression was induced for 12hr after 24hr of differentiation (which is prior to establishment of a resident NF population within neurites) and in other cells after 72hr of differentiation (which is after establishment of a resident NF population). These conditions were termed “Early-On” and “Late-On,” respectively (Fig. 1B). Expression was induced after 24hr of differentiation and allowed to continue for 1 week in additional cultures (“Always-On”). Always-On cultures displayed significantly higher levels of GFP within 24hr than those that were transfected but in which expression was not induced (termed “Leak” cultures due to minor promoter expression in the absence of induction), and the increased levels in Always-On cultures were as retained at 72hr after transfection (Fig. 1C). At 24hr after transfection, Early-On cultures displayed significantly more somatic GFP than Leak cultures, yet significantly less than Always-On cultures. Levels in soma of Early-On cultures had declined slightly by 72hr after transfection.
At 24hr after transfection, Late-On cultures displayed GFP levels identical to that of Leak cultures, consistent with expression not yet having been induced. By 72hr after transfection, soma of Late-On cultures displayed more GFP than that of Early-On cultures, but less than that of Always-On cultures. Leak cultures did not change between 24 and 72hrs after transfection (Fig. 1C). These findings support regulation of gene expression using this system, including the ability to create a 12hr “pulse” of expression that can facilitate tracking of NF dynamics by avoiding cytoskeletal saturation characteristic of continuous expression.

**Distribution of GFP distribution following differential expression**

Overall levels of GFP were quantified within axonal neurites of Early-On and Late-On cultures 24hrs after expression under each condition (Fig. 1D). Total neuritic GFP and GFP distribution along the length of axonal neurites were statistically identical within Early-On and Late-On cultures 24hr after their respective expression times. These findings indicate that NF transport was not perturbed by differential timing of GFP-H expression. However, GFP was differentially associated with centrally-situated longitudinal NF bundles within Early-On versus Late-On cultures. GFP localized within NF bundles within 24hr after expression in Early-On cultures (day 2 of differentiation) and was retained within bundles for 2 weeks (the longest time examined; Fig. 2A). By contrast, GFP was not localized within NF bundles 24hr after expression in Late-On cultures (day 4 of differentiation), but was instead more diffuse throughout axonal neurites. Higher-magnification images revealed that GFP was apparently restricted from association with the centrally-situated NF bundle and was instead confined to the area surrounding the bundle (henceforth termed the “surround”) at this interval (Fig. 2B). At later times, however, (e.g., days 7-14), GFP was apparently associated with the centrally-situated NF bundle in Late-On cultures (Fig. 2A). We therefore quantified the relative
distribution of GFP within axonal neurites at multiple times following expression. Early-On and Late-On axons displayed statistically identical levels of GFP within the surround at 24hrs post-induction of expression (Day 2 and Day 4 after differentiation, respectively (Fig. 2C); however, >2.5-fold more GFP was associated with NF bundles 24hr after expression in Early-On versus Late-On cultures at this time. Significantly higher GFP levels were associated with NF bundles in Early-On versus Late-On cultures for up to and including 7 days in culture. However, levels within bundles of Early-On cells declined significantly over time such that, by day 14 in culture, statistically-identical GFP was associated with in NF bundles in Early-On and Late-On cells.

These analyses also demonstrated differential depletion of GFP within the surround versus the NF bundle. The surround initially contained much higher levels of GFP than that of the NF bundle in both Early-On and Late-On cells; however, for both of these conditions, GFP was depleted from the surround more rapidly that that associated with the NF bundle (Fig. 2C). This was further substantiated by comparison of the half-life entof GFP in the surround and bundle in Early-On cells. The half-life of GFP in the surround was 0.9 +/- 0.04 days between days 2-4 in culture, and increased to 5.7 +/- 0.5 days between days 4-14 in culture. By contrast, the half-life of GFP in the bundle was 5.5 +/- 0.1 days between the entire span of days 2-14 in culture. The rate of GFP decline in the surround was 25x that in the bundle at day 2 in culture. By day 7, the rate of GFP decline in the surround had decreased to only 1.5x of that of GFP within the bundle (Fig. 2C).
It has been considered that the central-most NFs within a bundle may display the longest residence time within bundles, while those on the edge of the bundle may undergo exchange with surrounding, non-bundled NFs (Yabe et al., 2001a; Shea & Yabe 2000; Chan et al., 2005). To determine whether or not this is the case, we selected regions of axonal neurites mid-way between the hillock and growth cone, and compared the distribution of GFP and phospho-NF immunoreactivity laterally across axonal neurites (Fig. 3A). The distribution of total phospho-NFs within lateral axonal profiles as revealed by SMI-31 analysis was identical in Early-On and Late-On axonal neurites (Fig. 3B). However, while the distribution of SMI-31 immunoreactivity in Late-On cells highlighted the NF bundle, GFP did not colocalize within the bundle within Late-On axonal neurites (Fig. 3B). We then compared the lateral distribution of GFP within bundles themselves. To normalize values from multiple axonal neurites, profiles of bundles were binned into 5 lateral segments (Fig. 3A). The distribution of total phospho-NFs as revealed by SMI-31 analysis was identical in Early-On and Late-On bundles (Fig. 3B). However, while GFP distribution within Early-On bundles was identical to that of total phospho-NFs, GFP within the central segment of Late-On bundles was statistically lower than that of total phospho-NFs (Fig. 3B).

Confocal analyses further corroborated differential distribution of GFP depending upon the timing of expression. A deconvoluted Z-stack of axonal neurites images from Early-On and Late-On cells after 24hrs of respective GFP-H expression demonstrated that GFP in Early-On axonal neurites co-localized with the centrally-situated NF bundle as visualized by immunofluorescent analyses with the phospho-dependent NF antibody SMI-31, while GFP
was instead evenly dispersed throughout Late-On axonal neurites and did not colocalize with the NF bundle (Fig. 3C).

**Biochemical analyses of GFP-H distribution following differential expression**

Biochemical analyses corroborated the differential distribution of GFP as observed in immunofluorescent analyses. Cells were subjected to a differential centrifugation protocol that separates axonal neurites from soma, followed by separation of Triton-soluble material and Triton-insoluble cytoskeletons, and finally, separation of NF bundles from individual NFs derived from cytoskeletons of axonal neurites (Fig. 4; Shea et al., 1993). Similar levels of GFP-H were recovered within total lysates within axonal neurite cytoskeletons from both Early-On and Late-On cells at 24hr after respective induction of GFP-H expression (Fig. 5). Similar levels of GFP-H were also recovered within axonal neurite cytoskeletons from both Early-On and Late-On cells at 24hr after respective induction of GFP-H expression. These findings corroborate similar expression of GFP-H and transport into axonal neurites under both conditions (e.g., Fig. 1). Axonal neurite cytoskeletons were then further fractionated by sedimentation over a sucrose cushion as described in Fig. 4. Previous analyses demonstrated that only NF bundles sedimented through this cushion, while individual NFs instead collected at the interface between the buffer and this cushion (Shea et al., 1993). Significantly more GFP-H was recovered within the sucrose pellet in Early-On versus Late-On cells, while more GFP-H was recovered at the sucrose interface in Late-On versus Early-On cells (Fig. 5). While GFP-H within the Triton-soluble axonal neurite fraction and that recovered at the sucrose interface declined by 72hr, GFP-H within the sucrose pellet did not decline by 72hr. GFP-H within the Triton-soluble axonal neurite fraction from Late-On cells declined by 72hr, but levels recovered at the sucrose interface or sucrose pellet did not change (Fig. 5).
Manipulation of Early-on and Late-On cultures supports differential incorporation of GFP-H within bundles

The above findings collectively support the hypothesis that the centrally-situated NF bundle represents a resident NF population that undergoes slower turnover than surrounding individual NFs (Yabe et al., 2001a; Yuan et al., 2009). One interpretation of our findings is that GFP-H is more readily incorporated into NF bundles in Early-On cells since Early-On cells formed bundles after/during GFP-H expression, and conversely, that GFP-H was relatively restricted from incorporation into bundles in Late-On cells since these cells had already formed bundles before GFP-H was expressed. We noted, however, that axonal neurites continued elongating during times corresponding to Late-On expression (Fig. 6A). In the above analyses (Fig. 3), we selected central regions along the length of growing axonal neurites. If the above line of reasoning is correct, we considered that GFP-H should incorporate into NF bundles within the distal-most regions of axonal neurites during Late-On conditions, since, unlike central neurite regions, NF bundles in distal-most regions would form after GFP-H was expressed in Late-On cells. We therefore conducted lateral profile analyses from proximal, central and distal axonal neurite segments 24hrs after expression of GFP-H in Early-On and Late-On cells as conducted for central segments alone in Fig. 3. Total phospho-NFs within bundles as quantified by the phospho-dependent antibodies SMI31 and RT97 did not differ between Early-On and Late-On cells in any segment (Fig. 6C). GFP distribution within Early-On bundles was identical to that of both phospho-H antibodies within all 3 segments. GFP distribution within Late-On bundles was statistically reduced compared to both antibodies in proximal and central segments, but, conversely, was statistically identical to that of both antibodies in distal segments (Fig. 6C).
Along these same lines, we reasoned that if we photobleached regions of neurites that had incorporated GFP-H in bundles during Early-On conditions, GFP would not readily re-incorporate into bundles (since these bundles were now established) but GFP may return to the surrounding regions. Early-On cells were photobleached 72hrs after expression, and GFP-H was quantified immediately and 10-12hrs after photobleaching (Fig. 7A). No statistical difference in GFP was detected over 10-12hrs in unbleached axonal neurites or in regions of bleached neurites excluded from the bleached zone (Fig. 7A, B). Photobleaching significantly reduced GFP within the surround and bundles (Fig. 7A, C). By 10-12hr after photobleaching, GFP-H levels within the surround had returned to >90% of that present prior to photobleaching, yet GFP-H levels within the bundle remained statistically identical to the reduction immediately following photobleaching (Fig. 7A, C).

**Turnover of GFP-H**

Expression of GFP-H for a limited window via an inducible promotor facilitated analysis of NF turnover. Overall GFP levels displayed a bi-phasic decline in Early-On cells. GFP declined rapidly for the first 2 days after expression, which was followed by a slower rate of decay from day 4-14 after expression. Quantification of levels in the bundle versus the surround revealed that this biphasic decline was confined to the surround. GFP levels within the bundle displayed a consistent slow rate of decline from day 2-14 after expression (Fig. 8A). The surround displayed the same slow rate of decline from days 4-14 after expression. This biphasic decline resembled that of radiolabeled NFs in optic pathway, where an initial rapid decline of the majority of newly-transported (radiolabeled) NFs within days was
followed by a slower rate of decline over months (Fig. 8B, C; Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986; Yuan et al., 2009; Jung et al., 2000).

In efforts to monitor GFP-H turnover within bundles, we generated additional lateral profiles of bundles as carried out above to monitor incorporation of GFP (Fig. 3) at later times in culture (days 4, 7 and 14 in culture). At day 4, GFP intensity was highest within the center and intensity with the interior was higher than that on the edges and the rates of decline followed this same order (Fig. 8D). However, GFP displayed identical half-lives in each bundle region (6.5±0.1 days in the center, 6.2±0.3 in the interior, and 6.7±0.3 at the edge). Observation of identical half-lives suggests that the differential initial GFP intensity accounts for the apparent differential decay rates when plotted over days 4-14. In this regard, the center and interior display a biphasic decline. GFP itself has a half-life of approximately 24hrs, which can be modulated by conjugation to another protein sequence (Hazelrigg et al., 1998). Radiolabeling analyses indicated that NF-H itself underwent rapid turnover within these cells (Fig. 8E). These findings, coupled with the previous demonstration of similar rates of turnover of GPF-H (Szebenyl et al., 2002), indicates that incorporation of GFP-H into bundled NFs greatly extends its half-life.

Pulse-chase radiolabeling analyses have demonstrated incorporation of radiolabeled NFs throughout the axonal neurite cytoskeleton provided that 35S-methionine was added during axonal neurite outgrowth (Shea et al., 1990). Herein, we added radiolabel after 3 days of differentiation (by which time NF bundles have formed and outgrowth has slowed (Shea and Beerman, 1994; Yabe et al., 2000) and corresponding to Late-On GFP-H expression, then fractionated cultures as described in Fig. 4. Chase analyses demonstrated transport of
radiolabeled NFs into axonal neurites and incorporation into the axonal neurite cytoskeleton, but, like GFP-H expressed under Late-On conditions, only trace amounts were recovered in the sucrose pellet, indicating that radiolabeled NFs were largely excluded from incorporation into the NF bundle (Fig. 8F).

**DISCUSSION**

Considerable data from multiple laboratories indicates that NFs establish a stationary population that is maintained by continuous exchange with more rapidly transporting NFs/NF subunits (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986; Rao et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2015; Trivedi et al., 2009). Expression of GFP-tagged NF subunits in cultured neurons and neuronal cells demonstrated the establishment of a longitudinal bundle of closely-opposed, cross-linked NFs that were surrounded within axonal neurites of individual, more rapidly transporting NFs. Moreover, intact NF bundles can be biochemically separated from individual NFs in preparations from spinal cord and sciatic nerve as well as neuronal cultures (Yabe et al., 2001a; Kushkuley et al., 2009). Bundled NFs are thought to correspond to the stationary NFs observed following radiolabeling *in situ* (Yabe et al., 2001a; Yuan et al., 2009, 2012; Shea et al., 2009; Lee and Shea, 2012). NF bundles form when a sufficient concentration of NFs is present, and furthermore requires NF-H, which explains why bundled NFs are not observed not all culture systems or axons *in situ* since some systems lack appreciable concentrations of NFs, and/or NF-H (Yuan et al., 2009; Boumil et al., 2015; Lee and Shea, 2014).

The relatively rapid saturation of the axonal neurite cytoskeleton with GFP-tagged subunits hindered more detailed analyses of NF dynamics in prior studies with continuous expression of GFP-H. Herein, expression of GFP-H under the control of an inducible promoter
facilitated these analyses, since we were able to express GFP-H for a short window of time at different periods (i.e., “Early-On” and “Late-On”) during axonal neurite outgrowth. GFP-H rapidly translocated into and along axonal neurites at identical levels under both conditions of expression. However, Early-On cells incorporated significantly more GFP into NF bundles than did Late-On cells. NF bundles are prominent within the axonal neurites of NB2a/d1 cells by 72hr after induction of differentiation (Yabe et al., 2001a). Since Early-On cells expressed and transported GFP-H into axons from 24-36hr after induction of differentiation, a substantial pool of GFP-H was present during formation of, and consequently was incorporated into, NF bundles. By contrast, Late-On cells did not express and transport GFP-H until 72-84hrs after induction of differentiation, which is after NF bundles had already formed (Yabe et al., 2001a). Consequently, NF bundles in Late-On cells would be formed from endogenous, untagged NF-H. These conclusions were supported by additional manipulation and observation as follows: (1) regions of NF bundles of Early-On cells that were photobleached following cessation of GFP-H expression did not recover fluorescence while the surround did (likely since photobleached neurites now essentially corresponded to bundles of Late-On cells) and (2) bundles within the most distal regions of axonal neurites were prominently labeled with GFP (likely since, unlike proximal and central regions, distal regions were still growing during Late-On expression and therefore corresponded to bundles in Early-On cells).

GFP levels declined rapidly within the area of axonal neurites surrounding NF bundles in both Early-On and Late-On cells, but displayed a much slower decline within bundles. This differential decline between individual and bundled NFs, coupled with differential
incorporation of NFs into bundles following Early-On and Late-On expression suggested that bundled NFs are more stable than NF not incorporated into bundles.

GFP-H levels within bundles of Early-On cells declined substantially and continuously during continued incubation. By contrast, the relatively lower levels of GFP-H in bundles of Late-On cells remained more constant of over this interval. The levels of GFP associated with bundles and with the surround of cells under both conditions converged by 2 weeks in culture. The eventual convergence of the rate of GFP decline of GFP in the surround and bundle after expression was halted is consistent with continued exchange between bundles and surrounding NFs (Yabe et al., 2001a). This biphasic decay resembles that observed in optic pathway (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986; Jung et al., 2000 and herein). Our findings suggest that the surround, which includes Triton-soluble as well as -insoluble NFs, may represent the rapidly-decaying NFs observed in optic axons, while bundled correspond to the slow-decaying population (Nixon & Logvinenko, 1986; Jung & Shea, 1999; Millecamps et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2009).

It has been suggested that the biphasic decline of radiolabeled NF subunits along axons in situ did not reveal the establishment of a stationary phase (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986), but rather that the initial rapid decay was derived from co-migrating contaminants (Brown & Jung, 2012). Notably, our observation of the identical biphasic dynamics for radiolabeled NF subunits immunoprecipitated from optic pathway by an anti-NF antibody eliminates this alternative possibility.
Bundles isolated from cultures and axons in situ remain intact and retain NF phospho-epitopes for >7 days but dissociated into individual NFs following addition of EDTA/EGTA or phosphatases. Notably, this dissociation required 4-7 days (Kushkuley et al., 2009). These features additional evidence that NF bundles are relatively stable structures. Microtubules are excluded from NF bundles both within intact cell and axons in situ, and this exclusion persists following isolation of bundles from cultures and axons in situ (Kushkuley et al., 2009). Since microtubule motors translocate NFs, it was suggested NFs could essentially become “trapped” within the interior of the bundle, giving rise to a population of extremely long-lived NFs, while exchange could continue only at the edges of bundles (Shea & Yabe, 2000). The findings of the present study are consistent with this line of reasoning.

Consideration of the NF bundle as a relatively stable NF population was further supported by consideration of half-lives of GFP and of NF subunits in these cells. Non-conjugated GFP has a half-life of approx. 24hr (Hazelrigg et al., 1998). Triton-soluble and – insoluble NF-H, including that which had transported into axonal neurites but was not incorporated into bundles, also displayed a half-life of ≤24hr as shown herein. Prior studies demonstrated that GFP-H decayed by approx. 50% within 2 days following cessation of expression; notably, however, GFP-H mRNA persisted relatively unchanged for 12hr before declining, suggesting that the half-life of GFP-H itself was closer to one day (Szebenyl et al., 2002). By contrast, GFP-H within bundles displayed a half-life of approx. 6 days, indicating that bundled NFs persisted at least 6 times longer than non-bundled NFs. The identical half-life of signal within the center and edges of bundles suggests that decay of fluorescence, rather than NF/NF subunit exchange was responsible for the ultimate depletion of GFP signal.
from the “core” of bundles in Early-On cells. Accordingly, the 6-day half-life may underestimate the stability of bundled NFs.

The stationary pool of NFs is generated by progressive phospho-dependent NF-NF associations. While extensive phosphorylation may initially be considered to foster repulsion between NFs, extensively phosphorylated C-terminal extensions instead form cation-dependent cross-bridges (Kushkuley et al., 2009). NF C-terminal phosphorylation does not directly impede axonal transport, but does so indirectly by fostering NF-NF associations that generate a macro-structure too large to undergo transport “resident” population that undergoes continuous exchange with more rapidly transporting NFs (Shea and Lee, 2011). In this regard, the stationary phase in situ and NF bundles in culture are comprised of the most highly-phosphorylated NFs (Lewis and Nixon, 1988; Yabe et al., 2001a). Phosphorylation of the NF-H C-terminal domain protects NFs from proteolysis (Goldstein et al., 1987; Pant, 1988; Greenwood et al., 1993) and promotes divalent cation-mediated associations between NFs and with other cytoskeletal elements. In doing so, phosphorylation increased overall levels of axonal NFs and maintained the stationary phase in situ and promoted NF bundling within axonal neurites (Rao et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014).

Following isolation, bundled and individual NFs could be interconverted by modulation of phosphorylation. Bundled NFs were readily dissociated by calcium chelation or phosphatase treatment, which depleted phospho-dependent, divalent cation-mediated crosslinking, while incubation with known NF kinases induced NF-NF associations among individual NFs. Moreover, NFs released following dissolution of isolated bundles underwent kinesin-mediated association with MTs and displayed MT-dependent motility in cell-free
assays to the same degree as those NFs recovered as individual NFs, while bundles did not translocate in motility assays (Kuskuley et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2011b). These findings provide additional evidence that bundled NFs represent stationary macro-structures, and furthermore support that there is phospho-dependent exchange between these two pools of NFs. The dynamics of NF transport have been mathematically modeled, (Brown et al., 2005; Craciun et al., 2005). This model describes a pool of NFs associated with motors (“on”), a pool that is dissociated from motors (“off”) but can readily re-associate with them, and a third pool (“away”) that is in some manner restricted from entering the pool of NFs which can reversibly associate with motors (Brown et al., 2005; Craciun et al., 2005; Trivedi et al., 2007; Kuznetsov and Kuznetsov, 2013). The findings of the present study provide further evidence that phospho-mediated formation of NF-NF associations, which withdraw NFs at least transiently from the transporting pool (Lee et al., 2011a; Kushkuley et al., 2009; Sunil et al., 2012; Yabe et al., 2001a), represents the pool of NFs that is characterized as “away” in modeling.

Intermediate filaments in general provide structural support and tensile strength to cells (Fuch, 1994; Helfand et al., 2004). Perhaps nowhere can such support be more critical than along axons, which can be thousands of times longer than their cell bodies and which lack desomosomes or other interconnections that mediate regional support among other cell types. Unlike other cells, however, neurons are faced with the challenge of orderly transport and assembly of NFs within axons, and errors in this process can be catastrophic (Rao and Nixon, 2003; Yuan et al., 2017). The complexity of this process, which encompasses multiple motor proteins, kinases, phosphatases and proteases, can preclude consideration of the function of NFs beyond transport itself (e.g., Lee et al., 2014; Shea and Flanagan, 2001; Shea and Yabe, 2000). Development and maintenance of a functional nervous system is by
definition dependent upon orderly elaboration and maintenance of the axons, which in turn is dependent upon organization of the axonal cytoskeleton. NFs provide the stabilizing force during axonal pathfinding and outgrowth as well as for axonal maintenance following synaptogenesis (Yuan et al., 2017). The establishment of a stationary population minimizes NF turnover, which would otherwise impart a prohibitive metabolic burden upon the neuron (Nixon and Logvinenko, 1986). Moreover, a stationary NF network is likely important to mediate anchoring of receptor proteins and organelles within axons (Ehlers et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2002; Ratnam and Teichberg, 2005). The findings herein and in prior studies (Yabe et al., 2009; Kushkuley et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2009) indicate that bundled NFs represent this stationary network in cultured neurons and neuronal cells. In this regard, such structures, and their formation by multivalent cations, are by no means unique to NFs. Bundles are formed by multiple intermediate filament proteins, including vimentin, keratin, desmin and Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (Perng et al., 1999; Franke et al., 1978; Tokuyashu et al., 1983; Wagner et al., 2007), and an increase in bundles accompanies a requirement for extra stabilization (Bornslaeger et al., 1996; Djabali et al., 1999; Jones et al., 1983). Further studies of NF dynamics may be facilitated by the use of an inducible promoter such as that utilized herein.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Establishment of a tetracycline-inducible system for differential expression of NF-H

An N-terminally GFP-tagged *Rattus norvegicus* neurofilament heavy (GFP-H) cDNA sequence housed in a pEGFP-C3 vector (Boumil et al., 2015; Lee et al. 2014; Ackerley et al., 2003) was digested by BamHI (New England Biolabs) at a single restriction site and converted to blunt ends by Klenow (New England Biolabs) and purified by a PCR cleanup kit (Qiagen). Extracted linear DNA was then digested by AgeI (New England Biolabs). The resulting fragments were separated by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and the fragment containing the GFP-H cDNA was excised and purified by a gel extraction kit (Qiagen). A pcDNA5 vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was prepared by the same enzyme and purification treatments. The GFP-H cDNA was then ligated into the pcDNA5 vector by means of T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) at a 3:1 insert:vector ratio. 5α-competent *E. coli* (New England Biolabs) was transformed with the resulting pcDNA5-GFP-H.

Cell culture and transfection

Mouse NB2a/d1 neuroblastoma cells were maintained in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% tet-tested fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals), 2mM L-glutamine, and antibiotic-antimycotic solution (“complete DMEM”) and differentiated for 20hr with 1mM dibutyrl-cyclic-AMP (Yabe et al., 1999). It is recognized that these differentiated neuroblastoma may lack key features of bona fide neurons, so all conclusions must be viewed with caution. However, studies spanning decades have shown these cells to be an ideal model for analyses of NF dynamics since they robustly express all NF subunits, phosphorylate them via known NF kinases, transport them into and along axonal neurites via
the same motors as in situ; finally, they are readily transfected and large quantities are easily obtained for comparative biochemical and radiolabeling analyses (Boumil et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2002, 2004, 2005; Dubey et al., 2007; Kushkuley et al., 2009; Lee and Shea, 2014a,b; Lee et al., 2011a,b, 2014; Moran et al., 2005; Motil et al., 2006, 2007; Sunil et al., 2012; Vohnoutka et al., 2016; Yabe et al., 1999, 2001a,b). Cultures were transiently transfected pcDNA5-GFP-H and its accompanying pcDNA6 construct via PolyJet (Signagen) for 4 hours in the presence of 0.5 µg/mL doxycycline (Qin et al., 2010) as follows:

- doxycycline for 12hrs immediately after transfection (“Early-On”)
- doxycycline for 12hrs commencing 48 hours after transfection (“Late-On”).
- doxycycline for 72 hours (“Always-On”),
- no doxycycline for the duration of the assay (“Leak”).

Expression was allowed to continue for 12hr since this is the minimum time to obtain as seen with this construct (e.g., Yabe et al., 2001).

**Immunofluorescence and photobleaching**

Cells cultivated and transfected on acid-washed poly-d-lysine/laminin coated glass coverslips housed within petri dishes or glued below a hole drilled in the bottom of the dish (Whilton & Baas, 1992) were incubated for 2hr at room temp in PBS containing 2% goat serum and 0.2% Triton and either a 1:1000 dilution of monoclonal antibody SMI31 (diluted 1:1000) or a 1:100 dilution of monoclonal antibody RT97, both of which are directed against NF-H C-terminal phosphoepitopes (Yabe et al., 2001). Following primary antibody incubation and washing, Cultures were rinsed in the same buffer without antibodies then incubated for 1hr at room temp in the same buffer containing a 1:500 dilution of rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, dehydrated in a series of increasing ethanol concentrations
(70/80/95/100%), rinsed twice in xylene, and mounted on glass slides with DePeX.

Fluorescence intensity and localization was monitored using a Zeiss Axiovert microscope under FITC or TRITC optics (for Rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibodies) along with corresponding phase contrast images. Sequential images through the vertical plane of axonal neurites with respect to the culture dish were captured at 100 nm intervals via Velocity 5.4.1 software, and the resulting Z-stacks were deconvoluted with the same software (Moran et al., 2005).

Regions of axonal neurites were photobleached by closing the aperture diaphragm and exposing select portions of axonal neurites to the excitation beam for 2 minutes, which dramatically depletes GFP fluorescence (Chan et al., 2005; Motil et al., 2006; Wang & Brown 2001). Cells were imaged prior to, immediately following, and 10-12 hours after photobleaching.

**Image analysis**

Image analysis was carried out using ImageJ, and recorded values were imported into Microsoft Excel. GFP was quantified within total axonal neurites and within proximal, central, and distal axonal segments of equivalent length, in 5 separate experiments. GFP was also quantified within centrally-situated, longitudinally-oriented NF bundles and within the surrounding neurite cytoplasm by outlining a region of the bundle with the free-hand selection tool, then moving the same selection box to the adjacent cytoplasm as monitored in fluorescent as well as corresponding phase-contrast images (Yabe et al., 2001; Chan et al. 2005). Phase-contrast images were included to allow assessment of neurite morphology...
independent of any fluorescent signal and therefore facilitate differential distribution of GFP within neurites.

The half-life of GFP was calculated by determining the decay coefficient (λ) in the exponential decay equation \( N(T) = N_0 e^{\lambda t} \), where \( N_0 \) is the initial quantity of signal detected, \( N(T) \) represents the quantity of signal detected after a given time has elapsed (t), e represents the natural exponential, and \( \lambda \) representing the decay coefficient. The half-life of GFP was calculated by \( T_{(1/2)} = \ln(2) / \lambda \), where \( T_{(1/2)} \) represents half-life, and \( \lambda \) represents the decay coefficient.

Immunofluorescent intensity was analyzed by generating lateral fluorescence intensity profiles across the neurites using the *Plot Profile* feature. Values for the neuritic cytoplasm on both sides of the centrally-situated NF bundle (“surround”) were averaged and subtracted from the respective value of the NF bundle. In order to normalize values among bundles from different axonal neurites to monitor GFP incorporation, regions within the NF bundle were then grouped into 5 equivalently-sized transverse bins (“edge”, “interior”, “center”, “interior”, and “edge”) and fluorescent intensity was averaged within each of these bins to generate 5 data points for each NF bundle. Values corresponding to the edges were averaged and the 5 bins were divided by this average to generate a distribution profile for each NF bundle. To monitor GFP decay within bundles, values within these 5 transverse binds were not divided by the average of the edges, to allow comparison of decay rates among all 5 bins. Statistical comparisons were carried out using Student’s unpaired, two-tailed, \( t \)-test.

Notably, while GFP was only expressed conjugated to NF-H and not in isolation, we refer
exclusively to GFP, rather than GFP-H in immunofluorescent analyses, since we cannot be certain that some of the GFP signal is derived from free GFP or GFP conjugated to NF-H fragments due to proteolysis (although Triton-extraction and biochemical analyses indicate that any such population within axonal neurites is small).

**Fractionation of cells**

Cultures were scraped from plates and lysed in 50mM Tris containing 2mM EDTA, Complete™ protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), PhosSTOP™ phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 1mM PMSF. Lysates were gently homogenized with a loose-fitting Teflon pestle, and centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 minutes, which sediments axonal neurite fragments and disrupts cell somae (Shea et al., 1993). The resulting supernatant (“soma” fraction) was decanted and brought to a final Triton X-100 concentration of 1%, and the pellet (“axon neurite” fraction) was resuspended in the above buffer with 1% Triton. The resuspended axonal neurite fraction was homogenized with a tight-fitting Teflon pestle, and centrifuged at 13,100 x g for 15 minutes over 1ml of a 1M sucrose cushion. Prior studies confirmed that NF bundles sediment through the sucrose cushion (“sucrose pellet”), individual and loosely-associated NFs are retained on the top of the sucrose cushion (“interface”) and that the supernatant above the interface (“soluble” fraction) contains unassembled NF subunis and small oligomeric NF assemblies; Yabe et al., 2001; Shea et al., 1993). These interface and sucrose pellet fractions were resuspended in the above buffer containing 8M urea to dissociate bundled NFs; Leterrier & Eyer, 1987; Kushkuley et al., 2009). The soma fraction was centrifuged at 13,100 x g for 15 minutes. The resultant supernatant (“soma soluble”) was decanted and the pellet resuspended in the above buffer containing 8M urea (“soma
Some lysates were analyzed by phase-contrast and fluorescence microscopy prior to and following homogenization, and after 500 x g centrifugation, to confirm partitioning of axonal neurite fragments (Shea et al., 1993).

Fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE using 4-15% acrylamide gradient gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in 25mM Tris-HCl (pH7.6) containing 192mM glycine and 10% methanol. Membranes were blocked in 50mM Tris-HCl (pH7.6) containing 154mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) containing 5% goat serum and 1% bovine serum albumin. Membranes were incubated in the following primary antibodies in TBST with 2% goat serum overnight at 4°C: a 1:500 dilution of an antibody (R39) that reacts with all NF subunits regardless of phosphorylation state (Jung et al., 1999), a 1:2000 dilution of a monoclonal antibody (SMI32) that reacts with a phospho-epitope of NF-H and NF-M when that epitope is not phosphorylated (Covance), a 1:1000 dilution of SMI31 (Covance), a 1:100 dilution of RT97, a 1:2000 dilution of an antibody (DM1A) that reacts with α-tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), a 1:1000 dilution of an antibody (6-11B-1) that reacts with acetylated α-tubulin (Abcam), a 1:1000 dilution of an antibody (Tau46) that reacts with tau, a 1:10,000 dilution of an antibody that reacts with MAP2 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), and a 1:1000 dilution of an antibody that reacts with the soluble glycolytic enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Cell Signaling Technology). Membranes were washed 3 times for 5 min/wash in TBST, and incubated in the appropriate goat alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary IgG for 1hr at room temp. Membranes were washed 3 times for 5 min/wash in TBST, and once for 5 min in 50mM Tris (pH 7.6) containing 154mM NaCl. Membranes were washed a final time in 100mM Tris (pH9.5) containing 100mM NaCl and 5mM MgCl2 for 7 min, and immune-labeled proteins visualized by incubation in the same buffer also containing
1% 5-bromo-4-chloro-3'-indolyphosphate and 1.5% nitro-blue tetrazolium. Scanned images of membranes were analyzed by ImageJ software. Statistical analyses were carried out using Student’s t-test.

**Radiolabeling of NFs in situ and monitoring of transport and exchange**

Murine retinal ganglion cells of normal mice were radiolabeled *in situ* by injection of 70µCi 35S-methionine in a total volume of 0.2µL via a pulled glass capillary pipette into the vitreous of anesthetized mice (65). Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at 1-150 days following injection. Retinas were dissected away from the rest of the eye and optic axons dissected into 9 x 1.1mm segments on a glass slide on dry ice. Retinas and segments from 5-11 mice were pooled and homogenized in 1% Triton X-100 in 50mM Tris (pH 6.9) containing 2mM EDTA, 1mM PMSF and 50µg/ml leupeptin at 4°C by 50 strokes in a tight-fitting glass-Teflon homogenizer (43,65). The Triton-insoluble cytoskeleton was sedimented by centrifugation 15,000 x g for 15min as described (11). NF subunits were immunoprecipitated from the above fractions with a 1:150 dilutions of a polyclonal antibody that quantitatively immunoprecipitates all 3 NF subunits (R39) Immunoprecipitated material was subjected to SDS-gel electrophoresis, dried and transferred to nitrocellulose. Some replicas were probed with R39 and alkalinephosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody as above, and others were placed against Kodak X-Omat film to generate autoradiographs. Radiolabeled data presented for normal mice from day 1-60 appeared previously in Jung and Shea (1999) and are reproduced (with permission) along with novel data from day 150 after injection to facilitate comparison of subunit transport and exchange/decay over this protracted interval. Autoradiographs were digitized via a UMax scanner equipped with a transparency adaptor.
operated by a Macintosh. Densitometric analyses of digitized images were carried out via Image J software by encircling the entire band with the program’s freehand selection tool. Since the NF triplet co-migrated along optic axons, we subsequently present densitometric data only for NF-L for simplicity only (31). Densitometric calculations using the NF triplet yielded identical relative distributions (not shown; Yabe et al., 2000). Total mean density (density per total area of immunoreactive band) was calculated for autoradiographs. As shown previously (Jung and Shea, 1999), all radiolabel associated with NFs within cytoskeletons had transported into axons after day 3. To facilitate comparison of distribution and loss of radiolabeled subunits at different post-injection intervals, total radiolabel associated with NF-L within axons at day 3 was defined as 100% and the amount remaining within axons at subsequent days was compared to this level.
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**Fig. 1**: Experimental outline and validation of tet-inducible expression system

**Panel A**: Representative NB2a/d1 cells at day 3 and 12 after initiation of dbcAMP-induced differentiation, transiently transfected with GFP-H on day 2 after initiation of differentiation. Inserts represent higher-magnification of regions of axonal neurites indicated by arrows. Note association of GFP with filamentous structures along the length of axonal neurites along with saturation of the soma.

**Panel B**: Timeline of induction and cessation of GFP-H expression under control of the tet-inducible promoter by addition of doxycycline (dox) for 12hr after 24hr or 72hr of
differentiation (“Early-On” and “Late-On,” respectively). Additional cultures did not receive dox (“Leak”) or received dox for 1 week (“Always-On”).

**Panel C:** Quantification of GFP under the conditions described in panel B at 24 and 72hr after transfection. (n=total of >1000 cells from 3 separate experiments; >65 cells/condition/time point) *p<0.01

**Panel D:** Quantification of GFP within total axonal neurites, or 3 segments of equivalent length (proximal, central and distal) under Early-On and Late-On conditions as described in panel B at 24 and 72hr after transfection. (n= 39 total cells).
**Fig. 2: Differential distribution of GFP under Early-On and Late-On conditions**

**Panel A:** Representative phase-contrast and corresponding epifluorescent images of Early-On and Late-On cells at the indicated day after induction of differentiation. Arrows in epifluorescent images denote the distribution of GFP; arrows in corresponding phase-contrast images denote the diameter of the axonal neurite. Larger arrows in the merged images also denote the region from which the higher-magnification image was derived. By days 7-14, axonal neurites were often too long for single micrographs at 100x. The “Early-On” axonal neurite micrographs presented for these times in Fig. 2 are composites of 2 micrographs. Asterisks in the respective phase-contrast images indicate where composite images were merged.

**Panel B:** Higher-magnification images from representative cells at day 4 after induction of differentiation. Note differential distribution of GFP within the centrally-situated NF bundle and was instead confined to the area surrounding the bundle (“surround”). Arrows in the phase-contrast image denote the diameter of the axonal neurite.

**Panel C:** Upper graphs present quantification of distribution of GFP in Early-On and Late-On axonal neurites from multiple cells at the indicated day after induction of differentiation. Lower graphs present the rate of decline of GFP from the surround and from the bundle at days 2 and 7 after induction of differentiation. (n= total 96 cells from 4 separate experiments; p<0.01 for Early-On day 4 vs Late-On day 4 at both 24 and 48hr).
Fig. 3: Differential Distribution of GFP under Early-On and Late-On conditions.

Panel A: Representative phase-contrast and epifluorescent image of the central region of an axonal neurite. Arrows in the phase-contrast image denote the diameter of the axonal neurite. The accompanying graphs present the relative distribution of GFP across the lateral...
profile (axon neurite lateral profile), the distribution of GFP across the bundle (bundle profile) and the distribution of GFP within the bundle following binning as described in Methods to normalize distribution among multiple profiles.

**Panel B:** Representative images and quantification of the distribution of GFP and total phospho-H as revealed by SMI-31 immunoreactivity in central segments of axonal neurites as described in for Panel A. Arrows denote the region from which respective lateral profiles were generated. Lower graphs present binned distribution from bundles from 53 such cells.

**Panel C:** Representative deconvoluted Z-stacks depicting the distribution of GFP and SMI-31 in Early-On and Late-On axonal neurites after 24hrs of respective GFP-H expression.
**Fig. 4**: Fractionation and differential centrifugation methodology

**Panel A**: Fractionation and differential centrifugation protocol to separate axonal neurites from soma, Triton-soluble material and Triton-insoluble cytoskeletons, and bundles from individual NFs from cytoskeletons of axonal neurites as described in Methods (Fig. 4; Shea et al., 1993). The accompanying nitrocellulose replicas present distribution of total NFs (R39), non-phosphorylated NF-H and M (SMI-32), phosphorylated NF-H and M (SMI-31, RT97), total (DM1A) and acetylated (6-11B) tubulin, MAP2, tau (Tau46) and GAPDH as indicated.

**Panel B**: Phase-contrast and epiflorescent images of fractions during the above procedure as indicated. Arrows denote NF bundles recovered in the sucrose pellet; not all...
bundles are indicated. The accompanying graph presents quantification of the diameter of bundles before and following isolation as indicated.
**Fig. 5: Biochemical analyses of GFP-H distribution following differential expression**

Nitrocellulose replicas probed as indicated of fractions from Early-On and Late-On cells harvested at 24 and 72hr after expression as indicated, along with uninduced cells harvested at the same time (“Corresponding Leak”). The accompanying graphs present quantification of the distribution of GFP-H and phospho-NF (RT97) among fractions from 3 experiments, and the ratio of distribution in Early-On versus Late-On cells as indicated (*p<0.05).
**Fig. 6:** Distribution of GFP within bundles along the length of axonal neurites

**Panel A:** Quantification of axonal neurite length during dbcAMP-induced outgrowth. The timing of induction of GFP-H expression under Early-On and Late-On conditions is indicated.

**Panel B:** Phase-contrast image of representative axonal neurite from day 7 after induction of differentiation, depicting segmentation of axonal neurites, excluding the hillock and growth cone, into 3 equivalent segments (proximal, central and distal as indicated). Arrows denote the diameter of the axonal neurite.

**Panel C:** Quantification of distribution of GFP and phospho-H (RT97 in lateral profiles of bundles generated as described for Fig.3, for proximal, central and distal segments as described for panel B from a total of 198 cells. $p<0.05$; $**p<0.01$. 

---

The timing of induction of GFP-H expression under Early-On and Late-On conditions is indicated.
**Fig. 7:** Differential recovery of GFP within bundles and surrounding regions following photobleaching

**Panel A:** Representative images of an Early-On cell 72hr after expression of GFP-H before, during, immediately after, and 12hr after photobleaching as described in Methods. A region with a varicosity was selected to demonstrate more clearly recovery within the surround but not the bundle. Arrows in phase-contrast images denote the diameter of the axonal neurite.

**Panels B and C:** Quantification of GFP in multiple unbleached and photobleached axonal neurites of the type presented in panel A. (n = 5 cells for each condition, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01).
Fig. 8: Turnover of GFP-H and radiolabeled endogenous NF-H

Panel A: Quantification of total GFP and GFP within the surround and bundle in Early-On cells.

Panel B: Autoradiographs of NF-L within retina (R) and 1.1mm segments of optic axons at the indicated days following injection of $^{35}$S-methionine into the vitreous humor as described in Methods. The accompanying graph presents quantification of radiolabeled NF-L from the above autoradiographs.

Panel C: Quantification of the total decline and the rate of decline/day for GFP in NB2a/d1 cells and radiolabeled NFs in optic axons over the indicated intervals after expression or injection of radiolabel, respectively. (n= total of 72 cells, > 10/time point, from 3 separate experiments.

Panel D: Representative images of axonal neurites of Early-On cells at the indicated days after induction of differentiation. Arrows in phase-contrast images denote the diameter of the axonal neurite. The accompanying graphs present distribution of GFP across lateral profiles of bundles from central segment of multiple axonal neurites generated as described for Fig. 3 and in Methods, and the rate of decline of GFP within the center, interior and edge calculated as described in Methods.

Panel E: Autoradiographs of Triton-soluble (sol) and –insoluble (insol) fractions of differentiated NB2a/d1 cells at the indicated intervals after pulse-labeling with 35S-methionine as described in Methods. The accompanying graphs present the relative intensity...
of nonphosphorylated (160kDa) and extensively phosphorylated (200kDa) at the indicated intervals after radiolabeling.

**Panel F:** Autoradiographs of radiolabeled NFs from cells subjected to fractionation and sedimentation over sucrose as described in Fig. 4 at the indicated intervals (chase) following pulse-labeling with $^{35}$S-methionine as described in Methods.