

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

A single and rapid calcium wave at egg activation in *Drosophila*

Anna H. York-Andersen<sup>1</sup>, Richard M. Parton<sup>2</sup>, Catherine J. Bi<sup>1</sup>, Claire L. Bromley<sup>2,3</sup>, Ilan Davis<sup>2</sup> and Timothy T. Weil<sup>1,\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Activation is an essential process that accompanies fertilisation in all animals and heralds major cellular changes, most notably, resumption of the cell cycle. While activation involves wave-like oscillations in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration in mammals, ascidians and polychaete worms and a single  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  peak in fish and frogs, in insects, such as *Drosophila*, to date, it has not been shown what changes in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels occur. Here, we utilise ratiometric imaging of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  indicator dyes and genetically encoded  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  indicator proteins to identify and characterise a single, rapid, transient wave of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the *Drosophila* egg at activation. Using genetic tools, physical manipulation and pharmacological treatments we demonstrate that the propagation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave requires an intact actin cytoskeleton and an increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  can be uncoupled from egg swelling, but not from progression of the cell cycle. We further show that mechanical pressure alone is not sufficient to initiate a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave. We also find that processing bodies, sites of mRNA decay and translational regulation, become dispersed following the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient. Based on this data we propose the following model for egg activation in *Drosophila*: exposure to lateral oviduct fluid initiates an increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  at the egg posterior via osmotic swelling, possibly through mechano-sensitive  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channels; a single  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave then propagates in an actin dependent manner; this  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave co-ordinates key developmental events including resumption of the cell cycle and initiation of translation of mRNAs such as *bicoid*.

**KEY WORDS:** Egg activation, Calcium imaging, *Drosophila*, Calcium transient

## INTRODUCTION

The eggs of different species of animals are arrested at distinct stages of meiosis and egg activation is required in all species to transform the egg to a cell capable of initiating embryogenesis following fertilisation. Conserved changes in the egg at activation include: adjusting the composition of the outer membranes and egg shell; cortical granule exocytosis, also known as cortical

reaction; the resumption of meiosis via inactivation of MPF and activation of APC, mRNA translation activation or degradation, as well as cytoskeletal rearrangements (Horner and Wolfner, 2008; Houston, 2012; Krauchunas and Wolfner, 2013). In most animals studied to date it has been shown that changes in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentrations play a major role in setting these events in motion (Krauchunas and Wolfner, 2013).  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is a well-known second messenger involved in many signal transduction cascades in cells and tissues co-ordinating muscle contraction, transport processes, cell division and growth and enzyme activities (Berridge, 2005; Clapham, 2007). Intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentrations are kept highly regulated at approximately 100 nM as prolonged exposure to high levels of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is toxic to the cell. As a result, there is typically a large difference in the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration between the internal and external cellular environments and signalling events are transient and/or restricted spatially. This is maintained by several ATP-dependent pumps and storage of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in intracellular organelles, such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or mitochondria (Berridge, 2005; Clapham, 2007).

The specific spatiotemporal dynamics of the transient increases in cytoplasmic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  have been characterised across many species during egg activation and reveal important differences between species (Stricker, 1999). In *Drosophila*, despite genetic evidence that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling is required at egg activation (Horner et al., 2006), to date, a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient has not been observed. Unlike many animals, *Drosophila* do not require fertilisation to trigger activation (Doane, 1960). *In vivo* experiments in *Drosophila* have shown that activation occurs during the transition from the ovary to the oviduct prior to fertilisation in the uterus (Heifetz et al., 2001). Egg activation relies entirely upon maternal factors that, without the addition of the sperm, can trigger the *Drosophila* egg to complete meiosis (Doane, 1960), modify the vitelline membrane (Heifetz et al., 2001) and initiate translation or degradation of some mRNAs (Macdonald and Struhl, 1986; Tadros and Lipshitz, 2005).

With egg activation taking place independently of fertilisation in *Drosophila*, both mechanical stimulation during the passage of the egg through the female reproductive tract and osmotic pressure from the fluid composition in the oviduct have been proposed as a trigger of activation (Went and Krause, 1973; Heifetz et al., 2001; Horner and Wolfner, 2008). *Ex vivo* experiments on *Drosophila* eggs show that physical pulling on the dorsal appendages can cause the resumption of meiosis (Endow and Komma, 1997), placing them into a hypotonic buffer causes them to swell and activate (Mahowald et al., 1983; Page and Orr-Weaver, 1997) and more recently, osmotic and hydrostatic pressure can activate the egg (Horner and Wolfner, 2008). Before activation, mature eggs appear shrivelled and dehydrated, whereas following activation in the female or *ex vivo* with activation buffer, eggs are turgid and enlarged (Mahowald

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK. <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK. <sup>3</sup>MRC Centre for Developmental Neurobiology, King's College London, London SE1 1UL, UK.

\*Author for correspondence (tw419@cam.ac.uk)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

et al., 1983). Furthermore, evidence shows that the oviduct matrix is more hydrated in virgin females that have undergone ovulation, compared to that in females in the process of ovulating (Mahowald et al., 1983), raising the possibility that fluid is transferred to the egg from the oviduct matrix during ovulation.

While the mechanism of triggering egg activation is unclear, the importance of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling is well established. Mutations in the *Drosophila* calcipressin *sarah* (*sra*), part of a pathway with the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent phosphatase calcineurin, result in female sterility, cell cycle arrest and defects in *bicoid* (*bcd*) mRNA translation (Horner et al., 2006; Takeo et al., 2006). This pathway represents a conserved pathway between *Drosophila*, sea urchins and some vertebrates (Ducibella and Fissore, 2008; Horner and Wolfner, 2008).

In order to establish definitively, whether changes occur in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in *Drosophila* eggs, and subsequently to test how these changes are co-ordinated, we utilised micro-injected  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  detecting dye and a genetically encoded  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  indicator. We identified a single, rapid  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave passing through the mature oocyte. Genetic, pharmacological and physical manipulation reveals that the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave is not initiated by pressure on the egg alone but is dependent on both a functional actin cytoskeleton and the calcineurin signalling pathway. Our data supports a model where the fluid in the lateral oviduct, which rehydrates the egg, triggers  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave initiation at the posterior through mechanosensitive channels. Finally, we show that processing (P) bodies are dispersed following the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave and propose that this is required to activate the translation of stored mRNAs in the egg as a response to activation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Fly strains

Stocks were raised on standard cornmeal–agar medium at 21 or 25°C: wild-type, OregonR; UAS<sup>t</sup> myristoylated (*myr*)-*GCaMP5* (Melom and Littleton, 2013); GAL4::VP16-nosUTR (Van Doren et al., 1998); *sarah*<sup>A108</sup>; *sarah*<sup>A426</sup> (Horner et al., 2006); Me31B::GFP (Buszczak et al., 2007). For all experiments, mated females were fattened on yeast for 24–48 hours at 25°C.

### Preparation of egg chambers for imaging

For live imaging, oocytes were prepared as described previously (Weil et al., 2012). Ovaries from well-fed females were dissected and individual egg chambers separated from ovarioles using a dissecting probe in series95 halocarbon oil (KMZ chemicals) on 22 × 40 cover slips, #1.5 (Menzel-Gläser). Buffer was then added by glass pipette on top of the sample. Precise start times are not always clear due to variability in oil displacement sample to sample. Furthermore, the propagation of the applied medium was not always consistent. As far as possible these variables were controlled for by selecting the starting time point based on bright-field images.

### Embryo collection

As described previously (Parton et al., 2010), embryos were collected at 25°C between 0–4 hours on yeast apple juice agar plates then dechorionated for 2 minutes in 50% bleach. For imaging, embryos were adhered with heptane glue to a #1.5 coverslip then covered with series700 halocarbon oil (KMZ chemicals).

### Microinjection

Preparation for microinjection was carried out as described previously (Weil et al., 2012). Stage 14 egg chambers were prepared as above. A 2 × 10 mm piece of glass was placed on the coverslip to unblock the needle if required. A Femtotips II microinjection needle (Eppendorf) and a gas pressure injection system (Tritech Research) were used to inject the egg chambers. Dye aqueous stock solutions were made at 1 mM Calcium

Green-1 Dextran, Mr 10,000 (Invitrogen) and 1 mM Texas Red Dextran, Mr 10,000 (Invitrogen). For ratiometric calcium imaging, dye stocks were mixed 1:1 then briefly centrifuged at high speed prior to loading into the injection needle and injection. After injection, 45 minutes was left for recovery and dye diffusion before addition of activation buffer. Calcium Green-1  $K_d$  for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  = 190 nM. For artificial elevation of cytoplasmic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels, 20–100  $\mu\text{l}$  of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  was injected.

### Solutions, pharmacological treatments and ex vivo activation

To activate the oocytes *ex vivo*, a standard hypotonic activation buffer, first developed by Mahowald and colleagues (Mahowald et al., 1983) and also described by Page and Orr-Weaver (Page and Orr-Weaver, 1997), was used, composed of: 3.3 mM  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 16.6 mM  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 10 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 5% polyethylene glycol 8000, 2 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , brought to pH 6.4 with a 1:5 ratio of NaOH:KOH. Other solutions used include Schneider's Insect medium (Sigma) for control experiments, 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and activation buffer with 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  final concentration cytochalasin-D (Sigma).

### Imaging

Ratiometric imaging was performed with a wide-field DeltaVision CORE microscope (GE-Applied Precision, Olympus Ix70 microscope, 20 × 0.75NA dry lens, Roper Scientific CascadeII ECCD camera).  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  measurements were performed using two fluorescent dyes- Calcium Green-1 Dextran ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sensitive) and Texas Red Dextran ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  insensitive volume marker). Ratio image calculation was performed in Fiji (Image J) and displayed in pseudocolour. All other images were acquired with an inverted Leica SP5 Confocal microscope under a 20 × 0.7NA immersion objective. Experiments requiring mechanical pressure utilised a mounted microinjection apparatus (Olympus). Myr-GCaMP5 was driven by the Gal4/UAS system. GCaMP5  $K_d$  for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  = 660 nM (Melom and Littleton, 2013). Fiji (Image J) was used to manipulate the images for the figures.


## RESULTS

### A single transient $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ wave accompanies egg activation in *Drosophila*

Although mutations in the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling pathway have been shown to disrupt egg activation (Horner et al., 2006), to date,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling events have not been recorded during *Drosophila* egg activation. To investigate this we monitored the change in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  by ratiometric imaging on *ex vivo* isolated mature oocytes (stage 14). Prior to addition of activation buffer, both Calcium Green-1 Dextran (10 kDa) and Texas Red Dextran (10 kDa) were micro-injected in equal concentrations and allowed to evenly diffuse through the cytoplasm (45 minutes) to provide a baseline measure of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration. Upon activation, we observed a consistent, rapidly propagating increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , followed by a slower “recovery phase”, decrease (Fig. 1A,B, n=8). These events were concomitant with the physical “swelling” response normally associated with activation (data not shown). To confirm that the change in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  was due to activation rather than simply mechanical perturbation, Schneider's Insect medium was applied to the post-injected egg chambers (Fig. 1C, n=8). In these cases there was no  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave or swelling, however, there was a small local  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  elevation associated with the injection site, which did not propagate. We verified that the ratio imaging method was responding to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  by artificially elevating the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration locally through microinjection of  $\text{CaCl}_2$  (data not shown).

### The $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transient rapidly propagates as a wave from the cortical posterior

While injected dyes allowed us to initially observe and make preliminary characterisation of the calcium response we found it difficult to thoroughly analyse speed and magnitude. To




**Fig. 1. A rapidly propagating  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave at egg activation.** (A–C) Mature oocytes microinjected with Calcium Green-1 and Texas Red Dextran and left for dyes to diffuse for 45 minutes. (A) Addition of activation buffer results in a rapid increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  within the first 90 seconds followed by a slower recovery. Graph displays a single representative egg chamber imaged in a single cortical plane in order to calculate relative increase in calcium in the entire egg. Plotted values are the average for the entire egg chamber. (B) Time series showing propagation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient at high temporal resolution, cross-section images displayed every 10 seconds. (C) The addition of Schneider's Insect medium ( $t=0'$ ) shows no propagating  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  response. Scale bars A–C=100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Time in minutes ( $'$ ) and seconds ( $''$ ).

overcome this and in order to further characterise the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient during activation by standard hypotonic activation buffer, we used the genetically encoded  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  indicator  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -sensitive GFP (GCaMP) (Nakai et al., 2001) under the control of a germ line specific GAL4 driver. We found that using the myristoylated variant of the indicator (Melom and Littleton, 2013), targeting it to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, resulted in a dramatic increase in the signal-to-noise ratio between the GFP and auto-fluorescent yolk granules in the cytoplasm of the egg. This approach showed a similar transient  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  increase to that detected with injected dyes (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2A; supplementary material Movies 1–3). Using UAS-*myrGCaMP5*, we could clearly see that the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient propagated as a wave (Fig. 2A, arrowheads) and subsequently returned to basal levels (Fig. 2A). Initiation of the wave following

the addition of activation buffer was observed on average at  $1'15'' \pm 17.5''$  ( $n=26$ , SEM) and the completion of the wave resulting in the whole oocyte showed an increase in intracellular calcium after  $3'35'' \pm 41.1''$  ( $n=16$ , SEM). Recovery begins  $4'06'' \pm 50.6''$  ( $n=10$ , SEM) later and took  $5'33'' \pm 50''$  ( $n=10$ , SEM) to complete. The majority of waves initiated from the posterior pole (69%) versus the anterior (18%) or lateral cortex (13%) ( $n=29$ ). Even with prolonged observations, we did not detect any further transients or oscillating waves after ex-vivo activation (Fig. 2A,  $t=70'$ ) or in the early embryo (0–2 hours) (data not shown).

Expression of the UAS-*myrGCaMP5*  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  reporter did not interfere with the expected morphological changes in the oocyte associated with activation, including swelling, rounding at the poles and increased rigidity (supplementary material Movie 1), which were similar to control experiments where the reporter was



**Fig. 2. A rapidly propagating  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  response at egg activation detected by transgenic indicator.** (A) Time series of a mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP5* following the addition of activation buffer, ( $t=0'$ ) shows an expected baseline of fluorescence (note: dorsal appendages to the left show typical autofluorescence). After the addition of activation buffer, the mature oocyte swells and a wave of increases  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration initiates from the posterior pole ( $t=1'06''$ , arrowhead). The posterior wave propagates across the mature oocyte ( $t=3'50''$ , arrowhead). Following a brief period when the whole cell has an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ( $t=8'20''$ ), a slower recovery commences ( $t=16'05''$ ) and leaves the cell a similar  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration as prior to addition of activation buffer ( $t=19'25''$ ) and no oscillations are detected. (B) Time series of a mature oocyte not expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP5* (without the tub-GAL4VP16 driver) or (C) wild-type following the addition of activation buffer. Corresponding bright-field images show the mature oocytes swelling and fluorescent images show no change in fluorescence, as expected ( $n=7$ ). (D) Mature oocytes expressing tub-GAL4VP16 and UAS-*myrGCaMP5* following the addition of Schneider's Insect media does not show the mature oocyte swelling and an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is not detected ( $n=7$ ). Scale bars A–D=100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Max projection A=41  $\mu\text{m}$ , B=40.3  $\mu\text{m}$ , C=43.7  $\mu\text{m}$ , D=33.2  $\mu\text{m}$ .

not expressed (Fig. 2B, data not shown). In these control situations, no change in fluorescence was detected consistent with the lack of indicator (Fig. 2C, contrast adjusted to highlight autofluorescence levels). Furthermore, we did not observe any morphological or  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  changes, when standard insect media was added to oocytes expressing driving UAS-*myrGCaMP5* in place of activation buffer (Fig. 2D).


#### External $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ alone does not trigger a propagating $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ wave

In order to better understand the basis of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave during activation by standard hypotonic activation buffer, we tested if the application of solutions with different properties had an effect on intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the egg. We already showed that Schneider's Insect medium (5.4 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , 2.7 $\times$  more  $\text{CaCl}_2$  than in activation buffer) did not trigger a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient or


activation. However, addition of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , which is five times more concentrated than the  $\text{CaCl}_2$  in activation buffer, caused a rapid increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  from all regions of the cortex, accelerated swelling and lysis within minutes (Fig. 3A). Next, we demonstrated that altering the membrane potential, through the application of a high potassium medium (Ataman et al., 2008), caused no swelling or intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  change (data not shown). Finally, we showed that hypo-osmotic shock by addition of  $\text{dH}_2\text{O}$  promotes accelerated swelling as well as an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the egg (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, with the addition of water we often observe a recovery of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  to normal levels and occasionally a second increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (Fig. 3B). Together, this data suggests that mechanical pressure from hypo-osmotically induced swelling is a likely mechanism for initiation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave, possibly initially triggered by entry of external  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . The data also shows that while the egg chamber is clearly capable of multiple transients, activation involves only a single propagating wave.

#### Mechanical stimulation alone does not support the propagating $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ wave

It has long been postulated that both mechanical stimulation and external cues contribute to *Drosophila* egg activation during deposition (Heifetz et al., 2001; Horner and Wolfner, 2008; Krauchunas and Wolfner, 2013). Various methods have previously been used to study this question, for example, physical pulling on the dorsal appendages of mature oocytes has been shown to cause the resumption of meiosis (Endow and Komma, 1997) and placing mature oocytes into a hypotonic buffer causes them to swell and activate (Mahowald et al., 1983; Page and Orr-Weaver, 1997). To test whether mechanical pressure is able to prompt and propagate a wave of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , we applied pressure with a glass rod to the outside of an oocyte mounted in halocarbon oil (Fig. 4A,B). Despite a local increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  where pressure was applied, no propagating wave or physical hallmarks of activation were observed (Fig. 4C). To better represent the physiological process of the passage of an egg chamber through the oviduct, we applied pressure on the *ex vivo* mature oocyte with plastic tubes, normally used for cell isolation prior to IVF treatments (Fig. 5A,B). We show that cylindrical pressure for 1–3 minutes on the posterior half of the mature oocyte did not result in a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  or physical change (Fig. 5A,B).



**Fig. 3. Osmotically induced swelling of the mature oocyte results in an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and lysis.** (A,B) Time series of a mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP5* following the addition of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  (A) or water (B). (A) Addition of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  results in a rapid cortical increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , accelerated swelling and lysis ( $n=8$ ). (B) Addition of water causes a rapid increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  around the circumference of the cell ( $n=10$ ). The cell recovers to pre-activation levels before showing second increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  before lysis. Corresponding bright-field images show a continued swelling of the mature oocyte until lysis. Scale bars A,B=100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Max projection A=27.7  $\mu\text{m}$ , B=41  $\mu\text{m}$ .



**Fig. 4. Local pressure causes an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  but not a propagation of the wave.** (A–C) Mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP5* having local pressure applied ( $n=8$ ). (A) Corresponding bright-field image of the mature oocyte with boxes highlighting the regions where pressure was applied. (B) Direct pressure from the flat side of a glass rod (red circle,  $t=5''$ ) results in a local increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration but does not cause the cell to swell as is normally associated with egg activation. (C) Pressure applied ( $t=0''$ ) locally does not propagate across the cell. Scale bars A,B=50  $\mu\text{m}$ , C=100  $\mu\text{m}$ . A–C single frame.


These results further support the model that the external environment, likely the fluid in the oviduct (Heifetz et al., 2001) is required for initiation of a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave.

#### **$\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transients occur in oocytes passing through the *ex vivo* oviduct**

Amongst the earliest changes associated with activation occur upon entrance of the oocyte into the lateral oviduct, for example an increase in the cross-linking of the vitelline membrane (Heifetz et al., 2001). In order to test whether  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling is associated with these early physiological events, we dissected the full reproductive machinery of the female and observed mature oocytes that were at the entrance of the lateral oviduct (Fig. 5C–E). Despite extensive imaging challenges, we were able to detect an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  at the posterior of some oocytes as they entered the lateral oviduct (Fig. 5D). These results confirm the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient as part of the normal process of egg activation in *Drosophila*.

#### **Requirements for initiation and propagation of the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transient**

To explore the relationship between the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave and other aspects of egg activation, we tested mature oocytes mutant for the *Drosophila* calcipressin, *sra*, which has previously been shown to block release of the cell cycle (Horner et al., 2006; Takeo et al., 2006). We find that in a *sra* mutant background *ex vivo* activated mature oocytes swell normally but no  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave is detected in 13 of 14 samples (Fig. 6A). The fact that swelling and the initiation and propagation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave can be uncoupled in this way shows that swelling is not dependent upon the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave and furthermore, swelling alone is not sufficient to initiate and drive the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave. Other factors must be required to couple the two events.




**Fig. 5. Suction alone is not sufficient to cause a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave.** (A,B) Time series of a mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP-5* dissected into Schneider's Insect medium with suction applied to the posterior half of the mature oocyte with a 135  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter plastic tube for 2 minutes (A). Subsequent time series show no morphological or intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  changes (B) ( $n=7$ ). (C) Whole female reproductive machinery dissection, white box highlights the region of interest for experiment in panels D and E. (D,E) Higher magnification of whole female reproductive machinery expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP-5* cultured in Schneider's Insect medium imaged with fluorescence (D) and corresponding bright-field image (E). A mature oocyte preparing to enter or slightly entered the lateral oviduct (white star) shows an increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  at the posterior pole ( $n=3$  due to technical challenges in imaging live at this magnification). Scale bars A,B,D,E=100  $\mu\text{m}$ , C=1000  $\mu\text{m}$ . Max projection B=40  $\mu\text{m}$ .

To test if a localised increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  alone could initiate and enable propagation of a wave, we injected  $\text{CaCl}_2$  directly. We show that both a low or high volume  $\text{CaCl}_2$  displays a proportional rise in the intracellular concentration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  detected (Fig. 6B). We also show that despite this local increase, the cell recovers back to pre-injected levels and that the local increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  does not cause a wave to propagate in the mature oocyte.

Previous work has shown that the actin cytoskeleton is re-organised at activation (Weil et al., 2008). We tested if disruption of the actin cytoskeleton via pharmacological disruption could also block the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave. In the presence of activation buffer with cytochalasin-D, the wild-type egg swells, but the wave appears to stutter and retract prematurely, never encompassing the whole oocyte as observed in standard conditions (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Movie 4). These results demonstrate a role for the cytoskeleton in coupling swelling and propagation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave.

#### **The $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transient co-ordinates downstream events of egg activation**

Activation in *Drosophila* heralds a cascade of events, including translational initiation of several mRNA's: *bcd*, *nanos*, *hunchback*, *caudal*, *Toll*, *torso*, *smaug*, and *string* (Tadros and Lipshitz, 2005). Previous work has established the presence of cytoplasmic regions in the oocyte termed P bodies, where mRNA translation is not supported and which, therefore, act in repressing the translation of stored mRNA's such as *bcd* (Weil et al., 2012). Activation has previously been shown to dissipate P bodies at the anterior pole of the oocyte, releasing *bcd* mRNA for translation (Weil et al., 2012). To test the involvement of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient



**Fig. 6.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave is compromised in *sra* mutant and by actin disruption.** (A) *sarah* mutant mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP-5*. Addition of activation buffer ( $t=0'$ ) does not show a change in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , while swelling and showing physical changes associated with egg activation ( $n=13/14$ ). (B) Mature oocyte microinjected with Calcium Green-1 and Texas Red Dextran and left for dyes to diffuse for 45 minutes. A low volume injection of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  ( $t=10'$ , white arrowhead) shows a slight local increase in the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration. Higher volume injection of 10 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$  ( $t=175'$ , white arrowhead) shows a clear local rise in the intracellular concentration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  detected. Continued observation shows that the local increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  does not cause a wave to propagate in the mature oocyte. (C) Wild-type mature oocyte expressing UAS-*myrGCaMP-5* cultured in activation buffer with 10  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  cytochalasin-D ( $n=21/24$  show a complete loss or compromised wave). Intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  increases from the posterior pole as in wild-type ( $t=2'$ , white arrowhead). This posterior wave fails to move across the entire cell ( $t=3'32''$ , white arrowhead), retracting to the posterior prematurely. A similarly compromised anterior wave fails to propagate fully ( $t=7'04''$ , white arrowhead). Corresponding bright-field images show that swelling and separation of dorsal appendages occur as expected with egg activation. Scale bars A–C=100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Max projection A=40  $\mu\text{m}$ , C=41.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .

in regulation of these P bodies and consequently in regulating translation regulation, we examined the common P body marker, Me31B, at activation (Fig. 7A–C). We show that Me31B particles present in the mature oocyte, disperse following *ex vivo* activation ( $n=11$ ). We observe a similar dispersed pattern of Me31B in the early embryo when compared to the large foci in stage 14 oocyte (Fig. 7D,E).


## DISCUSSION

In this study we visualised a rapid wave of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the *Drosophila* oocyte at egg activation by two independent methods. We were able to demonstrate a requirement for the calcipressin encoded by the *sra* gene as well as actin for the

initiation and propagation of the wave. We further show that mechanical pressure alone was insufficient to initiate and propagate a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave. Our data supports a model where at ovulation: (1) a stage 14 oocyte enters the lateral oviduct; (2) fluid in the oviduct initiates a change in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  at the posterior pole; (3) osmotic swelling and likely  $\text{IP}_3$  mediated release of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ; (4)  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release by  $\text{IP}_3$  is in part controlled by a functional actin cytoskeleton; (5) a wave of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  propagates through the cell by  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -induced  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release; (6)  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is reabsorbed into internal stores; (7) resumption of the cell cycle and translation of maternal mRNAs.

In most animals,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release, in the form of a wave or oscillation, at egg activation is initiated by the sperm (Stricker, 1999). This results in cortical granule exocytosis to block polyspermy and the start of development though initiation of the cell's metabolism. Here we report a single rapid wave of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the *Drosophila* egg. This wave is unique with respect to other model systems insofar as it occurs prior to fertilisation but does appear to have similar properties to the waves observed in jellyfish and frogs. While gamete membrane fusion or binding of the gamete to receptors prior to fusion are common mechanisms to initiate activation, *Drosophila* must receive a different signal to set events into motion. One possibility is that a signal in the oviduct fluid bind to a receptor in the oocyte and triggers the tyrosine kinase, phospholipase C, phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate ( $\text{PIP}_2$ ), inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate ( $\text{IP}_3$ ) cascade as in other systems (Berridge, 2005; Clapham, 2007), however this is not consistent with our *ex vivo* experiments.

While we cannot completely rule out a role for physical pressure or additional contribution from a specific factor for activation, our data supports a model where an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  due to osmotic swelling enables initiation of activation. While direct injection of  $\text{CaCl}_2$  does not result in the propagating increase in calcium, we do observe that Schneider's media which



**Fig. 7. P bodies disperse at egg activation.** (A–E) Mature oocytes and early embryo expressing Me31B::GFP labelling P bodies. (A) Time series of *ex vivo* mature oocyte following the addition of activation buffer ( $t=0'$ ) show Me31B foci dispersing. (B,C) Higher magnification  $63\times 1.4$  NA lens before (B) and after (C) the addition of activation buffer. Large Me31B particles fall apart following the addition of activation buffer and swelling, consistent with observations of stage 14 oocyte (D) and early embryo (E). Scale bars A,B=100  $\mu\text{m}$ , C–E=40  $\mu\text{m}$ . Max projection A=40  $\mu\text{m}$ , B–E single frame.

enters the mature oocyte through the injection site can generate a sequential increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . This increase is not comparable in speed, time or distance covered to the normal wave we observe at activation. We observe initiation of the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave in *ex vivo* samples primarily from the posterior suggesting that there is something unique about this region of the cell. This could be due to a posterior enrichment of ER or  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channels. The former is unlikely as labelling for ER does not reveal any local enrichment (data not shown).

In sea urchin eggs, microinjection of purified IP3 is sufficient to cause cortical granule exocytosis (Busa et al., 1985; Whitaker and Irvine, 1984) and blocking IP3 prevents a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release (Berridge, 2005; Clapham, 2007). In *Drosophila*, IP3 receptor germline clones fail to produce viable embryos and ovary extracts detect expression of the IP3 receptor (Acharya et al., 1997; Chintapalli et al., 2007; McQuilton et al., 2012). Our finding that disruption of the actin cytoskeleton results in a compromised  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave hints at signalling through an actin-IP3 receptor interaction. We know from previous work that the F-actin cytoskeleton is rearranged at activation (Weil et al., 2008). Tissue culture, brain and pancreatic cells from mammals also show that actin physically interacts with the IP3 receptors (Fujimoto et al., 1995; Joseph and Samanta, 1993; Turvey et al., 2005). In starfish, disruption of actin resulted in a decrease in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  at egg activation (Kyojuka et al., 2008). Following what we hypothesise to be an actin dependent IP3 pathway release of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , it is likely that the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  would be reabsorbed into internal stores resulting in base levels of internal  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  observed. An alternative is that the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  change could be mediated through the transient receptor potential and DEG/ENaC channel families which have been shown to be expressed in *Drosophila* adult ovary (Chintapalli et al., 2007; Horner and Wolfner, 2008; McQuilton et al., 2012). It is clear that further experimental work would be required to decipher which mechanisms are operating. Whatever the model, it is likely that the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transient observed is important in regulating the subsequent events downstream of activation.

Now that we know that a calcium signalling event accompanies activation in *Drosophila*, this begs the question, which downstream events are triggered by the transient  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  wave and how the events are activated. For example, the post-transcriptional regulation of maternal transcripts is critical to the subsequent development of the *Drosophila* egg post-activation (Tadros and Lipshitz, 2005; Tadros et al., 2007). The anterior determinant *bcd* mRNA shows Poly(A) elongation at activation in a *sra* dependent manner (Horner et al., 2006). Visualisation at activation has previously shown that *bcd* mRNA is released from anchoring in large aggregates at anterior pole and is no longer observed in P bodies (Weil et al., 2008; Weil et al., 2012). The loss of P bodies around the entire egg at activation could be a global mechanism for post-transcriptional regulation at egg activation. It remains unclear how  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  mediates the observed changes in mRNA and P bodies. While  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signalling typically requires transaction proteins, such as calmodulin, another possibility is that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  mediates change through direct binding to its target. In this model, which is not restricted to *Drosophila* or egg activation, calcium bound to mRNA or P body components would thus alter their conformation, charge or association. Further examination of mRNA untranslated regions and protein dissociation in different calcium concentrations are required to test this hypothesis. *Drosophila*, with its advantages for live cell imaging and the availability of genetic tools will be an ideal system to approach

these questions and determine whether similar mechanisms operate in other systems.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mariana Wolfner for discussions during the preparation of this manuscript; to James Bush and Eleanor Elgood Hunt for their assistance with the data analysis; Mariana Wolfner and Troy Littleton for fly stocks; Nan Hu (Cambridge) for general lab assistance and Peter Burns (Oxford) for fly stock management.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

#### Author contributions

T.T.W., R.M.P. conceived and designed the experiments. A.H.Y.-A., C.J.B., C.L.B., R.M.P., T.T.W. performed the experiments. A.H.Y.-A., C.J.B., C.L.B., T.T.W., R.M.P. analysed the data. T.T.W., R.M.P., I.D. wrote the paper.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the University of Cambridge, ISSF to T.T.W. [grant number 097814]; and Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship to I.D. [grant number 0961444].

#### References

- Acharya, J. K., Jalink, K., Hardy, R. W., Hartenstein, V. and Zuker, C. S. (1997). InsP3 receptor is essential for growth and differentiation but not for vision in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **18**, 881–887.
- Ataman, B., Ashley, J., Gorczyca, M., Ramachandran, P., Fouquet, W., Sigrist, S. J. and Budnik, V. (2008). Rapid activity-dependent modifications in synaptic structure and function require bidirectional Wnt signaling. *Neuron* **57**, 705–718.
- Berridge, M. J. (2005). Unlocking the secrets of cell signaling. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **67**, 1–21.
- Busa, W. B., Ferguson, J. E., Joseph, S. K., Williamson, J. R. and Nuccitelli, R. (1985). Activation of frog (*Xenopus laevis*) eggs by inositol trisphosphate. I. Characterization of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release from intracellular stores. *J. Cell Biol.* **101**, 677–682.
- Buszczak, M., Paterno, S., Lighthouse, D., Bachman, J., Planck, J., Owen, S., Skora, A. D., Nystul, T. G., Ohlstein, B., Allen, A. et al. (2007). The carnegie protein trap library: a versatile tool for *Drosophila* developmental studies. *Genetics* **175**, 1505–1531.
- Chintapalli, V. R., Wang, J. and Dow, J. A. T. (2007). Using FlyAtlas to identify better *Drosophila melanogaster* models of human disease. *Nat. Genet.* **39**, 715–720.
- Clapham, D. E. (2007). Calcium signaling. *Cell* **131**, 1047–1058.
- Doane, W. W. (1960). Completion of meiosis in unispermated eggs of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* **132**, 677–678.
- Ducibella, T. and Fissore, R. (2008). The roles of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , downstream protein kinases, and oscillatory signaling in regulating fertilization and the activation of development. *Dev. Biol.* **315**, 257–279.
- Endow, S. A. and Komma, D. J. (1997). Spindle dynamics during meiosis in *Drosophila* oocytes. *J. Cell Biol.* **137**, 1321–1336.
- Fujimoto, T., Miyawaki, A. and Mikoshiba, K. (1995). Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor-like protein in plasmalemmal caveolae is linked to actin filaments. *J. Cell Sci.* **108**, 7–15.
- Heifetz, Y., Yu, J. and Wolfner, M. F. (2001). Ovulation triggers activation of *Drosophila* oocytes. *Dev. Biol.* **234**, 416–424.
- Horner, V. L. and Wolfner, M. F. (2008). Transitioning from egg to embryo: triggers and mechanisms of egg activation. *Dev. Dyn.* **237**, 527–544.
- Horner, V. L., Czank, A., Jang, J. K., Singh, N., Williams, B. C., Puro, J., Kubli, E., Hanes, S. D., McKim, K. S., Wolfner, M. F. et al. (2006). The *Drosophila* calcipressin *sarah* is required for several aspects of egg activation. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 1441–1446.
- Houston, D. W. (2012). Cortical rotation and messenger RNA localization in *Xenopus* axis formation. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol.* **1**, 371–388.
- Joseph, S. K. and Samanta, S. (1993). Detergent solubility of the inositol trisphosphate receptor in rat brain membranes. Evidence for association of the receptor with ankyrin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 6477–6486.
- Krauchunas, A. R. and Wolfner, M. F. (2013). Molecular changes during egg activation. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **102**, 267–292.
- Kyojuka, K., Chun, J. T., Puppo, A., Gragnaniello, G., Garante, E. and Santella, L. (2008). Actin cytoskeleton modulates calcium signaling during maturation of starfish oocytes. *Dev. Biol.* **320**, 426–435.
- Macdonald, P. M. and Struhl, G. (1986). A molecular gradient in early *Drosophila* embryos and its role in specifying the body pattern. *Nature* **324**, 537–545.
- Mahowald, A. P., Goralski, T. J. and Caulton, J. H. (1983). In vitro activation of *Drosophila* eggs. *Dev. Biol.* **98**, 437–445.
- McQuilton, P., St Pierre, S. E. and Thurmond, J.; FlyBase Consortium (2012). FlyBase 101 – the basics of navigating FlyBase. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **40**, D706–D714.

- Melom, J. E. and Littleton, J. T.** (2013). Mutation of a NCKX eliminates glial microdomain calcium oscillations and enhances seizure susceptibility. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 1169–1178.
- Nakai, J., Ohkura, M. and Imoto, K.** (2001). A high signal-to-noise Ca<sup>2+</sup> probe composed of a single green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **19**, 137–141.
- Page, A. W. and Orr-Weaver, T. L.** (1997). Activation of the meiotic divisions in *Drosophila* oocytes. *Dev. Biol.* **183**, 195–207.
- Parton, R. M., Vallés, A. M., Dobbie, I. M. and Davis, I.** (2010). Live cell imaging in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2010**, pdb.top75.
- Stricker, S. A.** (1999). Comparative biology of calcium signaling during fertilization and egg activation in animals. *Dev. Biol.* **211**, 157–176.
- Tadros, W. and Lipshitz, H. D.** (2005). Setting the stage for development: mRNA translation and stability during oocyte maturation and egg activation in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Dyn.* **232**, 593–608.
- Tadros, W., Goldman, A. L., Babak, T., Menzies, F., Vardy, L., Orr-Weaver, T., Hughes, T. R., Westwood, J. T., Smibert, C. A. and Lipshitz, H. D.** (2007). SMAUG is a major regulator of maternal mRNA destabilization in *Drosophila* and its translation is activated by the PAN GU kinase. *Dev. Cell* **12**, 143–155.
- Takeo, S., Tsuda, M., Akahori, S., Matsuo, T. and Aigaki, T.** (2006). The calcineurin regulator *sra* plays an essential role in female meiosis in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 1435–1440.
- Turvey, M. R., Fogarty, K. E. and Thorn, P.** (2005). Inositol (1,4,5)-trisphosphate receptor links to filamentous actin are important for generating local Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals in pancreatic acinar cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **118**, 971–980.
- Van Doren, M., Williamson, A. L. and Lehmann, R.** (1998). Regulation of zygotic gene expression in *Drosophila* primordial germ cells. *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 243–246.
- Weil, T. T., Parton, R., Davis, I. and Gavis, E. R.** (2008). Changes in bicoid mRNA anchoring highlight conserved mechanisms during the oocyte-to-embryo transition. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 1055–1061.
- Weil, T. T., Parton, R. M., Herpers, B., Soetaert, J., Veenendaal, T., Xanthakis, D., Dobbie, I. M., Halstead, J. M., Hayashi, R., Rabouille, C. et al.** (2012). *Drosophila* patterning is established by differential association of mRNAs with P bodies. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **14**, 1305–1313.
- Went, D. F. and Krause, G.** (1973). Normal development of mechanically activated, unlaidd eggs of an endo-parasitic Hymenopteran. *Nature* **244**, 454–455.
- Whitaker, M. and Irvine, R. F.** (1984). Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate microinjection activates sea urchin eggs. *Nature* **312**, 636–639.